



# ORIENTATION MATERIALS 2016



Treasure Coast  
Regional Planning Council

# **TREASURE COAST REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL**

is an association of local governments  
and the private sector working together to foster  
a high quality of life throughout the  
Treasure Coast Region of Florida

**Bringing Communities Together in our  
40th YEAR OF SERVICE**




**“Better Region, Better Life”**

**421 SW Camden Avenue  
Stuart, Florida 34994**

**(772) 221-4060**

**[www.tcrpc.org](http://www.tcrpc.org)**

## TREASURE COAST REGION



## POPULATION AND LAND AREA

| COUNTY                | POPULATION       |                           | AREA           |                           |
|-----------------------|------------------|---------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|
|                       | APRIL 1, 2014    | PERCENT OF REGIONAL TOTAL | SQUARE MILES   | PERCENT OF REGIONAL TOTAL |
| Indian River County   | 143,326          | 7.3                       | 506.3          | 14.2                      |
| Martin County         | 150,062          | 7.7                       | 536.8          | 15.1                      |
| Palm Beach County     | 1,378,417        | 70.3                      | 1,951.5        | 54.9                      |
| St. Lucie County      | 287,749          | 14.7                      | 559.9          | 15.8                      |
| <b>REGIONAL TOTAL</b> | <b>1,959,554</b> | <b>100.0</b>              | <b>3,554.5</b> | <b>100.0</b>              |

It is estimated that between April 2014 and April 2015, the Treasure Coast Region's population grew by 26,955 persons or about 518 new residents every week. The largest percent increase in population last year was in St. Lucie County at 1.7 percent.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

|  | <u>Page</u> |
|--|-------------|
| Council Members – 2016   | 1           |
| Committee Appointments – 2015<br>2016 Committee Appointments (in process) -- will be voted on at the February 2016 meeting | 7           |
| Council Staff – 2016   | 8           |
| Meeting Schedule – 2016  | 9           |
| The Council  | 10          |
| Mission and Powers of Council  | 12          |
| Regional Planning Council Responsibilities Under Florida Statutes  | 15          |
| Source of Funds 2015-16  | 16          |
| Return on Investment 2008-2015   | 17          |
| Adopted Budget - Fiscal Year 2015-16   | 21          |
| Summary of Council’s Work Program Update (FY 2015-16)  | 24          |
| The Role of Regional Planning Councils   | 30          |
| Orientation Segment #1 – History and Membership of the Treasure Coast Regional Planning Council                            | 36          |
| Orientation Segment #2 – Florida Regional Councils Association   | 38          |
| Florida Regional Councils Association New Board Member Fact Sheet  | 40          |
| Bylaws of the Florida Regional Councils Association  | 41          |
| Map and List of Florida Regional Planning Councils   | 45          |
| Strategic Regional Policy Plan – Executive Summary   | 49          |
| Amended and Restated Interlocal Agreement Creating the Treasure Coast Regional Planning Council                            | 64          |
| The 2015 Florida Statutes - Chapter 186: State and Regional Planning   | 77          |
| Council Offices  | 94          |

**TREASURE COAST REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL  
2016 Officers**

**CHAIRMAN**

Mr. Michael Davis  
2035 Vista Parkway  
West Palm Beach, FL 33411

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

The Honorable Jacqui Thurlow-Lippisch  
Commissioner, Town of Sewall's Point  
One South Sewall's Point Drive  
Stuart, FL 34996

**SECRETARY/TREASURER**

Mr. Reece J. Parrish  
6805 Bayard Road  
Fort Pierce, FL 34951

**TREASURE COAST REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL  
MEMBERS AND ALTERNATES - 2016**

**PALM BEACH COUNTY**

**MEMBERS**

The Honorable Hal Valeche  
Vice Mayor, Palm Beach County  
P.O. Box 1989  
West Palm Beach, FL 33402  
(561) 355-2201  
FAX: (561) 355-6094  
[hvaleche@pbcgov.org](mailto:hvaleche@pbcgov.org)

The Honorable Priscilla Taylor  
Commissioner, Palm Beach County  
P. O. Box 1989  
West Palm Beach, FL 33402  
(561) 355-2207  
FAX: (561) 355-3990  
[ptaylor@pbcgov.org](mailto:ptaylor@pbcgov.org)

The Honorable Paulette Burdick  
Commissioner, Palm Beach County  
P.O. Box 1989  
West Palm Beach, FL 33402  
(561) 355-2202  
FAX: (561) 355-6094  
[pburdick@pbcgov.org](mailto:pburdick@pbcgov.org)

The Honorable Anne Gerwig  
Councilwoman, Wellington  
12300 W. Forest Hill Blvd.  
Wellington, FL 33414  
(561) 791-4000  
FAX: (561) 791-4045  
[agerwig@wellingtonfl.gov](mailto:agerwig@wellingtonfl.gov)

The Honorable Jeff Hmara  
Councilman, Village of Royal Palm Beach  
1050 Royal Palm Beach Boulevard  
Royal Palm Beach, FL 33411  
(561) 790-5103  
FAX: (561) 790-5174  
[jhmara@royalpalmbeach.com](mailto:jhmara@royalpalmbeach.com)

**ALTERNATES**

The Honorable Melissa McKinlay  
Commissioner, Palm Beach County  
P.O. Box 1989  
West Palm Beach, FL 33402  
(561) 355-2206  
FAX: (877) 930-2206  
[MMcKinlay@pbcgov.org](mailto:MMcKinlay@pbcgov.org)

The Honorable Shelley Vana  
Commissioner, Palm Beach County  
P.O. Box 1989  
West Palm Beach, FL 33402  
(561) 355-2203  
FAX: (561) 355-3990  
[svana@pbcgov.org](mailto:svana@pbcgov.org)

The Honorable Steven L. Abrams  
Commissioner, Palm Beach County  
P.O. Box 1989  
West Palm Beach, FL 33402  
(561) 355-2204  
FAX: (561) 355-4422  
[sabrams@pbcgov.org](mailto:sabrams@pbcgov.org)

The Honorable Paula Ryan  
Commissioner, City of West Palm Beach  
P.O. Box 3366  
West Palm Beach, FL 33402  
(561) 822-1390  
FAX: (561) 822-1424  
[pryan@wpb.org](mailto:pryan@wpb.org)

The Honorable Allie Biggs  
Commissioner, City of Pahokee  
207 Bacom Point Road  
Pahokee, FL 33476  
(561) 924-5534  
[abiggs@cityofpahokee.com](mailto:abiggs@cityofpahokee.com)

## **PALM BEACH COUNTY CONT'D**

### **MEMBERS**

The Honorable Bruce A. Guyton  
Councilman, City of Riviera Beach  
600 W. Blue Heron Boulevard  
Riviera Beach, FL 33410  
(561) 844-3686  
FAX: (561) 840-0154  
[Bguyton@rivierabch.com](mailto:Bguyton@rivierabch.com)

The Honorable Marcie Tinsley  
Council Member, City of Palm Beach Gardens  
10500 N. Military Trail  
Palm Beach Gardens, FL 33410  
(561) 799-4122  
FAX: (561) 799-4124  
[mtinsley@pbgfl.com](mailto:mtinsley@pbgfl.com)

The Honorable James DuBois  
Mayor, Town of Lake Park  
535 Park Avenue  
Lake Park, FL 33403  
(561) 881-3300  
FAX: (561) 881-3314  
[jdubois@lakeparkflorida.gov](mailto:jdubois@lakeparkflorida.gov)

### **GUBERNATORIAL APPOINTEES**

Mr. Michael Davis  
Senior Vice President  
Wantman Group (WGI)  
2035 Vista Parkway  
West Palm Beach, FL 33411  
(561) 687-2220  
[michael.davis@wantmangroup.com](mailto:michael.davis@wantmangroup.com)

Mr. Peter Sachs  
Sachs Sax Caplan, LLC  
6111 Broken Sound Parkway, Suite 200  
Boca Raton, FL 33487  
(561) 994-4499  
[psachs@ssclawfirm.com](mailto:psachs@ssclawfirm.com)

### **ALTERNATES**

The Honorable Mitch Katz  
Commissioner, City of Delray Beach  
100 NW 1<sup>st</sup> Avenue  
Delray Beach, FL 33444  
(561) 243-7010  
[katz@mydelraybeach.com](mailto:katz@mydelraybeach.com)

The Honorable Abby Brennan  
Mayor, Village of Tequesta  
345 Tequesta Drive  
Tequesta, FL 33469  
(561) 339-0740  
[abrennan@tequesta.org](mailto:abrennan@tequesta.org)

The Honorable David Norris  
Vice Mayor, Village of North Palm Beach  
501 U. S. Highway 1  
North Palm Beach, FL 33408  
(561) 841-3355  
FAX: (561) 881-7469  
[npbclerk@village-npb.org](mailto:npbclerk@village-npb.org)

Ms. Kelly Smallridge  
President & CEO  
Business Dev. Board of Palm Beach County  
310 Evernia Street  
West Palm Beach, FL 33401  
(561) 835-1008  
[ksmallridge@bdb.org](mailto:ksmallridge@bdb.org)

Mr. Mark Llano  
Source One Distributors, Inc.  
3280 Fairlane Farms Road  
Wellington, FL 33414  
(561) 296-0520 x 222  
[mllano@buysourceone.com](mailto:mllano@buysourceone.com)

## **INDIAN RIVER COUNTY**

### **MEMBERS**

The Honorable Peter O'Bryan  
Commissioner, Indian River County  
1801 27<sup>th</sup> Street  
Vero Beach, FL 32960  
(772) 226-1440  
FAX: (772) 770-5334  
[pobryan@ircgov.com](mailto:pobryan@ircgov.com)

The Honorable Tim Zorc  
Commissioner, Indian River County  
1801 27<sup>th</sup> Street  
Vero Beach, FL 32960  
(772) 226-1440  
FAX: (772) 770-5334  
[tzorc@ircgov.com](mailto:tzorc@ircgov.com)

The Honorable Randy Old  
Councilmember, City of Vero Beach  
POB 1389  
Vero Beach, FL 32961  
(772) 978-4700  
FAX: (772) 978-4790  
[cityclrk@covb.org](mailto:cityclrk@covb.org)

The Honorable Harris Webber  
Councilmember, Town of Orchid  
7707-1 US Highway 1  
Vero Beach, FL 32967  
(772) 231-1771. Ext. 121  
FAX: (772) 231-4348  
[townclerk@townoforchid.com](mailto:townclerk@townoforchid.com)

### **GUBERNATORIAL APPOINTEES**

Douglas C. Bournique  
Executive Vice President, General Manager  
Indian River Citrus League  
7925 20<sup>th</sup> Street  
Vero Beach, FL 32966  
(772) 562-2728  
[info@ircitrusleague.org](mailto:info@ircitrusleague.org)

### **ALTERNATES**

The Honorable Joseph Flescher  
Commissioner, Indian River County  
1801 27<sup>th</sup> Street  
Vero Beach, FL 32960  
(772) 226-1919  
FAX: (772) 770-5334  
[jflescher@ircgov.com](mailto:jflescher@ircgov.com)

The Honorable Jerome Adams  
Vice Mayor, City of Sebastian  
1225 Main Street  
Sebastian, FL 32958  
(772) 388-8214  
FAX: (772) 589-5570  
[jadams@cityofsebastian.org](mailto:jadams@cityofsebastian.org)

The Honorable Joel Tyson  
Mayor, City of Fellsmere  
21 S. Cypress Street  
Fellsmere, FL 32948  
(772) 571-1616  
FAX: (772) 646-6355  
[Cityclerk@cityoffellsmere.org](mailto:Cityclerk@cityoffellsmere.org)

## **ST. LUCIE COUNTY**

### **MEMBERS**

The Honorable Paula Lewis  
Commissioner, St. Lucie County  
2300 Virginia Avenue  
Fort Pierce, FL 34982  
(772) 462-1406  
FAX: (772) 462-2131  
[lewisp@stlucieco.org](mailto:lewisp@stlucieco.org)

The Honorable Tod Mowery  
Commissioner, St. Lucie County  
2300 Virginia Avenue  
Fort Pierce, FL 34982  
(772) 462-1412  
FAX: (772) 462-2131  
[moweryt@stlucieco.org](mailto:moweryt@stlucieco.org)

The Honorable Ron Bowen  
Councilman, City of Port St. Lucie  
121 S.W. Port St. Lucie Blvd.  
Port St. Lucie, FL 34984  
(772) 871-5159  
FAX: (772) 871-7382  
[district4@cityofpsl.com](mailto:district4@cityofpsl.com)

The Honorable Thomas Perona  
Commissioner, City of Fort Pierce  
P.O. Box 1480  
Fort Pierce, FL 34950  
(772) 467-3025  
[tperona@city-ftpierce.com](mailto:tperona@city-ftpierce.com)

### **GUBERNATORIAL APPOINTEES**

Mr. Steven M. Weaver, Sr.  
607 Malabar Avenue  
Fort Pierce, FL 34949  
(772) 344-7100  
[realtimefla@aol.com](mailto:realtimefla@aol.com)

Mr. Reece J. Parrish  
6805 Bayard Road  
Fort Pierce, FL 34951  
(772) 519-2680  
[reece.parrish@bellsouth.net](mailto:reece.parrish@bellsouth.net)

### **ALTERNATES**

The Honorable Chris Dzadovsky  
Commissioner, St. Lucie County  
2300 Virginia Avenue  
Fort Pierce, FL 34982  
(772) 462-1406  
FAX: (772) 462-2131  
[dzadovskyc@stlucieco.org](mailto:dzadovskyc@stlucieco.org)

The Honorable Kim Johnson  
Commissioner, St. Lucie County  
2300 Virginia Avenue  
Fort Pierce, FL 34982  
(772) 462-1408  
FAX: (772) 462-2131  
[johnsonkim@stlucieco.org](mailto:johnsonkim@stlucieco.org)

The Honorable Shannon Martin  
Council Member, City of Port St. Lucie  
121 S.W. Port St. Lucie Blvd.  
Port St. Lucie, FL 34984  
(772) 871-5159  
FAX: (772) 871-7382  
[district3@cityofpsl.com](mailto:district3@cityofpsl.com)

The Honorable Reginald B. Sessions  
Commissioner, City of Fort Pierce  
P.O. Box 1480  
Fort Pierce, FL 34950  
(772) 467-3025  
[amartin@city-ftpierce.com](mailto:amartin@city-ftpierce.com)

## **MARTIN COUNTY**

### **MEMBERS**

The Honorable Ed Fielding  
Commissioner, Martin County  
2401 SE Monterey Road  
Stuart, FL 34996  
(772) 288-5421  
FAX: (772) 288-5432  
[efieldin@martin.fl.us](mailto:efieldin@martin.fl.us)

The Honorable John Haddox  
Commissioner, Martin County  
2401 S.E. Monterey Road  
Stuart, FL 34996  
(772) 221-1357  
FAX: (772) 288-5432  
[jhaddox@martin.fl.us](mailto:jhaddox@martin.fl.us)

The Honorable Jacqui Thurlow-Lippisch  
Commissioner, Town of Sewall's Point  
One South Sewall's Point Drive  
Stuart, FL 34996  
(772) 287-2455  
FAX: (772) 220-4765  
[jthurlowlippisch@comcast.com](mailto:jthurlowlippisch@comcast.com)

### **GUBERNATORIAL APPOINTEES**

Michael Houston, ASLA  
President  
HJA Design Studio  
50 E. Ocean Boulevard, Suite 101  
Stuart, FL 34994  
(772) 678-7200 ext 216  
FAX: (772) 678-7201  
[mhouston@hgadstudio.com](mailto:mhouston@hgadstudio.com)

Tobin Overdorf  
President  
Crossroads Environmental Consultants, Inc.  
3500 SW Corporate Parkway Suite 206  
Palm City, FL 34990  
(772) 223-5200  
[toby@crossroadsenvironmental.com](mailto:toby@crossroadsenvironmental.com)

### **ALTERNATES**

The Honorable Doug Smith  
Commissioner, Martin County  
2401 S.E. Monterey Road  
Stuart, FL 34996  
(772) 221-2359  
FAX: (772) 288-5432  
[dsmith@martin.fl.us](mailto:dsmith@martin.fl.us)

The Honorable Sarah Heard  
Commissioner, Martin County  
2401 S.E. Monterey Road  
Stuart, FL 34996  
(772) 221-2358  
FAX: (772) 288-5432  
[sheard@martin.fl.us](mailto:sheard@martin.fl.us)

The Honorable Peter Conze  
Commissioner, Town of Jupiter Island  
2 Bridge Road  
Hobe Sound, FL 33455  
(772) 545-0100  
FAX: (772) 545-0188  
[pconze@tji.martin.fl.us](mailto:pconze@tji.martin.fl.us)

**Committee Appointments - 2015**  
**Treasure Coast Regional Planning Council**

**BUDGET/PERSONNEL COMMITTEE**

|                               |                       |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Commissioner Paula Lewis      | (St. Lucie County)    |
| Councilmember Reece Parrish   | (St. Lucie County)    |
| Commissioner Peter O’ Bryan   | (Indian River County) |
| Vice Mayor Jerome Adams       | (Indian River County) |
| Commissioner Ed Fielding      | (Martin County)       |
| Commissioner Bruce Guyton     | (Palm Beach County)   |
| Commissioner Priscilla Taylor | (Palm Beach County)   |

**FRCA POLICY BOARD MEMBERS**

**Members:**

|                             |                     |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| Mayor James DuBois          | (Palm Beach County) |
| Commissioner Tod Mowery     | (St. Lucie County)  |
| Councilmember Reese Parrish | (St. Lucie County)  |

Alternates:

|                              |                       |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Commissioner Peter O’ Bryan  | (Indian River County) |
| Councilmember Michael Davis  | (Palm Beach County)   |
| Councilmember Tobin Overdorf | (Martin County)       |

**NOMINATING COMMITTEE**

|                               |                       |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Commissioner John Haddox      | (Martin County)       |
| Commissioner Peter O’ Bryan   | (Indian River County) |
| Commissioner Paulette Burdick | (Palm Beach County)   |
| Commissioner Tom Perona       | (St. Lucie County)    |

**GUBERNATORIAL COMMITTEE**

**Gubernatorial Appointees**

|                                  |                       |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Councilmember Michael Davis      | (Palm Beach County)   |
| Councilmember Peter Sachs        | (Palm Beach County)   |
| Councilmember Kelly Smallridge   | (Palm Beach County)   |
| Councilmember Mark Llano         | (Palm Beach County)   |
| Councilmember Reece Parrish      | (St. Lucie County)    |
| Councilmember Steven Weaver, Sr. | (St. Lucie County)    |
| Councilmember Tobin Overdorf     | (Martin County)       |
| Councilmember Michael Houston    | (Martin County)       |
| Councilmember Douglas Bournique  | (Indian River County) |

**Elected Officials**

|   |                       |
|---|-----------------------|
| Commissioner Paula Lewis                        | (St. Lucie County)    |
| Councilman Bruce Guyton (City of Riviera Beach) | (Palm Beach County)   |
| Vice Mayor Jerome Adams (City of Sebastian)     | (Indian River County) |
| Commissioner Ed Fielding                        | (Martin County)       |

# TREASURE COAST REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL STAFF

## Director

Michael J. Busha     Executive Director

## Legal Counsel

Keith Davis     Council Attorney

## Planning Staff

Peter G. Merritt     Assistant Director  
Kimberly DeLaney     Director of Strategic Development & Policy  
Kathryn Boer     Emergency Programs Director  
Dana Little     Urban Design Director  
Stephanie Heidt     Intergovernmental/Brownfields Coordinator

## Administrative Staff

Elizabeth Gulick     Administrative Supervisor  
Kim Koho     Administrative Assistant  
Phyllis Castro     Accounting Manager  
Barbara Stefancik     Accounting Assistant

## \*Other Staff:

Kathy LaMartina     Intergovernmental Representative  
Martin & St. Lucie Counties  
South Florida Water Management District

\*Agencies/Organizations sharing Council offices

421 SW Camden Avenue  
Stuart, Florida 34994  
(772) 221-4060 (phone)  
(772) 221-4067 (fax)  
Email: [admin@tcrpc.org](mailto:admin@tcrpc.org)

**TREASURE COAST REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL  
MEETING SCHEDULE  
January – December 2016**

Meeting Time: 9:30 a.m.  
Wolf High Technology Center  
Indian River State College – Chastain Campus  
2400 SE Salerno Road  
Stuart, FL 34997

---

JANUARY 15, 2016

FEBRUARY 19, 2016

MARCH 18, 2016

APRIL 15, 2016

MAY 20, 2016

JUNE 17, 2016

JULY 15, 2016

AUGUST 19, 2016

SEPTEMBER 16, 2016

OCTOBER 21, 2016

DECEMBER 9, 2016

---

**\*All meetings will be at the Wolf High Technology Center unless otherwise noted.**

## The Council

On August 19, 1976, Martin, St. Lucie, Indian River, and Palm Beach counties signed an interlocal agreement establishing the Treasure Coast Regional Planning Council. In 2006, the interlocal agreement creating the original regional partnership was amended, restated, and reconfirmed through the signing by the four counties. Today, the Treasure Coast Region includes these four counties and 50 municipalities.


The 28-member governing board of the Council consists of 19 local elected officials, (with nine representing counties, and ten representing municipalities) and nine members appointed by the Governor. The Council began in 1976 with 15 members. Two years later, the Legislature required one-third of a regional planning council's membership to be appointed by the governor. As a result, 8 members were added bringing total membership to 23. In 1995, membership expanded to 27 by adding one governor's appointment, one municipal member from St. Lucie County and two municipal members from Palm Beach County. In 1998, one more municipal member was added in Indian River County. The figure below graphically represents the membership and organization of the Council.

### Council Membership and Organization

|                          | Palm Beach | Martin | St. Lucie | Indian River | Total |
|--------------------------|------------|--------|-----------|--------------|-------|
| County Representative    | 3          | 2      | 2         | 2            | 9     |
| Municipal Representative | 5          | 1      | 2         | 2            | 10    |
| Governor's Appointee     | 4          | 2      | 2         | 1            | 9     |

Executive Director

Staff (10)



Council does not exist because of state mandates or by virtue of a state appropriation. Council exists as a forum for local governments to work together and due to the confidence the Region's local governments have in the professional skills and expertise of Council staff and the technical assistance they provide to its local governments. Council was created by and works for local government, not the state.

Council is a policy leader. Through Council, its member local governments have been setting policy for over 40 years and incorporating many of those policies into their local government comprehensive plans. Florida's important issues have often been identified first by the regional planning councils (RPCs), and many of the solutions to these problems were derived from regional policy. Council's source for regional policy guidance comes from listening to its local governments in consultation with the private sector. Much of this policy guidance is contained in its Strategic Regional Policy Plan,

Council's business plan for the future growth, investment, and settlement of the Treasure Coast Region.

Council is not a regulatory agency or taxing authority. RPCs are the only entity in the State of Florida charged with examining multi-jurisdictional impacts and doing long range, comprehensive regional planning.

Historically, RPCs have been well-known for assisting local governments and the state with developments of regional impact (DRIs) and local comprehensive plans. The DRI and local comprehensive planning process was mandated by the State Statute in 1972. While RPCs played a critical role in these planning processes, it is not why they were originally created. They have always had a wide range of other statutory and local contractual responsibilities in the area of economic development, transportation, emergency preparedness and local technical assistance.

Council understands that not all counties and cities have the same problems, or the same opportunities to address these problems. This does not always seem to be understood at the state and federal level. Council often serves as a conduit to local government for implementing valuable and needed state and federal initiatives. Council stands to assure that state and federal policy and programs are carried out with respect for local and regional desires and conditions.

Council cannot require local governments to talk to each other, work together, or behave in any certain way. However, it has had great success in serving as neutral ground and putting local governments together to work on problems or projects where they have a common interest in resolving their differences, solving shared problems, or building projects of mutual benefit.

Council is effective. It works exactly as it is intended to work, and it does its job well. This "council of local governments" is not afraid to raise new issues, or point out new trends affecting local governments of the region. It also has a unique ability to find them. Council raises difficult, but important issues and works with local government to address them. It is difficult to influence, except by facts and objective argument. Council is rarely, if ever, parochial. Council is not a regulatory or permitting authority. Any authority Council derives comes from objectivity, professionalism, and the power that logic and reason can provide.

## **Mission and Powers of Council**

“ Provide visionary leadership, advocacy, and coordination between local governments, state and federal agencies, and the private sector to preserve and enhance the quality of Treasure Coast’s economic, natural, built, and social environment.”

Council intends to carry out its mission in the following ways:

- (a) To provide local governments with a means of exercising the rights, duties and powers of a regional planning council and regional planning agency as defined in Chapter 186, Florida Statutes and other applicable federal, state and local laws as now existing and/or as from time to time amended.
- (b) To provide a means for conducting and implementing the regional planning process.
- (c) To provide regional coordination for local governments in the Treasure Coast Region.
- (d) To have an organization to act in an advisory capacity to exchange, interchange, and review the various programs referred to it which are of regional concern.
- (e) To promote communication among local governments in the region and the identification and resolution of common regional-scale problems.
- (f) To facilitate with federal, state, local, and non-governmental agencies and citizens to promote the orderly and harmonious coordination of federal, state, regional and local planning and development programs in order to encourage the orderly, and balanced growth and development of this region consistent with the protection of natural resources and the environment and to enhance the quality of life of the residents of the region and to promote their health, safety, and welfare.
- (g) To encourage and promote communications between neighboring regional planning districts to attempt to assure compatibility in development and long-range planning goals and to coordinate with neighboring regional planning districts whenever possible and to enter into agreements with neighboring regional planning districts pertaining to activities or projects that are of mutual interest.
- (h) To have an organization that will promote areawide coordination and related cooperative activities of federal, state and local governments insuring a broad based-regional organization that can provide a truly regional perspective and enhance that ability and opportunity of local governments to resolve issues and problems transcending their individual boundaries.
- (i) To have an organization to review Developments of Regional Impact, Florida Quality Developments and other developments as defined or set forth in Chapter 380, Florida Statutes, which will collect review fees and make recommendations to the local government(s) of jurisdiction for applications to be approved, denied or approved subject to conditions, restrictions or limitations so that development within the region is consistent with adopted Strategic Regional Policy Plan and the applicable local government comprehensive plans.

- (j) To have an organization to carry out the duties, functions and activities that are to the mutual advantage of the local government units within Comprehensive Planning District 10.
- (k) To have an organization to carry out other duties, functions and activities for other public purposes consistent with the powers conferred herein.

### **Powers**

Council powers are primarily defined and limited by its Amended and Restated Interlocal Agreement creating the Treasure Coast Regional Planning Council and Chapter 186, Florida Statutes.

- (a) The powers granted to regional planning councils and regional planning agencies by Chapter 186, Florida Statutes, and other applicable federal, state and local laws as now existing and/or as from time to time amended, and pursuant to Section 163.01, Florida Statutes, the additional powers set forth herein.
- (b) To adopt rules of procedure for the regulation of its affairs and the conduct of its business, and to appoint from among its members a chairman to serve annually, provided that such chairman may be subject to reelection.
- (c) To adopt an official seal utilizing the name of the Council.
- (d) To maintain an office at such place or places within the comprehensive planning district as it may designate.
- (e) To employ and to compensate such personnel, consultants, and technical and professional assistants, as it shall deem necessary to exercise the powers and perform the duties set forth in this agreement.
- (f) To make and enter all contracts and agreements necessary or incidental to the performance of its duties and the execution of its powers.
- (g) To hold public hearings and sponsor public forums in any part of the regional area whenever it deems necessary or useful in the execution of its other functions.
- (h) To sue and be sued in its own name.
- (i) To accept and receive, for public purposes, funds, grants and services from the federal government or its agencies; from departments, agencies, and instrumentalities of state, municipal or local government; from other public or quasi public entities, and from private or civic sources.
- (j) To receive and expend such sums of money as shall be from time to time appropriated for its use by any county or municipality where approved by the Council and to act as an agency to receive and to expend federal funds for planning and other public purposes.
- (k) To act in advisory capacity to the constituent local governments in regional, metropolitan, county and municipal planning matters.

- (l) To cooperate, in the exercise of its planning functions, with federal and state agencies in planning disaster preparedness and emergency management.
- (m) To fix and collect membership fees, and service charges and fees for planning review and other services provided by Council.
- (n) To acquire, own, hold in custody, operate, maintain, lease or sell real or personal property.
- (o) To incur debts, liabilities or obligations which do not constitute the debts, liabilities or obligations of any parties to this agreement.
- (p) To accept gifts, grants, assistance, funds, or bequests.
- (q) To enter into agreements with respect to the receipt and expenditures of grants or other funds, including but not limited agreements as to revolving and other loan funds, and to exercise such powers as shall be necessary or appropriate to implement such grants or other funding, which shall include (but not be limited to) the power to hold and manage such funds, to enter into loan agreements or other agreements relating thereto and to enforce and collect loan payments thereunder, and to lend and re-lend such funds for the public purposes to be accomplished with such grant or other funding.
- (r) To conduct studies of the region's resources.
- (s) To participate with other governmental agencies, educational institutions, and private organizations in the coordination or conduct of its activities.
- (t) To conduct planning charrettes within and outside the region.
- (u) To select and appoint such advisory bodies as the Council may find appropriate for the conduct of its activities.

## **Regional Planning Council Responsibilities Under Florida Statutes**

---

|                   |  |
|-------------------|--|
| Chapter 163, F.S. | Intergovernmental Programs                           |
| Chapter 164, F.S. | Governmental Disputes                                |
| Chapter 186, F.S. | State and Regional Planning                          |
| Chapter 253, F.S. | State Lands  |
| Chapter 260, F.S. | Florida Greenways and Trails Act                     |
| Chapter 288, F.S. | Commercial Development and Capital Improvements      |
| Chapter 335, F.S. | State Highway System                                 |
| Chapter 339, F.S. | Transportation Finance and Planning                  |
| Chapter 373, F.S. | Water Resources                                      |
| Chapter 378, F.S. | Land Reclamation                                     |
| Chapter 380, F.S. | Land and Water Management                            |
| Chapter 403, F.S. | Environmental Control                                |
| Chapter 419, F.S. | Community Residential Homes (Confliction Resolution) |
| Chapter 420, F.S. | Housing  |
| Chapter 427, F.S. | Special Transportation and Communications Services   |
| Chapter 985, F.S. | Juvenile Justice (Confliction Resolution for Sites)  |

**SOURCE OF FUNDS  
2015-2016**

---

|   |                |                |
|---|----------------|----------------|
| Local Contributions:                        |                |                |
| Indian River County                         | \$ 60,611      |                |
| Martin County                               | 63,892         |                |
| St. Lucie County                            | 121,613        |                |
| Palm Beach County                           | <u>584,902</u> |                |
|   |                | \$ 831,018     |
| Local Contract Funds                        |                | 476,500        |
| State Contract Funds                        |                | 55,949         |
| Federal Contract Funds                      |                | 459,327        |
| Developments of Regional Impact Review Fees |                | 5,000          |
| Other Revenues                              |                | <u>283,685</u> |
| TOTAL REVENUES                              |                | \$2,111,479    |

# RETURN ON INVESTMENT

## 2008 – 2015

Funding for RPCs comes from local government membership dues; federal, state, and local grants; and a variety of contracts secured for services. For the four-county Treasure Coast Region, the total return on investment from local membership dues since 2008 is 16:1. A county-by-county breakdown for return on investment from local membership dues is provided below.

### Indian River County ROI Analysis 2008 – 2015

|  |                                |
|--|--------------------------------|
| <b>EXPENSES - \$58,163 Annual Dues (2008–2015)</b>   | <b>\$407,141</b>               |
| <b>REVENUE SUMMARY (2008-2015)</b>   |                                |
| <b><u>EDA Infrastructure Grant with State Match</u></b>  | <b>\$728,000</b>               |
| ■ Fellsmere Shrimp Farm  |                                |
| <b><u>EPA Brownfields Assessment Grants</u></b>  | <b>\$161,559</b>               |
| ■ Gifford Park Project Site  | 47,588                         |
| ■ 259 South Pine Street Fellsmere  | 41,971                         |
| ■ South Gifford Road Landfill  | 72,000                         |
| <b><u>HUD Sustainable Communities Grant</u></b>  | <b>\$94,386</b>                |
| ■ Seven-County Transportation Model  |                                |
| ■ Regional Data Warehouse  |                                |
| ■ Sea Level Rise Inundation Assessment Maps  |                                |
| ■ Civic and Leadership Asset Map   |                                |
| ■ Fair Housing and Economic Opportunity Assessment   |                                |
| ■ Future of the Region Report and Recommendation   |                                |
| <b><u>FHA/MPO 2035 Long Range Transportation Planning</u></b>  | <b>\$63,535</b>                |
| ■ IRC Long Range Transportation Plan Visioning and Public Involvement Planning   | 58,535                         |
| ■ Inclusion of IRC in the Regional Mapping, Assessment, and Coordination Elements of the MC/SLC 2035 Regional Long Range Transportation Plan | 5,000                          |
| ■ Vero Beach Cultural Arts Village Charrette   | \$50,000                       |
| <b><u>US DHS/FDEM - Emergency Preparedness/Hazardous Materials and Waste Project/Planning</u></b>  | <b>\$1,209,411</b>             |
| ■ Local Emergency Planning Committee (LEPC) – Staffing, Facilitation, and State Representation   | 280,000                        |
| ■ Hurricane Evacuation Study Update Roadway Networks/Vulnerability and Hazards Analysis/Evacuation Times                                     | 40,000                         |
| ■ LEPC Exercise Multi-Jurisdictional   | 16,000                         |
| ■ Hurricane Evacuation Study-Behavioral Analysis/Shelter Inventory   | 24,000                         |
| ■ Hazmat Response Team Exercise – Regional   | 24,000                         |
| ■ Hazmat Response Team Exercise – Regional   | 18,000                         |
| ■ Indian River Continuity of Operations Plan Update  | 25,000                         |
| ■ District 10 LEPC Biennial Exercise   | 20,000                         |
| ■ Continuity of Operations Plan Exercise   | 30,500                         |
| ■ Post Disaster Redevelopment Plan Phase 1   | 42,000                         |
| ■ Post-Disaster Redevelopment Plan Phase 2   | 43,497                         |
| ■ Incident Command – All Hazards Supply Unit Leader Type III   | 7,500                          |
| ■ Incident Command –All Hazards Resources Unit Leader Type III   | 7,500                          |
| ■ Hazardous Materials Technician Level Training  | 18,000                         |
| ■ Evacuation Study Update – IRC Small Area Data Update   | 8,000                          |
| ■ Indian River County Directional Storm Surge Atlases  | 4,500                          |
| ■ IRC EOC Hazardous Materials Release/Response Exercise  | 20,000                         |
| ■ Hazardous Materials Emergency Preparedness Grant – First Responder Training 2007-2014 (2,534 persons trained in the TCR)                   | 294,000                        |
| ■ Regional Domestic Security Task Force Planning   | 150,000                        |
| ■ Regional Radiological Awareness Training   | 79,454                         |
| ■ All Counties Emergency Response Team Forensics Exercise  | 57,460                         |
| <b>TCRPC Dues Freeze (2007 – 2014)</b>   | <b>\$14,000</b>                |
| <b><u>Expenses (7 Year)</u></b>  |                                |
| <b>\$407,141</b>   |                                |
|  | <b><u>Revenue (7 Year)</u></b> |
|  | <b>\$2,320,891</b>             |

**ROI = 6:1**

**Martin County  
ROI Analysis  
2008 – 2015**

|   |                                |
|---|--------------------------------|
| <b>EXPENSES - \$61,337 Annual Dues (2008-2015)</b>  | <b>\$429,359</b>               |
| <b>REVENUE SUMMARY (2008-2015)</b>  |                                |
| <b><u>FHA/MPO Long Range Transportation Planning</u></b>  | <b>\$955,625</b>               |
| ■ 2035 Regional Long Range Transportation Plan  | 755,625                        |
| ■ MC/SLC Waterways Plan   | 200,000                        |
| <b><u>USDOE Energy Resiliency Study Grant</u></b>   | <b>\$101,545</b>               |
| ■ Economic impact analysis of the Region’s vulnerability to supply interruptions based on your current fuel mix to produce energy and policy recommendations to address these vulnerabilities and make the region more resilient and energy independent.  |                                |
| <b><u>Urban Design and Redevelopment and Master Planning Work – Martin County</u></b>   | <b>TBD</b>                     |
| ■ Council has undertaken 15 different public planning charrettes in Martin County resulting in the development and redevelopment of communities throughout the County. Council is currently in the process of evaluating and measuring before and after economic metrics like taxable property values, affordable housing stock, and job creation. The Martin County charrette work and fiscal impact evaluation will be part of a larger publication for evaluating the value of Council’s work in the four-county Treasure Coast Region and in other counties around the state where Council has worked over the last 39 years. |                                |
| <b><u>HUD Sustainable Communities Grant</u></b>   | <b>\$103,919</b>               |
| ■ Seven-County Transportation Model   |                                |
| ■ Regional Data Warehouse   |                                |
| ■ Sea Level Rise Inundation Assessment Maps   |                                |
| ■ Civic and Leadership Asset Map  |                                |
| ■ Fair Housing and Economic Opportunity Assessment  |                                |
| ■ Future of the Region Report and Recommendations   |                                |
| <b><u>Universal Marine/Rio Plan</u></b>   | <b>\$39,045</b>                |
| <b><u>Jensen Beach Restaurant Charrette</u></b>   | <b>\$60,103</b>                |
| <b><u>Jensen Beach Community Center Rebuild</u></b>   | <b>\$41,000</b>                |
| <b><u>US DHS/FDEM – Emergency Preparedness Planning and Training</u></b>  | <b>\$1,287,735</b>             |
| ■ Local Emergency Planning Committee - Staffing, Facilitation, and State Representation (2007-2014)   | 280,000                        |
| ■ Hazardous Material Emergency Preparedness Planning  | 140,000                        |
| ■ Hazardous Material Emergency Preparedness First Responder Training (2,534 persons trained in the TCR)   | 315,000                        |
| ■ Regional Domestic Security Task Force Planning  | 150,000                        |
| ■ All Counties Emergency Response Team – Forensics Exercise   | 57,460                         |
| ■ Regional Radiological Awareness Training  | 79,453                         |
| ■ Herbert Hoover Dike Plan Update & Exercise  | 26,000                         |
| ■ National Incident Management System Review of Comprehensive Emergency Management Plan   | 1,400                          |
| ■ Comprehensive Emergency Management Plan Update  | 20,000                         |
| ■ Continuity of Operations Plan Update  | 16,000                         |
| ■ Functional Needs Shelter Support Strategic Plan   | 6,993                          |
| ■ Comprehensive Emergency Management Plan   | 45,000                         |
| ■ Post-Disaster Redevelopment Plan – Phase 1  | 42,000                         |
| ■ Post-Disaster Redevelopment Plan – Phase 2  | 43,479                         |
| ■ Planning & Operations Section Chief Training  | 17,000                         |
| ■ EP-61 Emergency Support Functions for Comprehensive Plan – Mitigation and Recovery Annexes Update   | 37,500                         |
| ■ Incident Command System – Position Specific Training  | 10,450                         |
| <b>TCRPC Dues Freeze (2007-2014)</b>  | <b>\$9,132</b>                 |
| <b><u>Expenses (7 Year)</u></b>   | <b><u>Revenue (7 Year)</u></b> |
| <b>\$429,359</b>  | <b>\$2,598,104</b>             |

**ROI = 6:1**

**Palm Beach County  
ROI Analysis  
2008 – 2015**

|  |                                |
|--|--------------------------------|
| <b>EXPENSES - \$554,000 Annual Dues (2008-2015)</b>  | <b>\$3,878,000</b>             |
| <b>REVENUE SUMMARY (2008-2015)</b>   |                                |
| <b><u>US Department of Homeland Security/Florida Department of Emergency Management Hurricane Emergency Preparedness Grants</u></b>  | <b>\$1,180,387</b>             |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Local Emergency Planning Committee Staffing, Facilitation, and State Representation</li> <li>■ Hurricane Evacuation Plan Updates</li> <li>■ Planning and Technical Assistance</li> <li>■ First Responder Training (2,534 persons trained in the TCR)</li> <li>■ Hazardous Material and Waste Incident Exercises</li> </ul>  |                                |
| <b><u>EDA Infrastructure Grants (including \$3.99 million for the Glades Regional Utility System)</u></b>  | <b>\$4,500,000</b>             |
| <b><u>EPA Brownfields Grants</u></b>   | <b>\$ 459,000</b>              |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Pahokee Plaza – assessment and clean up</li> <li>■ Western Communities Arsenic Study</li> <li>■ Belle Glade Lake Shore Civic Center – Assessment Grant</li> <li>■ South Bay, 480 US 27 – Assessment Grant</li> <li>■ West Palm Beach, 1400 Henrietta Avenue, Urban Forms Grant</li> <li>■ South Bay, 480 US 27 – Assessment Grant</li> <li>■ West Palm Beach, 1400 Henrietta Avenue, Urban Forms Grant</li> </ul>   |                                |
| <b><u>USDA Urban/Rural Grant Program – Riviera Beach Public Market Grant</u></b>   | <b>\$ 60,000</b>               |
| <b><u>HUD Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP) Grant</u></b>   | <b>\$52,000,000</b>            |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Palm Beach County utilized Council’s Urban Redevelopment Area Charrette final report and its extensive public outreach process for the 30 square mile urban study area as a basis for securing the NSP grant for the County. Council’s work helped leverage the \$52,000,000 grant.</li> </ul>  |                                |
| <b><u>HUD Sustainable Communities Initiative Grant (Seven50)</u></b>   | <b>\$4,250,000</b>             |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Seven-county transportation model</li> <li>■ Regional data warehouse</li> <li>■ Climate compact inundation assessment</li> <li>■ FDOT, SFRTA, FEC Industries commuter rail agreement</li> <li>■ Seven50 civic and leadership assets map</li> <li>■ Fair housing and economic opportunity assessment</li> <li>■ Future of the Region report and recommendations</li> </ul>   |                                |
| <b><u>HUD Community Challenge Grant – Glades Region Master Plan</u></b>  | <b>\$1,980,000</b>             |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Palm Beach County used TCRPC’s prior work in the Glades, the Seven50 grant work, and Council’s reputation for public outreach to underrepresented and economically disadvantaged minority groups to help leverage the grant. Council is participating with PBC in doing the public outreach campaign and developing the report and recommendations for the Master Plan.</li> </ul>  |                                |
| <b><u>USDOT Transportation Investment Generating Economic Recovery (TIGER) Grant – FEC/CSX Crossover at 25<sup>th</sup> Street, WPB – Construction</u></b>   | <b>\$13,000,000</b>            |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Palm Beach MPO used the consensus reached during the FEC/CSX Connector Charrette, the report prepared by Council, and its inclusive public outreach process to help secure the construction grant. Originally one of the proposals had the crossover planned to go through an historic black neighborhood on 15<sup>th</sup> Street.</li> </ul>   |                                |
| <b><u>US Department of Energy – Energy Resiliency Study Grant</u></b>  | <b>\$ 101,545</b>              |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Economic impact analysis of the Region’s vulnerability to supply interruptions based on our current fuel mix to produce energy and policy recommendations to address these vulnerabilities and make the region more resilient and energy independent.</li> </ul>  |                                |
| <b><u>Urban Design and Redevelopment Master Planning Work – Palm Beach County</u></b>  | <b>\$TBD</b>                   |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Council has undertaken 38 different public planning charrettes in Palm Beach County resulting in development and redevelopment of communities throughout the county including Lake Park, Riviera Beach, West Palm Beach, Boynton Beach, Lake Worth, and Delray Beach. Council is currently in the process of evaluating and measuring before and after economic metrics like taxable property values, affordable housing stock, and job creation. The Palm Beach County charrette work and fiscal impact evaluation will be part of a larger publication for evaluating the value of Council’s work in the seven-county southeast Florida region and in other counties around the state where Council has worked over the last 39 years.</li> </ul> |                                |
| <b><u>Congress for the New Urbanism International Conference (City of West Palm Beach)</u></b>   | <b>\$1,800,000</b>             |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Council was responsible for winning the bid to bring the five-day international conference to Palm Beach County. An economic analysis done by the PBC-BDB estimated the value added to the PBC economy at \$1,800,000.</li> </ul>   |                                |
| <b>TCRPC Dues Freeze (2007-2014)</b>   | <b>\$80,877</b>                |
| <b><u>Expenses (7 Year)</u></b>  | <b><u>Revenue (7 Year)</u></b> |
| \$3,878,000  | \$79,411,809                   |

**ROI = 20:1**

**Other Benefits**  
Staff for PB MPO  
Staff for SFRTA  
Preferred Sustainability Status from Federal Funding Agencies

**St. Lucie County  
ROI Analysis  
2008 – 2015**

|   |                                |
|---|--------------------------------|
| <b>EXPENSES - \$111,555 Annual Dues (2008–2015)</b>   | <b>\$ 780,885</b>              |
| <b>REVENUE SUMMARY (2008-2015)</b>  |                                |
| <b><u>EDA Economic Adjustment Implementation Funds</u></b>  | <b>\$2,795,283</b>             |
| ▪ VGTI Building   |                                |
| <b><u>EPA Brownfields Assessment Grants/Loans</u></b>   | <b>\$1,184,300</b>             |
| ▪ 301 Florida Avenue Assessment Grant   | 15,300                         |
| ▪ Very-Low Interest Loans for HD King Site Clean-up   | 1,169,000                      |
| <b><u>HUD Sustainable Communities Grant</u></b>   | <b>\$ 190,338</b>              |
| ▪ Seven-County Transportation Model   |                                |
| ▪ Regional Data Warehouse   |                                |
| ▪ Sea Level Rise Inundation Assessment Maps   |                                |
| ▪ Civic and Leadership Asset Map  |                                |
| ▪ Fair Housing and Economic Opportunity Assessment  |                                |
| ▪ Future of the Region Report and Recommendation  |                                |
| <b><u>FHA/ St. Lucie Transportation Planning Organization Long Range Transportation Planning</u></b>  | <b>\$ 950,000</b>              |
| ▪ 2035 Regional Long Range Transportation Plan  | 750,000                        |
| ▪ MC/SLC Waterways Plan   | 200,000                        |
| <b><u>USDOE Energy Resiliency Study Grant</u></b>   | <b>\$ 101,545</b>              |
| ▪ Economic impact analysis of the Region’s vulnerability to supply interruptions based on our current fuel mix to produce energy and policy recommendations to address these vulnerabilities and make the region more resilient and energy independent.   |                                |
| <b><u>Urban Design and Redevelopment Master Planning Work – St. Lucie County</u></b>  | <b>TBD</b>                     |
| ▪ Council has undertaken 18 different public planning charrettes in St. Lucie County resulting in development and redevelopment of communities throughout the County. Council is currently in the process of evaluating and measuring before and after economic metrics like taxable property values, affordable housing stock, and job creation. The St. Lucie County charrette work and fiscal impact evaluation will be part of a larger publication for evaluating the value of Council’s work in the four-county Treasure Coast Region and in other counties around the state where Council has worked over the last 39 years. |                                |
| <b><u>FDOE/SLC Solar Energy Loan Fund</u></b>   | <b>\$ 50,000</b>               |
| ▪ Supplement for Program Operation and Loan Officer   |                                |
| <b><u>Bud Adams Cobb Center Cultural Center: Waterways and Course of the Treasure Coast History Exhibit</u></b>   | <b>\$ 5,450</b>                |
| <b><u>US DHS/FDEM - Emergency Preparedness Planning and Training</u></b>  | <b>\$876,131</b>               |
| ▪ Local Emergency Planning Committee - Program Staffing, Facilitation, and State Representation   | 280,000                        |
| ▪ Hazardous Materials Emergency Preparedness First Responder Training (2,534 persons trained in the TCR)  | 294,000                        |
| ▪ Hurricane Evacuation Study Roadway Networks/Vulnerability/Evacuation Times/Hazards Analysis   | 40,000                         |
| ▪ SLC Office of Domestic Preparedness – Incident Command System Training  | 10,025                         |
| ▪ Hazardous Materials Analysis/Compliance Inspections   | 12,254                         |
| ▪ St. Lucie County Continuity of Operations Plan (COOP)   | 30,132                         |
| ▪ Hazardous Materials Analysis/Compliance Inspections   | 6,500                          |
| ▪ Hazardous Materials Analysis/Compliance Inspections   | 4,641                          |
| ▪ Logistics Staging Area Plan   | 6,326                          |
| ▪ Hazardous Materials Analysis/Compliance Inspections   | 4,641                          |
| ▪ Hazardous Materials Analysis/Compliance Inspections   | 7,523                          |
| ▪ Homeland Security Exercise Evaluation Program Training  | 6,519                          |
| ▪ G-357 Training (Emergency Response to Criminal/terrorist incidents)   | 6,895                          |
| ▪ Hazardous Materials Analysis/Compliance Inspections   | 6,720                          |
| ▪ Post-Disaster Redevelopment Plan Phase 1  | 20,120                         |
| ▪ Post-Disaster Redevelopment Plan Phase 2  | 64,084                         |
| ▪ Hazardous Materials Analysis/Compliance Inspections   | 9,073                          |
| ▪ Small Quantity Waste Generator Program  | 15,290                         |
| ▪ Small Quantity Waste Generator Program  | 17,162                         |
| ▪ Evacuation Study Update - SLC Small Area Data Update  | 8,000                          |
| ▪ Hazardous Materials Analysis/Compliance Inspections   | 13,341                         |
| ▪ Directional Storm Surge Atlases   | 4,500                          |
| ▪ Hazardous Materials Analysis/Compliance Analysis  | 8,385                          |
| <b>TCRPC Dues Freeze (2007-2014)</b>  | <b>\$53,838</b>                |
| <b><u>Expenses (7 Year)</u></b>   |                                |
| <b>\$780,885</b>  |                                |
|   | <b><u>Revenue (7 Year)</u></b> |
|   | <b>\$6,206,885</b>             |

**ROI = 8:1**

TREASURE COAST REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL  
ADOPTED BUDGET  
FISCAL YEAR 2015-2016

REVENUES

| <b>Revenue Source</b>                     | <b>Adopted<br/>2015-2016</b> |
|---|------------------------------|
| <b>STATE</b>                              |                              |
| FDEM-SARA Title III (LEPC)                | 36,000                       |
| 2014 SLC - Hazards Analysis               | 4,449                        |
| 2015 SLC Small Quantity Generator Project | <u>15,500</u>                |
| <b>Subtotal</b>                           | <b>55,949</b>                |
| <b>FEDERAL</b>                            |                              |
| HMEP-Training                             | 49,227                       |
| HMEP-Planning                             | 22,000                       |
| EDA Planning                              | 80,000                       |
| EDA Capacity Grant                        | 15,000                       |
| EPA – Revolving Loan Fund Management      | 20,000                       |
| EPA – Brownfields Assessment Grant        | 170,800                      |
| FDOT Land Use & Transportation Study      | <u>102,300</u>               |
| <b>Subtotal</b>                           | <b>459,327</b>               |
| <b>LOCAL</b>                              |                              |
| PBC-MPO Planning                          | 150,000                      |
| South FL Reg. Transportation Authority    | 100,000                      |
| Riviera Beach Planning Services           | 10,000                       |
| Delray Beach Planning Services            | 10,000                       |
| Boynton Beach Planning Services           | 10,000                       |
| Fellsmere EDA Grant Management            | 1,500                        |
| North Palm Beach Community Master Plan    | 190,000                      |
| Vero Beach Cultural Arts Village          | <u>5,000</u>                 |
| <b>Subtotal</b>                           | <b>476,500</b>               |
| <b>LOCAL DUES</b>                         |                              |
| Palm Beach County                         | 584,902                      |
| Martin County                             | 63,892                       |
| St. Lucie County                          | 121,613                      |
| Indian River County                       | <u>60,611</u>                |
| <b>Subtotal</b>                           | <b>831,018</b>               |

TREASURE COAST REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL  
ADOPTED BUDGET cont'd  
FISCAL YEAR 2015-2016

REVENUES

**MISCELLANEOUS**

|  |                    |
|--|--------------------|
| DRI Fees                                 | 5,000              |
| Interest                                 | 1,000              |
| Miscellaneous                            | 1,000              |
| Projected Revenue from Pending Contracts | <u>281,685</u>     |
| <b>Subtotal</b>                          | <b>288,685</b>     |
| <b>TOTAL</b>                             | <b>\$2,111,479</b> |

TREASURE COAST REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL  
ADOPTED BUDGET  
FISCAL YEAR 2015-2016

EXPENDITURES

| <b>Spending Category</b>   | <b>Adopted<br/>2015-2016</b> |
|----------------------------|------------------------------|
| Salaries                   | 789,000                      |
| Benefits                   | 355,000                      |
| Occupancy Expenses         | 7,000                        |
| Insurance                  | 22,000                       |
| Brownfields Insurance      | 6,000                        |
| Equipment Maintenance      | 18,000                       |
| Office Supplies & Expenses | 50,000                       |
| Graphics                   | 2,000                        |
| Copying                    | 40,000                       |
| Telephone                  | 15,000                       |
| Postage                    | 5,000                        |
| Travel                     | 70,000                       |
| Dues & Memberships         | 32,000                       |
| Training & Conferences     | 4,500                        |
| Education                  | 2,500                        |
| Library & Publications     | 500                          |
| Legal Advertising          | 2,500                        |
| Legal Fees                 | 25,000                       |
| Audit Fees                 | 11,500                       |
| Utilities                  | 12,000                       |
| Note Principal             | 117,047                      |
| Note Interest              | <u>53,032</u>                |
| <b>Subtotal</b>            | <b><u>1,639,579</u></b>      |
| <br>Consultants – Planning | <br><u>451,900</u>           |
| <b>Subtotal</b>            | <b><u>2,091,479</u></b>      |
| <br>Capital Outlay         | <br>15,000                   |
| Capital Outlay-Bldg (FARB) | 5,000                        |
| <b>Total</b>               | <b><u>\$2,111,479</u></b>    |

**Treasure Coast Regional Planning Council  
Summary of Council's Work Program  
FY 2015-2016**

**New Projects**

- Riviera Beach/Port of Palm Beach Facilitation Services for the Interlocal Agreement
- Vero Beach Cultural Arts Village Master Plan
- Brownfields Coalition Assessment Grant 2015-2018
- South Florida Regional Transportation Authority Planning Assistance Grant 2015-2020
- Town of Lake Clarke Shores CRA Finding of Necessity and CRA Plan
- City of Boca Raton 20<sup>th</sup> Street Visioning Workshop and Master Plan
- Land Use/Planning Assistance Agreement with Palm Beach MPO 2015-2017
- Indian River Lagoon Economic Contributions Study
- Town of Jupiter Indiantown Road Corridor Study
- Village of North Palm Beach Master Plan
- Hazardous Materials Emergency Preparedness Grant with Florida Department of Emergency Management 2015-2018
- Palm Beach County School District Adult Learning Center Planning
- Statewide Coastal Resiliency Training Grant
- Delray Beach Staff and Planning Board Training

**Potential Projects**

- City of Delray Beach Master Plan Update
- City of Boynton Beach CRA Plan Update
- South Dixie Corridor – Forest Hill Boulevard to C-15 Canal
- Piper's Landing Design Code
- City of Riviera Beach CRA Neighborhood Plans
- Regional Homeland Security Exercise for the Treasure Coast Region
- City of Hialeah Form-Based Code
- City of Lakeland Complete Street Corridor Charrette

**Continuing Projects**

**Boynton Beach CRA Continuing Service Agreement.** Council staff is providing planning assistance and facilitation services during completion of the CRA Master Plan. This is an ongoing agreement.

**Brownfield Revolving Loan Program.** This is the tenth year of an EPA Revolving Loan Program, originally a five-year program. The contract was increased to \$2,160,000 in 2015. Council completed a \$1,400,000 pass-through Brownfields loan to the Community Redevelopment Agency of the City of Fort Pierce. It is anticipated Council will receive an additional \$300,000 in 2016 as supplemental funding for the completion of the H.D. King Power Plant project in the City of Fort Pierce.

**Comprehensive Economic Development Strategy (Partnership Planning Program).** The U.S. Economic Development Administration provided Council a three-year Partnership Planning Program grant to undertake regional economic development planning in the Treasure Coast Region, in

cooperation with local economic development organizations, local governments, and its private sector partners. The three-year grant expires in December 2016.

**City of Fellsmere – Grant Management and Administration Services.** Council is continuing grant management and administration services identified in an interlocal agreement between Council and the City of Fellsmere. Council assisted the city in obtaining a grant from the U.S. Economic Development Administration. In 2014, the City was awarded \$728,000 in state and federal funds to construct a new roadway system to provide access to the Florida Organic Aquaculture shrimp farm operation located within the city. This roadway project facilitates the expansion of the agricultural industry in Fellsmere by providing access to a new area of business. The grant management and administration services are expected to be completed in 2016.

**Hazardous Materials Emergency Preparedness.** This annual grant with the FDEM and the Florida Department of Transportation is conducted in two components, planning and training. The planning portion includes: regional hazardous materials response team needs assessment surveys; hazardous facility evaluations; community workshops; and facility outreach to those facilities maintaining annual chemical reporting to the State of Florida. The training portion of the grant includes providing courses to public sector first responders in awareness, operations and technician level hazardous materials training. The project has been extended beyond September 30, 2015 and is expected to be completed in December 2015.

**Martin/St. Lucie County Regional Waterways Plan.** Council is providing facilitation and technical assistance to develop the Martin/St. Lucie Regional Waterways Plan. This project has been coordinated through the Martin MPO, St. Lucie TPO, and Florida Inland Navigation District as well as local governments, agencies, organizations, private sector, and the public. The plan addresses a range of issues, including transportation, land use, economics, natural systems, resource protection, public access, and parks and recreation. At the conclusion of a year-long effort that included educational forums, public workshops, and extensive public outreach, the plan document was completed in December 2014. Council staff is continuing to provide implementation and evaluation assistance as local governments, agencies, and others initiate waterways-related projects and programs.

**Palm Beach Metropolitan Planning Organization - Land Use/Planning Assistance.** Council provides continuing services including land use planning, design, facilitation, project evaluation, transit-oriented development, economic analysis, and related tasks in addition to continuing services for land use/transportation as requested. Council has an extended three-year agreement that began in 2015-2016 with two optional one year extensions. The agreement provides \$150,000 per year for assistance on various transportation, land use and planning projects in support of the MPOs long range transportation. Representative projects include:

- **C-51 Multi-Modal Access Project**
- **Local Government Quiet Zone Assistance**
- **Tequesta/US 1 Corridor Plan**
- **West Palm Beach/South Dixie Highway Corridor Plan**
- **Southeast Florida Regional Greenways and Trails Plan**

**Rail-Related Technical Assistance to Local Governments.** Since the announcement of the All Aboard Florida project in 2012, Council has been providing extensive technical assistance to local governments regarding project-related impacts, document review, permitting, and information

requests. This work effort includes regular Council updates, heightened coordination with relevant federal agencies, presentations at local government hearings and workshops as requested, and discussion forums regarding key aspects of the project (e.g., impacts upon drawbridges and marine navigation, review process and issues regarding the Environmental Impact Statement, requested project financing, etc.). This work effort is anticipated to continue as the project advances.

**City of Riviera Beach - Continuing Services Agreement.** Council staff has been working closely with the City of Riviera Beach and the Riviera Beach CRA to update the CRA plan, comprehensive plan, land development regulations, and to refine the Marine District South Master Plan. This continuing services agreement enables Council staff to assist the CRA with any requests pertaining to the revitalization of the CRA areas beyond the scope of previous agreements. This is an ongoing agreement.

**City of Riviera Beach CRA Boundary Expansion Study.** For the last year, Council staff has been working closely with the City of Riviera Beach and the Riviera Beach CRA to explore four different neighborhood areas to expand the CRA. Four different Findings of Necessity have been completed and two areas within Riviera Beach Heights and Singer Island are being carried forward by the CRA for further action by the city. The CRA expansion effort should be completed in 2016.

**South Florida Regional Transportation Authority (SFRTA).** Council provides varied forms of assistance as requested by the SFRTA, including land use, planning, education, public outreach, project evaluation and development, mapping, meeting facilitation, intergovernmental coordination, and other forms of assistance as requested. A new contract was adopted in 2015 and extends through 2020. Sample project areas are noted below:

- Coordination with Florida Housing Finance Corporation regarding financing of Transit Oriented Developments (TODs)
- Station-Area Development Analysis, Planning and TOD Assistance
- Pedestrian/Bicycle Station Access Plan
- Northern Layover Facility
- Technical Support for Funding/Grant Applications, Developer Forums
- Facilitation Assistance with Local Governments, Agencies, Property Owners

**Tri-Rail Coastal Link/Continuing Assistance to FDOT.** Council is providing FDOT station planning, evaluation and assistance as part of the “Coastal Link” project, which includes a multi-year planning effort to develop a long-term strategy for expanded passenger rail development in the region. The project contract was extended to June 2016 for an additional \$50,000.

**City of West Palm Beach – South Dixie Multimodal Design Study.** Council is assisting the City of West Palm Beach, the Palm Beach Metropolitan Planning Organization, and the surrounding community and institutions with the provision of urban design, planning, and engineering assistance in the creation of a corridor master plan for South Dixie Highway. The corridor master plan will identify improvements to transform South Dixie Highway into a “complete street” that appropriately supports multi-modal transportation options and facilitates redevelopment. A component of the planning analysis will be to explore the feasibility of a lane reduction on South Dixie Highway within the study area from four lanes to three. The draft plan was completed in September 2015. The final plan is expected to be completed by March 2016.

## **Recently Completed Projects**

**Boynton Beach Town Center Master Plan.** Council assisted the City of Boynton Beach and the CRA in developing a detailed redevelopment plan and phasing strategy for the municipal complex of the City of Boynton Beach. This project was completed in March 2015.

**Chemical Facility Hazards Analyses.** Council partnered with the Florida Department of Emergency Management (FDEM) to provide technical assistance to St. Lucie County by conducting site visits and compliance assistance to extremely hazardous substances storage facilities. Staff monitors quantities of chemicals, and develops a risk and vulnerability analysis for each facility for the County Fire Rescue District and FDEM. The database is used to develop hazardous material emergency plans to respond and recover from release or spill of toxic substances. This project was completed in June 2015.

**Delray Beach Form-Based Code and Design Guidelines.** Council assisted the City of Delray Beach and the Delray Beach Community Redevelopment Agency in developing a form-based code for the downtown core area to replace the existing land development regulations. In addition, Council staff will develop clear and specific architectural design guidelines for the area that, with the new form-based code, will ensure continued implementation of the city's vision. The project was completed in September 2015 and December 2015 respectively.

**Directional Storm Tide Atlas.** Preparation of the atlas is a cooperative effort of the FDEM, the Florida Regional Planning Councils and the county emergency management agencies. The atlas is an additional volume to the original Hurricane Evacuation Study completed in 2010 by Council. The purpose of this atlas is to provide maps which depict wind, storm surge, and flood impacts from hurricanes approaching, paralleling and exiting the Treasure Coast area. Factors in development of the maps included storm direction and intensity, forward speed, astronomical tides, surge depths, and wave heights. This project was completed in April 2015.

**District 10 Local Emergency Planning Committee (LEPC) Biennial Exercise.** The District 10 LEPC conducted the 2015 Biennial Exercise on June 29, 2015 at the Indian River County Emergency Operations Center. The exercise was a hybrid tabletop/functional with three discussion (3) modules and multi-media presentations. The design was to allow for jurisdictional as well as functional group discussions. Scenarios test communication and notification response protocols between hazardous materials response team personnel, emergency operation centers, sheriff's offices, public and private transportation entities, and other response agency personnel. After-Action Reports are written in accordance with the Homeland Security Exercise Evaluation Program guidelines and are submitted the FDEM per contract requirements and exercise participants and LEPC members are notified of availability. The project was completed in June 2015.

**Glades Region Master Plan.** In February 2012, Palm Beach County signed a \$347,398 Cooperative Agreement with the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) to develop a Master Plan for the Glades Region of western Palm Beach County. Council is assisting Palm Beach County in developing this plan. This plan will identify and catalog the types and mix of land uses and infrastructure needed to serve planned development/redevelopment incorporating the "Livability Principles" of HUD, the U.S. Department of Transportation and the Environmental Protection Agency Partnership. The plan was completed in April 2015.

**City of Hallandale Beach Façade Study for the Fashion Art and Design District.** Council staff conducted a series of before and after façade studies illustrating the potential transformation of this commercial arts district. This work was completed in May 2015.

**City of Hallandale Beach Land Development Code Update and Revisions.** Council assisted the City of Hallandale Beach and the Hallandale Beach CRA with analyzing and re-writing the land development regulations for the “Town Center” area of the city. This effort will require detailed testing and analysis of the existing conditions as well as proposed solutions consistent with the Citywide Master Plan. The final deliverables were new regulations that implement the city’s vision. This project was completed in June 2015.

**City of Hallandale Beach Foster Road – Dixie Highway Streetscape Plan.** Council staff worked closely with the city and the Hallandale Beach CRA to develop a new streetscape design to help reinforce the “main street” character of the historic neighborhood in advance of two significant redevelopment projects. The project was completed August 2015.

**Homeland Security Grant Program.** Staff is facilitating Incident Commander training for senior officials who might respond to disasters and incidents for Martin County Emergency Management and Fire Rescue Administration. This is required training by the Department of Homeland Security/Federal Emergency Management Agency and is part of a series of position specific courses designed to increase readiness and operational response. This project was completed in February 2015.

**Impediments to Implementation of the Indian River Lagoon Basin Management Action Plans.** This report was the result of a cooperative effort by East Central Florida Regional Planning Council and Treasure Coast Regional Planning Council to provide a regional evaluation and assessment related to the Indian River Lagoon, and St. Lucie River and Estuary. The work was intended to assist the state and local governments in Volusia, Brevard, Indian River, St. Lucie, and Martin counties by providing a better understanding of the challenges associated with implementing Basin Management Action Plans (BMAPs), which were prepared by the Florida Department of Environmental Protection. The ultimate goal of the report was to provide recommendations that will assist local governments and other entities to fully implement the BMAPs and improve the ecological health and economic value of the Indian River Lagoon and St. Lucie River estuarine systems. This work was supported by a Community Planning Technical Assistance Grant from the Florida Department of Economic Opportunity. The report was completed in May 2015.

**Riviera Beach - Broadway Corridor Master Plan.** Council is working with the City of Riviera Beach, the Riviera Beach CRA and others to produce a detailed redevelopment plan for Broadway, Avenue E, and the Marine District North in Riviera Beach. These areas are bounded by 11<sup>th</sup> Street to the south and Blue Heron Boulevard to the north including areas east of Broadway, north of Bicentennial Park, referred to as Marine District North. The scope includes a collaborative effort with marine industries and property owners within the study area. This project was completed in December 2014.

**Riviera Beach Land Development Regulations.** The City of Riviera Beach recently adopted the new form-based land development regulations for downtown. This is a critical piece to implementing the Citizens’ Master Plan developed in 2008 by Council staff and follows the adoption of the revised CRA Plan, and comprehensive plan, also authored by Council staff. This project was completed in January 2015.

**Small Quantity Hazardous Waste Generator Program.** Council staff conducted 175 site visits to small quantity waste generators in St. Lucie County in compliance with the Florida Department of Environmental Protection program for monitoring waste operations. This entails outreach education to public and private facilities on reporting requirements and proper storage of waste materials. This program also engages the facility operator in safety regulations for employees and operations regarding storage management and off-site disposal of waste products. The project was completed in June 2015.

**Village of Tequesta US 1 Complete Streets Project.** Working collaboratively with the Palm Beach Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO), the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT), and affected local governments, Council conducted a public planning charrette which led to the adoption of the Tequesta/Jupiter US1 Corridor Master Plan. The plan details a transformation of the corridor from a six-lane highway devoid of bicycle facilities to a four-lane “Complete Streets” retrofit, utilizing FDOT’s Lane Elimination Program. Amenities will include buffered bicycle lanes, pedestrian-scale lighting, landscaping, intersection improvements, and a multi-use path to connect the “village center” to the Jupiter Inlet Lighthouse Outstanding Natural Area, which is a nationally recognized historic landmark. Council assisted the Village in securing more than \$610,000 in state and local funding to build the street improvements. The plan was completed in February 2015, with engineering through 2016, and construction scheduled for 2017.

## MEMORANDUM

To: Council Members  
From: Staff  
Date: August 12, 2014  
Subject: The Role of Regional Planning Councils

### **REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL FUNDAMENTALS**

#### **I. Introduction**

Regional planning Councils (RPCs) are best known for their reviews of Developments of Regional Impact and their reviews of local government comprehensive plans. If one focuses on these specific responsibilities without an appreciation for what really makes RPCs unique, the many other services it provides to local government, and what their real and fundamental responsibilities are, will be missed.

Briefly Stated:

1. RPCs provide the forum and mechanism by which local governments can avoid or resolve interjurisdictional conflicts in an effective and cost effective manner.
2. RPCs provide the most efficient mechanism for addressing problems that can only be addressed by collaborative effort and cooperation between local governments.
3. RPCs help to assure that state goals and objectives (the top down part of planning) are addressed in a manner that its appropriate given local and regional conditions (the bottom up part of planning).
4. RPCs provide a mechanism for resolving apparent conflicts between local government and state and federal agency policy and for balancing the competing and diverse interests of the state and the federal government.
5. RPCs allow for cost sharing and service delivery between local governments and minimize the need for duplicative expenditures.
6. RPCs represent a think tank for the early identification and creative resolution of problems.

#### **A. What RPCs do and why they are essential.**

Although in many ways government works best at the most local levels, individual local governments would have a difficult, if not impossible, task achieving their objectives without

the cooperation of neighboring communities. Just as cooperation is essential between neighboring jurisdictions, cooperation is also essential between the state and local levels of government. As is illustrated below, the RPCs provide for a high degree of cooperation between local governments and play a key role in assuring that cooperation exists between the state and local governments. They do this in several distinct ways:

1. **RPCs provide the forum and mechanism by which local governments can avoid or resolve interjurisdictional conflicts in an effective and cost effective manner.**

Sometimes what is in the best interest of one community, would adversely impact another, or would interfere with the other's ability to achieve its own goals and objectives. The probability of such conflicts increases with the number of independent jurisdictions that occur within an area and with the amount of growth that is occurring.

Examples of potential conflicts are numerous. One local government might look forward to the construction of a regional mall for the taxes and jobs the center would provide; neighboring jurisdictions might be concerned about the effects the mall would have on their efforts to encourage downtown revitalization, or about traffic impacts that would occur within their community. One local government might wish to lower level of service and concurrency standards in order to facilitate downtown development, but such action might create traffic problems in other jurisdictions, interfere with emergency evacuation or emergency service capability or interference with the growth potential of surrounding jurisdictions.

RPCs provide local governments with a forum for discussing potential conflicts, avoiding such conflicts, and where necessary, provide a fair and objective mechanism for resolving those conflicts that could not be avoided. In a sense, they protect local governments from each other.

Conflicts between local governments are avoided in two general ways: 1) by foresight and the mutual agreement to adopt regional policies that all local governments can live by; and 2) by Council efforts to find mutually acceptable remedies to problems (i.e., by working together).

Where conflicts have not been completely avoided, they at least can be resolved fairly and objectively because of the make up of Council's board, and via the board's ability to make recommendations to local governments and state agencies, and in some cases their ability to intervene in actions (at the request of local government or the state).

The system works, and works well, for two reasons: 1) the decision makers are the local governments themselves (2/3 of the membership) and citizens that live within the region (1/3 of the membership); and 2) whenever the Council reviews an issue, the majority of the membership is from outside the jurisdiction whose action, or potential action, is under review. It must be emphasized that the rules and decisions adopted by RPCs were adopted by a group in which the affected parties have representation. This is not the case with rules adopted at the agency level. It must also be emphasized that the decisions being made by RPCs are made by neighbors that tend to be reasonably sensitive to the idiosyncrasies of their communities and area. This is not always the

case with decisions made in far off places by people that, in some cases, have never set foot in the jurisdiction they critique.

2. **RPCs provide the most efficient mechanism for addressing problems that can only be addressed by collaborative effort and cooperation between local governments.**

Whether the problem is of statewide concern (i.e., maintenance of level of service on the state highway system), or the problem is unique to only one or a few regions (i.e., Everglades and Indian River Lagoon protection and restoration), the best and most effective solutions to such problems are often developed at the regional level. There are several reasons for this:

- a. Even in the case of statewide issues, aspects of the problem and opportunities for solving the problem usually vary from region to region.

By way of example, level of service on the state highway system may be a statewide issue, but only certain regions have the near term opportunity to establish commuter rail lines and high levels of transit service. Level of service policies might be expected to be different in areas trying to encourage transit use than in areas that don't have the transit capability.

- b. When policy is developed at the regional level there is a better opportunity for a melding of state (i.e., top down) and local (i.e., bottom up) concerns.

The comprehensive planning efforts that have been undertaken by local governments over the past few years are replete with examples of the state's ignorance of local conditions. As one example, the former Department of Community Affairs criticized the plans of several local governments for their failure to allow the establishment of mobile home parks as a method of addressing affordable housing issues. Although the state was correct to require each local government to fully address the issue of affordable housing, it was entirely inappropriate for them to require their particular solution, and showed either the ignorance of the state regarding local conditions, or its insensitivity to them, something less likely to occur at the regional level.

- c. Some issues are unique to only one or a few regions, and should not be addressed statewide in the same manner.

By way of example, upland habitat loss is a very serious problem in only some portions of Florida, and is of critical concern to those areas of the state experiencing both significant urban and agricultural expansion. The issue has been successfully addressed in regions where the issue is real and problem is critical, and where the public is aware that aggressive action needs to be taken.

In regions where the issue has yet to reach critical proportions action is warranted to prevent habitat loss from ever becoming a problem, but the degree of action required may be less and the variety of approaches that could be taken, are many. If

aggressive action to protect habitat is forced on areas where the issue is not really a problem, and without proper education, nothing good will result.

- d. Because of their size, structure and diverse areas of responsibility, RPCs are often better able to address problems efficiently and comprehensively, than most other governmental entities.

There is an unfortunate tendency in government to departmentalize and focus narrowly on one issue at a time. The state's experts on transportation are gathered together in one place; experts on housing and land use somewhere else. Rarely, if ever, do they work together, and rarely, if ever, does policy reflect the high degree of interaction, land use and transportation have on one another. The same problem often occurs at the local level.

RPCs are different. A typical RPC staff includes one or more transportation engineers or planner, ecologists, sometimes a chemist, an economist, geographers, urban designers and town planners, experts on housing, health planning expertise, etc. They all work together in the same office and they regularly sit around the same table to discuss issues and policy. As a result, they see the interactions between issues and are often able to come up with policy that addresses the root cause of the problem, rather than just the symptoms.

3. **RPCs help to assure that state goals and objectives (the top down part of planning) are addressed in a manner that its appropriate given local and regional conditions (the bottom up part of planning).**

The regions of Florida are very different from one another. Not all counties and cities have the same problems, nor the same opportunities to address these problems. This is understood at the RPC level; it does not always seem to be understood at the state level. As evidence, four criticisms of the state's implementation of growth management legislation have been: 1) its apparent insensitivity to obvious differences; 2) its occasional ignorance of relevant local conditions; 3) its perceived view, that there is only one way to "skin a cat;" and 4) the expense and extreme difficulty associated with working out problems with an agency and review staff housed far way.

Most of these problems could be corrected and would disappear if the state would delegate and vest for authority for growth management implementation at the regional level. As things stand now, RPCs have helped to assure cooperation between the state and local government, but too often have been undermined by the state ignoring RPC recommendations, and by the state's failure to consistently rely on the regions to resolve compliance problems.

4. **RPCs provide a mechanism for resolving apparent conflicts between local government and state and federal agency policy and for balancing the competing and diverse interest of the state and the federal government.**

RPCs retain on staff a high level of expertise in a wide variety of areas. Their comprehensive review of issues, large projects (DRIs, power plants, etc.), and

comprehensive plans requires expertise in a wide variety of areas and such expertise also allows them to assist those smaller local governments that cannot afford to maintain expertise in areas of which they have only occasional need.

Wide ranging expertise also makes RPCs very effective in resolving apparent conflicts that sometimes arise when agencies or special interest groups with narrower focus comment on projects and plans under review. RPCs have the expertise necessary to understand agency and interest group objectives, but their broader perspective allows and encourages them to find creative solutions that deal with a variety of objectives simultaneously.

5. **RPCs allow for cost sharing and service delivery between local governments and minimize the need for duplicative expenditures.**

Not every local government can afford, nor do they even need to maintain, full time expertise in all areas. Some can hardly justify any full time employees. Although local governments vary greatly in their need for certain types of expertise, each may occasionally face an issue which requires direction, and the RPCs maintain a staff to provide such services.

Because the RPCs have diverse expertise, and because they make this expertise available to local governments as a free service, or at cost, RPCs can save local governments considerable expense, while at the same time assuring that important issues are not overlooked. This benefits both local government and the state as a whole.

In addition, the RPCs frequently are called upon to develop model ordinances or to do multijurisdictional studies and surveys which, if it were not for the RPCs, would have to be done individually by several local governments. This would be a waste of public resources and result in great inefficiency.

6. **RPCs represent a think tank for the early identification and creative resolution of problems.**

One of the great things about RPCs is that they are not excessively bogged down in permitting responsibilities, nor bureaucratic red tape. They function much more like a planning SWAT team, able to look at the big picture, with time and the diverse expertise needed to identify problems quickly and come up with creative, comprehensive solutions. Florida's important issues have often been identified first by the RPCs, and many of the solutions to these problems were derived from regional policy.

**B. Why RPCs are effective**

RPCs have a variety of characteristics which are unique and contribute to their effectiveness.

1. Board make up and membership.
2. Diverse expertise and small staff size.
3. A holistic, comprehensive approach to problem solving.

4. Freedom to focus on big problems.
5. A planning rather than regulatory focus.

### **C. Why some like RPCs and others dislike them**

RPCs are effective. They work exactly as they were intended to work, and they do their job well. They are not afraid to raise new issues, and have a unique ability to find them. They raise difficult, but important, issues and insist that they be addressed. They are difficult to influence, except by facts and objective argument. They are rarely, if ever, parochial. Although they have no real power, except the power that logic and reason can provide, they represent the conscience of their respective jurisdictions.

**If the regional planning councils no longer performed these functions, the question becomes, who will?**

Although the Department of Economic Opportunity would appear to be the logical entity to step into this role, it has neither the necessary staffing nor funding to carry out these additional functions.

## MEMORANDUM

To: Council Members

From: Staff

Date: January 5, 2000

Subject: Orientation Segment #1 – History and Membership of the Treasure Coast Regional Planning Council

### History

Regionalism in southeast Florida began because one county government had a problem that it couldn't solve alone--it needed the help and cooperation of its neighbors. Dade aviation officials selected a location for a major jetport in the ecologically sensitive Everglades area of western Dade County/eastern Collier County which also affected the environment of adjacent Monroe County. The three counties, recognizing the benefit of working together on issues of regional impact transcending political boundaries, established the South Florida Jetport Council in September 1969 to allow coordination of regional-scale problems faced by two or more of the members. The formal regional structure became the South Florida Everglades Area Planning Council and the Counties of Broward, Palm Beach, Lee, and Hendry were invited and joined with the original members.

It was the awareness of the importance of cooperating in resolving regional-scale problems and recognition of mutual benefits deriving from a regional alliance that led local governments in South Florida to initiate a regional coordinating mechanism. This voluntary local initiative contrasts with agencies mandated or induced to cooperate by state or federal governments.

In 1971, with the Everglades on fire and water wars occurring between Dade and Broward counties, the legislature passed and Governor Askew signed the first significant growth management legislation in the state, further institutionalizing comprehensive planning and the need for regional planning in Florida. In July 1973, the Secretary of Administration divided the state into regions for comprehensive planning purposes. As prescribed by the Secretary of Administration, Monroe, Dade, Broward, Palm Beach, Martin, and St. Lucie Counties were grouped together in one region. In anticipation of this action, Collier and Lee counties ended their membership with the South Florida Everglades Area Planning Council on June 30, 1973, and began action to establish a regional planning council for Southwest Florida. Hendry County joined the Southwest Florida group in 1974.

Effective October 1975, the South Florida Region was further divided with Palm Beach, Martin, and St. Lucie counties being placed in a new sub-state district. On August 19, 1976, Martin, Palm Beach, St. Lucie counties, along with Indian River County, who until then was aligned with the East Central Region, signed an interlocal agreement creating the Treasure Coast Regional Planning Council. Today, the Treasure Coast Region includes these four counties and 50 municipalities.

## The Council

The 28-member governing board of the Council consists of 19 local elected officials, (with nine representing counties, and ten representing municipalities) and nine members appointed by the Governor. The Council began in 1976 with 15 members. Two years later the Legislature required one-third of a regional planning council's membership to be appointed by the governor. As a result, 8 members were added bringing total membership to 23. In 1995, membership expanded to 27 by adding one governor's appointment and one municipal member from St. Lucie County and one more municipal member from Palm Beach County. In 1998, one more municipal member was added in Indian River County bringing total membership to 28.

Council members who are county commissioners are selected annually by their respective commissions. Council members who are municipal officials are appointed in a slightly different manner in each of the member counties. In each case, the county must ratify the appointment. In Palm Beach County, municipal representatives are recommended to the Board of County Commissioners by the Palm Beach County Municipal League. Indian River County has established a set three-year rotational schedule for municipal representation. In Martin County, municipal representatives serve on a three-year rotating basis. In St. Lucie County, the two municipal representatives come from the Cities of Fort Pierce and Port St. Lucie. Each board member who is an elected official has an alternate voting member. Council meetings usually are held on the third Friday of each month in Stuart.

## MEMORANDUM

To: Council Members  
From: Staff  
Date: January 7, 2016  
Subject: Orientation Segment #2 – Florida Regional Council’s Association (FRCA)

### What is the Florida Regional Council’s Association?

FRCA is a joint organization of the 10 Regional Planning Councils (RPC) composed of 30 members. Three councilmembers are appointed from each of the 10 RPCs to make up the membership of the Policy Board. The 10 RPC executive directors serve as the Policy Board’s staff and function as an advisory committee controlled by the Board. FRCA operates under a set of by-laws.

### What is FRCAs Purpose and Intent?

- To:
- 1) further the interests of RPC in Florida;
  - 2) promote the delivery of RPC services to local government;
  - 3) improve relationships and information exchange between RPCs and local government;
  - 4) promote mutually supportive goals and policies among member RPCs; and
  - 5) assure RPCs are effective organizations to the people of Florida.

### How Does FRCA Operate?

FRCA is organized into two bodies: Policy Board and a Staff Directors Advisory Committee.

#### **Policy Board**

The Policy Board is composed of three appointed members from each of the 10 RPCs. Each RPC Chairman is to appoint from its membership one county-elected official, one municipal-elected official and one Governor’s appointee to serve on the Policy Board.

The Policy Board is supposed to meet at least twice a year to conduct business. That business has historically been limited to: 1) assuring recurring state funding for RPCs so they can carry out state mandates and local initiatives when called upon; 2) improving relations with and service delivery to local governments; and 3) monitoring legislation affecting RPCs and local governments. The Florida League of Cities and Florida Association of Counties are invited to all meetings.

Occasionally FRCA (Policy Board) will vote to communicate their position on proposed legislation and issues other than funding (e.g. DRI process, growth management rules, etc.). Any such position taking on legislative issues requires a majority vote of the Policy Board.

It has been FRCA's policy to keep a low profile in Tallahassee and stay out of legislative issues not directly related to its recurring funding, unless requested by the Florida League of Cities, the Association of Counties or a member RPC. There are some exceptions to this rule as some RPCs develop annual legislative agendas and send their executive director to Tallahassee to lobby.

FRCA retains an executive director who organizes its meeting and carries out work authorized by the board. Ron Book has served as FRCA's executive director for the past 28 years. He also has been assigned lobbying duties for FRCA, strictly limited to securing recurring funding for RPCs.

### **Staff Directors Advisory Committee**

The Committee is composed of the 10 RPC executive directors. The Committee meets monthly in various locations around the State, but usually in Tampa or Orlando. The Florida League of Cities and Florida Association of Counties are invited to all meetings.

Its primary function is to inform FRCA (Policy Board) of issues and problems that may need to be acted upon. Its only regular formal action as a Committee is to prepare and approve FRCA's annual budget.

The Directors Advisory Committee also functions well as a resource and forum for the Directors to share information and solutions to common problems and discuss issues statewide that are or could affect RPCs and member local governments.

The Committee creates a legislative agenda each year, but it is strictly related to the level of recurring funding FRCA proposed to request from the State. This request or "agenda" is ratified by FRCA (Policy Board). The Committee may occasionally recommend that FRCA make its position known to the legislature on other legislative issues affecting the ability of RPC to carry out its duties required by state law. An example of this was with the ELMS III legislation in 1993. Regardless, such position taking on legislative issues needs to be ratified by FRCA (Policy Board).

### What if an RPC Disagrees with a FRCA Position on an Issue?

FRCA (Policy Board) operates under a majority vote system. Each Board Member receives one vote. Those in the minority certainly have the right to express dissenting views to whomever, but the RPCs/FRCA "live together and die together" as a group on issues--no different than most boards made up of elected or appointed officials. Treasure Coast's voice in FRCA is its vote.



Apalachee • Central Florida  
East Central Florida • North Central Florida  
Northeast Florida • South Florida • Southwest Florida  
Tampa Bay • Treasure Coast • West Florida • Withlacoochee

104 West Jefferson Street, Tallahassee, FL 32301-1713 • 850.224.3427

---

## FLORIDA REGIONAL COUNCILS ASSOCIATION NEW BOARD MEMBER FACT SHEET

The Florida Regional Councils Association was formed by Florida's 10 regional planning councils in 1976. The Association is a collective body of those 10 councils. It serves to do the following:

[F]urther the interests of the regional planning councils in Florida as these interests relate to their service to their local governments and their citizens, the promotion of these interests, the promotion of harmonious, productive relationships among the several member regional planning councils, the promotion of harmonious, productive relationships among member regional planning councils and any and all state and federal agencies as well as private groups whose interests overlap those of member regional planning councils, and to do any and all things necessary to assure that Florida's regional planning councils are effective service organizations to the people of Florida. (Florida Regional Councils Association Bylaws, 2009)

The Florida Regional Councils Association is governed by a Policy Board made up of three members from each of the 10 regional planning councils – two elected officials and one gubernatorial appointee – chosen by each council. Each member's term is continuous until replaced. Each year, the Policy Board typically meets in January in Tallahassee and then again in conjunction with either the Florida Association of Counties Annual Conference in June or Florida League of Cities Annual Conference in August (it alternates from year to year).

The Policy Board Officers are comprised of a President, First Vice President, Second Vice-President, and Immediate Past President. The officers are annually selected by a nominating committee made up of members from the Association at its January meeting and voted on at its subsequent meeting later in the year. The business of the Association may include professional development, member education, sharing of regional best practices, issues of concern related to regional planning councils, identification of funding opportunities, and developing and ratifying a legislative agenda.

The 10 regional planning council Executive Directors serve as an advisory body to the Policy Board, known as the Executive Directors Advisory Committee. The officers include a Chair and Vice-Chair. The committee typically meets eight times a year. Five of the meetings (December through April) are held in Tallahassee to leverage opportunities to interact with state agencies, enhance partner relationships, and engage in strategic opportunities. Two of the meetings are held in June and August, in conjunction with the annual conferences mentioned above. The other meeting is held south of Orlando, as a convenience to the Directors in that part of the state.

The Association has one full-time staff, a Director of Intergovernmental Affairs, who reports to the Chair of the Executive Directors Advisory Committee. This person runs the day to day operations of the organization, including implementing the Association's programs, policies, and priorities, and engaging with local, regional, state, and federal agencies as well as other state associations and interest groups on matters pertaining to, and in some cases benefiting, regional planning councils. The Association's bookkeeping is handled by the Apalachee Regional Planning Council in Tallahassee. The Association also has a contract lobbyist/Executive Director who reports to the President of the Association.

The Association is funded by dues paid by each council based on a formula approved by the Policy Board. In accordance with that formula, 70% of the dollars needed to support the Association's budget is divided evenly among the 10 regional planning councils and the remaining 30% is divided according to each council's proportionate share of the state's population.



Apalachee • Central Florida  
East Central Florida • North Central Florida  
Northeast Florida • South Florida • Southwest Florida  
Tampa Bay • Treasure Coast • West Florida • Withlacochee

104 West Jefferson Street, Tallahassee, FL 32301-1713 • 850.224.3427

---

## BYLAWS OF THE FLORIDA REGIONAL COUNCILS ASSOCIATION

By common consent, the Regional Planning Councils of Florida, hereinafter referred to as the RPCs, hereby establish these bylaws to govern the joint organization composed of representatives of our several groups for the purpose of promoting the interests of regional planning in Florida, the development of our several areas and the entire State of Florida, and the protection of representative local governments.

### **ARTICLE I. Name and Objectives**

- SECTION 1           The name of this organization shall be the Florida Regional Councils Association, hereinafter called the Association.
- SECTION 2           The headquarters for the Association shall be the location of the office of the Executive Director of the Association.
- SECTION 3           The general objective of this Association shall be to further the interests of the RPCs in Florida as these interests relate to their service to their local governments and their citizens, the promotion of these interests, the promotion of harmonious, productive relationships among the several member RPCs, the promotion of harmonious, productive relationships among member RPCs and any and all state and federal agencies as well as private groups whose interests overlap those of member RPCs, and to do any and all things necessary to assure that Florida's RPCs are effective service organizations to the people of Florida.

### **ARTICLE II. Member Councils, Membership, and Votes**

- SECTION 1           Membership of the Association shall be open to all regional planning councils in Florida who serve the geographic areas as defined by the Executive Office of the Governor in Rule 27E-1.002, Florida Administrative Code.
- SECTION 2           Membership in the Association shall consist of three representatives from each member RPC. Each RPC will appoint from its membership two (2) elected officials and one (1) Governor's appointee to serve on the Association. Each RPC may appoint alternates to represent the member

RPC in the absence of primary representatives appointed pursuant to this section.

SECTION 3 Each representative shall have one vote in the Association.

SECTION 4 A representative's term in the Association will be continuous until replaced by the member Council.

SECTION 5 An Association officer may continue in the term of office so long as that officer is a board member of a member RPC.

**ARTICLE III. Officers and Their Duties**

SECTION 1 The officers of the Association shall consist of a president, a first vice-president, and a second vice-president. The president, first vice-president, and second vice-president shall be elected by the membership and shall be from different RPCs. The president, first vice-president, second vice-president, immediate past president, and Chair of the Executive Directors Advisory Committee shall serve as the Executive Committee.

SECTION 2 The president shall preside at all meetings of the Association. In the president's absence, the first vice-president shall preside.

SECTION 3 Powers not specifically assigned to officers in these bylaws and not contrary thereto are reserved to the Association.

SECTION 4 The terms of the officers shall be for one year with a maximum number of two terms in each office.

**ARTICLE IV. Annual Meeting – Nomination and Election of Officers**

SECTION 1 Election of officers shall occur at the Organizational meeting and annually thereafter at the annual meeting.

SECTION 2 The president shall appoint a nominating committee to select a slate of qualified candidates for each Association office. This slate will be presented at the annual meeting. Nomination can also be made from the floor for any office. The nominee receiving the vote of the majority of the voting members present shall be declared elected.

**ARTICLE V. Management and Financing**

SECTION 1 The Association shall manage its affairs in accordance with the bylaws.

SECTION 2 To assist in defraying the cost of the operation of the Association, the dues of each member RPC shall be as determined by majority vote of the membership on an annual basis.

SECTION 3 The Association may employ or contract for the services of an Executive Director or other staff who shall organize Association meetings; attend all meetings of the Association; duly record the proceedings thereof; file summaries of the Association for each meeting; and perform other duties as may be assigned. Specific duties and responsibilities of the Executive Director or other staff shall be more fully outlined in the contract between the Association and the Executive Director or other staff, and may include lobbying.

SECTION 4 Lobbying responsibilities shall include seeking funding to advance the programs of the regional planning councils; opposing legislation that does not support regional planning councils; and, identifying proposed legislation or proactively developing proposed legislation that would advance the mission of the regional planning councils, which must be approved by the members without opposition.

SECTION 5 The Association or its lobbyist shall not advocate for changes to regional planning council boundaries unless such action is approved by the members without opposition.

SECTION 6 The Association shall not interfere with the right of a member to contact federal or state agencies in regard to funding; nor shall the Association knowingly compete with a member for funding from any source. However, the Association may seek funding through one member on behalf of all members with majority support, which shall preclude a member from knowingly competing for the same grant.

#### **ARTICLE VI. Standing Committees**

SECTION 1 An Executive Directors Advisory Committee shall be composed of the executive directors of all regional planning councils and shall organize as necessary to conduct their business, including the election of a Chair, Vice-Chair and Treasurer by majority vote of the executive directors. The Committee shall also have the responsibility for providing technical advice to the Association and informing the Association of issues and problems that may need to be acted upon.

SECTION 2 The Treasurer of the Executive Directors Advisory Committee shall see that all monies due the Association are collected and deposit same in the name of the Association. The Treasurer shall have charge of the books of account of the Association and shall render a statement of same at each meeting of the Executive Directors Advisory Committee, or when requested by the Association. The Treasurer shall see that payment is

made for all expenditures of the Association and shall provide a financial report of the Association at each meeting.

**ARTICLE VII. Meetings and Quorums**

SECTION 1 The Association shall meet at least two times per year or at such other times as may be determined.

SECTION 2 A quorum shall consist of a simple majority of the member regional planning councils and one-third of the Association members. A quorum shall be present at any meeting at which business is transacted.

SECTION 3 All meetings of the Association shall be open to the public.


**ARTICLE VIII. Filling of Vacancies**

SECTION 1 Vacancies in the office of president, first vice-president, and second vice-president may be filled by the Association at any regular meeting or special meeting called for such purpose, provided at least ten (10) days notice is given.

**ARTICLE IX. Amendments**

SECTION 1 These bylaws may be amended, supplemented, or superseded by affirmative vote of not less than two-thirds (2/3) of the member regional planning councils of the Association, provided, however, members shall be given at least ten (10) days written notice of such proposed amendments.

SECTION 2 These bylaws shall take effect upon adoption of two-thirds (2/3) of the regional planning councils in the state.



## Florida Regional Councils Association

Executive Director  
 Ronald L. Book, P.A.  
 104 West Jefferson Street  
 Tallahassee, FL 32301  
 850.224.3427

## Regional Planning Councils

| Districts | Councils                     | Counties  |   | Executive Directors   |
|-----------|------------------------------|---|---|---|
| 1         | <b>West Florida</b>          | Bay<br>Escambia<br>Holmes<br>Okaloosa                             | Santa Rosa<br>Walton<br>Washington                                    | <b>Austin Mount</b><br>4081 East Olive Road, Suite A<br>Pensacola, FL 32514<br>850.332.7976<br>Fax1: 850.637.1923<br>Fax2: 850.637.1932<br>Email: <a href="mailto:austin.mount@wfrpc.org">austin.mount@wfrpc.org</a><br>Website: <a href="http://wfrpc.org">wfrpc.org</a> |
| 2         | <b>Apalachee</b>             | Calhoun<br>Franklin<br>Gadsden<br>Gulf<br>Jackson                 | Jefferson<br>Leon<br>Liberty<br>Wakulla                               | <b>Chris Rietow</b><br>2507 Callaway Road<br>Suite 200<br>Tallahassee, FL 32303<br>850.488-6211 x 102<br>Fax: 850 488-1616<br>Email: <a href="mailto:crietow@theapc.com">crietow@theapc.com</a><br>Website: <a href="http://theapc.com">theapc.com</a>                    |
| 3         | <b>North Central Florida</b> | Alachua<br>Bradford<br>Columbia<br>Dixie<br>Gilchrist<br>Hamilton | Lafayette<br>Levy<br>Madison<br>Marion<br>Suwannee<br>Taylor<br>Union | <b>Scott R. Koons</b><br>2009 NW 67th Place<br>Gainesville, FL 32653-1603<br>352.955.2200<br>Fax: .352.955.2209<br>Email: <a href="mailto:koons@ncfrpc.org">koons@ncfrpc.org</a><br>Website: <a href="http://ncfrpc.org">ncfrpc.org</a>                                   |
| 4         | <b>Northeast Florida</b>     | Baker<br>Clay<br>Duval<br>Flagler                                 | Nassau<br>Putnam<br>St. Johns   | <b>Brian D. Teeple</b><br>6850 Belfort Oaks Place<br>Jacksonville, FL 32216<br>904.279.0880<br>Fax: 904.279.0881<br>Email: <a href="mailto:bteeple@nefrpc.org">bteeple@nefrpc.org</a><br>Website: <a href="http://nefrpc.org">nefrpc.org</a>                              |

---

|   |                                 |                           |                                |   |
|---|---------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| 5 | <b>East Central<br/>Florida</b> | Brevard<br>Lake<br>Orange | Osceola<br>Seminole<br>Volusia | <b>Hugh W. Harling, Jr.</b><br>309 Cranes Roost Blvd., Suite 2000<br>Altamonte Springs, FL 32701<br>407.262.7772<br>Fax: 407.262.7788<br>Email: <a href="mailto:harling@ecfrpc.org">harling@ecfrpc.org</a><br>Website: <a href="http://ecfrpc.org">ecfrpc.org</a> |
|---|---------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|---|

---

|   |                            |                               |                    |   |
|---|----------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|---|
| 6 | <b>Central<br/>Florida</b> | DeSoto<br>Hardee<br>Highlands | Okeechobee<br>Polk | <b>Patricia M. Steed</b><br>555 East Church Street<br>Bartow, FL 33830<br>863.534.7130<br>Fax: 863.534.7138<br>Email: <a href="mailto:psteed@cfprpc.org">psteed@cfprpc.org</a><br>Website: <a href="http://www.cfprpc.org">www.cfprpc.org</a> |
|---|----------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|---|

---

|   |                  |                         |                   |   |
|---|------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|---|
| 7 | <b>Tampa Bay</b> | Hillsborough<br>Manatee | Pasco<br>Pinellas | <b>Sean Sullivan</b><br>4000 Gateway Centre Blvd., Suite<br>100<br>Pinellas Park, FL 33782<br>727.570.5151<br>Fax: 727.570.5118<br>Email: <a href="mailto:sean@tbrpc.org">sean@tbrpc.org</a><br>Website: <a href="http://tbrpc.org">tbrpc.org</a> |
|---|------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|---|

---

|   |                              |                                |                           |  |
|---|------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| 8 | <b>Southwest<br/>Florida</b> | Charlotte<br>Collier<br>Glades | Hendry<br>Lee<br>Sarasota | <b>Margaret A. Wuerstle</b><br>1400 Colonial Boulevard, Suite 1<br>Fort Myers, FL 33907<br>239.938.1831<br>Fax: 239.938.1817<br>Email: <a href="mailto:mwuerstle@swfrpc.org">mwuerstle@swfrpc.org</a><br>Website: <a href="http://swfrpc.org">swfrpc.org</a> |
|---|------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|--|

---

|   |                           |                        |                         |  |
|---|---------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 9 | <b>Treasure<br/>Coast</b> | Indian River<br>Martin | Palm Beach<br>St. Lucie | <b>Michael J. Busha</b><br>421 S.W. Camden Avenue<br>Stuart, FL 34994<br>772.221.4060<br>Fax: 772.221.4067<br>Email: <a href="mailto:mbusha@tcrpc.org">mbusha@tcrpc.org</a><br>Website: <a href="http://tcrpc.org">tcrpc.org</a> |
|---|---------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--|

---

10

**South Florida**

Broward  
Miami-Dade

Monroe

**Isabel Cosio-Carballo**

3440 Hollywood Blvd., Suite 140  
Hollywood, FL 33021

954.985.4416


Fax: 954.985.4417

Email: [isabelc@sfrpc.com](mailto:isabelc@sfrpc.com)

Website: [sfrpc.com](http://sfrpc.com)

---

# Strategic Regional Policy Plan For The Treasure Coast Region Executive Summary



Prepared by Treasure Coast Regional Planning Council

December 20, 1995

## **FOREWORD**

According to Chapter 186, Florida Statutes, and Chapter 27E-5, Florida Administrative Code, the Strategic Regional Policy Plan (SRPP) for the Treasure Coast Region shall be a long range guide for the physical, economic, and social development of the Region which identifies regional goals and policies. The SRPP is not merely a plan for the regional planning council, it is a plan for the Region and all those who are active participants in shaping its future.

The SRPP is intended to be a direction-setting document. Its goals and policies will be implemented only to the extent that financial resources are available from local revenue sources, legislative appropriations, grants or appropriations of any other public or private entities. The plan does not create regulatory authority or authorize the adoption of agency rules, criteria, or standards not otherwise authorized by law.

The goals and policies contained in the SRPP shall be reasonably applied where they are economically and environmentally feasible, shall not be contrary to the public interest, and shall be consistent with the protection of private property rights. The plan shall be construed and applied as a whole, and no specific goal or policy in the plan shall be construed or applied in isolation from the other goals and policies in the plan.

The SRPP is not intended to be a mandate or dictum to local governments, special districts and citizens in the Region. It is an instruction manual to be used for guidance in building a more healthy and sustainable Region.

The SRPP is not intended to be a stagnant document. The regional planning process and development of the Plan should continue after adoption. Over time the Council may want to amend the Plan to incorporate meaningful regional guidance found in new legislation and in the findings and recommendations of other regional planning activities and programs currently in progress (e.g., revisions to the State Comprehensive Plan, long range MPO plans, the Sustainable South Florida effort, the Florida Greenways program, etc.). The initiative to amend the Plan may come from the Council itself or from citizens who come before Council with their aspirations and ideas to improve the Plan. Regional planning councils are not limited to a twice-a-year window for plan amendments and can revise the Plan at any time.

As it pertains to the development of local government comprehensive plans, land development regulations, and local development orders subject to regional planning council consistency review, it is recognized that some ideas suggested in the SRPP are applicable and can be furthered in varying degrees in certain areas of the Region and some cannot. When applying the Plan, this is a determination that must be continually made by the consortium of local government representatives and citizens appointed by the Governor which make up the Treasure Coast Regional Planning Council.

The SRPP acknowledges and the Council recognizes that the Region is large and diverse and that thoughtful consideration of local differences need to be fully considered when making policy decisions. It is also recognized because of local differences and preferences that there may be other approaches for implementing and furthering regional goals and policies other than those specifically suggested in the Plan. The SRPP will require the Council to use good judgment in applying the Plan and to maintain a receptiveness to new or different ideas which may not be specifically suggested in the Plan, but which will keep the Region on course towards a healthier and sustainable future.

Lastly, all goals, policies, and strategies that utilize directive verbs such as should, shall, and will should not be interpreted to override the decision-making and fiscal prerogatives of local government. All references to the “Region” in goals, policies, strategies and background analyses should be taken to mean the Region as a whole. It is implicit that all regional goals, strategies, and policies suggesting shortened review processes, preapproval, concurrency relief, or other incentives suggested to encourage preferred forms and patterns of development will be carried out within the limits of State law.

### **PURPOSE OF THE STRATEGIC REGIONAL POLICY PLAN**

Pursuant to Rule 27E-5.003, Florida Administrative Code, the purposes of the strategic regional policy plan include:

1. To implement and further the goals and policies of the State Comprehensive Plan with regard to the strategic regional subject areas and other components addressed in the plan.
2. To provide long range policy guidance for the physical, economic, and social development of a region.
3. To establish public policy for the resolution of disputes over regional problems, needs, or opportunities through the establishment of regional goals and policies and to provide a regional basis and perspective for the coordination of governmental activities and the resolution of problems, needs, and opportunities that are of regional concern or scope.
4. To establish goals and policies, in addition to other criteria established by law, that provide a basis for the review of developments of regional impact, regional review of federally assisted projects, and other activities of the regional planning council. In addition, the plan may recommend specific locations or activities in which a project, that due to its character or location, should be a development of regional impact within the region. Standards included in strategic regional policy plans shall be used for planning purposes only and not for permitting or regulatory purposes. A regional planning council shall not adopt a planning standard that differs materially from a planning standard adopted by rule by a state or regional agency, when such rule expressly states the planning standard is intended to preempt action by the regional planning council.
5. To establish goals and policies to assist the state and the council in the determination of consistency of local comprehensive plans with strategic regional policy plans and the state comprehensive plan. Strategic regional policy plans shall serve as a basis to review the resources and facilities found in local government comprehensive plans.
6. To establish land development and transportation goals and policies in a manner that fosters region-wide transportation systems.
7. To serve as a basis for decisions by the regional planning council.
8. To guide the administration of federal, state, regional, and local agency programs and activities in a region to the extent provided for by law.

9. To identify significant regional resources and facilities, infrastructure needs, or other problems, needs, or opportunities of importance to the region.
10. To identify natural resources of regional significance and promote the protection of those resources.
11. To set forth economic development goals and policies that promote regional economic growth and improvement.
12. To set forth goals and policies that address the affordable housing and emergency preparedness problems and needs of the region.

The State Comprehensive Plan and the Strategic Regional Policy Plan do not create regulatory authority or authorize the adoption of agency rules, criteria or standards not otherwise authorized by law.

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

### **Overview**

The Treasure Coast Region is a region of abundant resources and a highly desirable quality life. Located on the southeast coast of Florida, the Region includes 50 municipalities contained within the four counties of Indian River, Martin, Palm Beach and St. Lucie. The Region is blessed with a growing economy. Many of its urban centers such as the cities of Delray Beach, Lake Worth and West Palm Beach are staging an economic comeback after periods of decline. The Region is also well positioned to share in the benefits of national growth and prosperity.

The Region has a population of nearly 1.4 million residents and has experienced explosive growth over the last three decades. The Region's resources and quality of life are sensitive to the impacts of unplanned growth and development, however, and there are increasing signs that those resources and quality of life are at risk. There is evidence in many parts of the Region of a deterioration in the quality of life: traffic congestion, loss of agricultural lands, polluted waterways, loss of wetlands and forests, deteriorating urban centers, fiscal stress and other impacts of unplanned growth. Since the 1960's hundreds of square miles of native and agricultural lands have been converted to suburban development, a pattern of development that does not allow the efficient provision of public facilities and services, and is devoid of the sense of place that once defined the character of the Region.

In terms of the stage and extent of development, the four counties of the Region are quite different. Palm Beach County is largely suburbanized across a broad area and is larger in land area than Indian River, Martin, and St. Lucie counties combined. However, all four share a similar pattern of development and adopted land use planning strategies. While this is not cause for immediate concern, it is pointed out in recognition that: 1) the potential for the continuation of sprawling patterns of development in the three northern counties is high; and 2) there are several good opportunities to address this potential, unlike in southern and central Palm Beach County, where most of these opportunities have been foreclosed.

While the four counties of the Region are different in some respects, they have a number of similarities. Historically each of the four counties which make up the Region had an economy based primarily on agriculture and secondarily on tourism. Today, although agriculture remains an important

industry, the Region has taken on far more urban characteristics. In each county, urban growth occurred in coastal areas and expanded westward. In each county, urban expansion has displaced former agricultural lands. Agricultural activities have moved to the west, often into ecologically sensitive wetland habitats which dominate areas west of the coastal ridge.

Geographically, each county is located adjacent to the Atlantic Ocean and, therefore, all counties have problems and opportunities related to their coastal orientation. Common problems include: the threat of hurricanes, beach erosion, pressure to develop high hazard coastal areas, saltwater intrusion, potable water supply limitations, and rapid urbanization of coastal areas. Common opportunities include: the attractive power of beaches, estuaries and rivers for recreational fishing and boating, seaports for commerce, and a long-term potential for growth.

Environmentally the problems faced by each county within the Region are very similar. Demographic characteristics are similar, but not exact. In all counties within the Region, the seasonal aspects of tourism and agriculture create problems. In all of the counties, provision of services to a rapidly growing elderly population is a concern.

The Treasure Coast Region is expected to experience continued growth in population into the next century. Currently the Region's population is growing by 100 new permanent residents per day. Many of these individuals and families moving into the Region come for employment reasons; others intend for the Region to be their home during retirement years.

The attractive power of Florida and the Treasure Coast Region provides residents an opportunity to achieve and maintain a higher quality of life than could occur in the absence of growth potential. The Region also has a need and opportunity to address growth management problems and thereby realize the high quality of life that can come with well-planned growth. Whether the opportunity is realized or put to good advantage, however, depends upon how and to what extent growth leads to sustainable patterns and forms of development and diverse neighborhoods and communities.

## **The Plan**

The Strategic Regional Policy Plan (SRPP) for the Treasure Coast Region provides a long range guide for the physical, economic, and social development of the Region. Unlike the regional plan it is intended to replace, the SRPP is proposed not as a regulatory tool, but as a direction-setting document. Its focus is on comprehensively dealing with the large scale components or systems which make up the Region. Its goal is to keep the Region on course towards a more healthy and sustainable future. The SRPP is not merely a plan for the regional planning council, it is a plan for the Region and all those who are active participants in shaping its future.

The SRPP contains the following seven elements:

- Future of the Region (Vision)
- Affordable Housing
- Economic Development
- Education
- Emergency Preparedness
- Natural Resources of Regional Significance
- Regional Transportation

Another major component of the SRPP is maps which depict natural resources of regional significance. There are six maps in all which provide an excellent overview of the Region's network of remaining natural systems as they relate to developing urban and agricultural areas.

## **Future of the Region**

The Future of the Region or "vision" element of the Plan comprehensively deals with improving the large-scale structure or pattern of the Region's physical, economic and social environment: the growth and formation of towns, cities, and villages, the maintenance of the natural environment and countryside, the layout of regional roads, the relationship between work and households, the formation of suitable public institutions for a neighborhood and community, and the kinds of public space required to support these institutions. The Future of the Region element describes preferred forms and patterns of development that are considered the most effective means for fulfilling the "vision".

The Future of the Region element contains several illustrations depicting examples of preferred forms and patterns of development. The inclusion of graphic examples are both necessary and beneficial to articulate the "vision" and to provide examples of what is meant by certain terms and policies expressed in the Plan. The examples are intended to be illustrative and informative. They are not intended to be site specific. The illustrations are meant to show instructive examples of concepts which may be effective in addressing current problems and fulfilling the "vision". They are not meant to be inclusive of all examples which represent good planning.

Briefly stated the SRPP describes the "vision" for the future of the Region as follows:

*Future growth should follow a preferred development form or pattern. Preferred development should address the following regional issues:*

1. Preservation of the natural environment and countryside.
2. Revitalization of existing urban areas.
3. The creation of new towns.

*Future development should not sprawl because it is expensive and it degrades the Region's quality of life.*

*Preferred development concepts will be implemented by regional strategies which:*

1. state the preferred form of development.
2. suggest incentives to encourage and foster preferred forms of development.

*In addition, implementation will depend on county and municipal strategies which:*

1. delineate where new development should or should not occur.
2. apply and expand the preferred form of development concepts.
3. encourage redevelopment and revitalization.
4. devise public investment programs favoring development of preferred forms and patterns of development.
5. send constructive economic signals to investors.

The Future of the Region element criticizes recent forms and patterns of development for being too homogeneous and disconnected to support the organization of larger more efficient and sustainable patterns of development (i.e., towns, cities, and villages). At the same time the Plan recognizes that these larger development patterns are not homogeneous and will continue to evolve in response to market forces prevailing in the Treasure Coast Region. To increase the chances for acceptance and implementation, the Plan is designed to recognize this need for diversity and, at the same time, respond to current market forces.

For example, a mixture of densities, architectural styles, building types, and lifestyle choices can and are anticipated in the Plan, no different from those mixes and choices which can be found in long-standing, established towns in the Region and across the country. More specifically, an enclave or district within a town could include more specialized or less diverse areas (e.g. workplaces, “high-rent” resort and country club districts, etc.) that may not fit well within the fabric or boundary of a traditional neighborhood. The Plan as written anticipates that such “districts” will develop. At the same time the Plan recognizes an overabundance of such districts, isolated and poorly connected to each other and to existing neighborhoods, creates a negative pattern of development which is defined by State law and the Plan as “sprawl”.

The Plan proposes the “vision” to address the nature of sprawl and its side effects by advancing ways to: 1) increase the diversity and self-containment of neighborhoods; 2) strengthen the connections and ties between districts and neighborhoods, and then; 3) link them together to establish more efficient larger patterns of development (i.e., towns, cities, and villages).

The “vision” as stated also reflects the particular challenges and opportunities the Region must respond to and exploit in order to accommodate high levels of growth while maintaining a high quality of life. The “vision” suggests as the Region matures, planning efforts should focus on: 1) expanding successful development ideas; 2) portraying a preferred form of development which should include the fundamental concepts that set the course towards excellence in development; and 3) establishing a framework of planning and fiscal incentives to make it easier for beneficial and preferred forms of development to happen.

The Plan recognizes that the “vision” can never be implemented or built overnight. It will take patient piecemeal growth, designed in such a way that every planning decision sanctioned by local government is always helping to create or generate preferred patterns and forms of development on a small and large scale. This should, slowly and surely over the years, result in a Region that contains preferred patterns of development. The end result is intended to achieve a more sustainable future for the Treasure Coast Region.

The remaining six elements of the SRPP are intended to focus specifically on the individual pieces or “building blocks” of the regional structure that when applied together will make a Region that conforms to the “vision”. In developing the other six elements of the SRPP, several key trends and goals emerged:

### **Affordable Housing**

The owner and rental housing stock is not as affordable as it used to be. The market price of housing, especially rental housing, is generally rising faster than incomes. On the growth management and planning side, sprawling low density patterns of development and excessive regulations have contributed to increased housing prices. Government land use, transportation and regulatory policy

affects the market price of housing and the ability of households to afford housing. The percentage of household income devoted to housing is rising steadily. Farmworker and other special needs housing issues need to be better addressed. Each of the four counties, and several cities, now have programs to help address affordable housing issues.

### **Fundamental Regional Housing Goals and Strategies**

- Create a planning/regulatory climate conducive to the production of affordable housing.
- Provide a range of housing types and affordabilities in proximity to employment and services.
- Stabilize and revitalize existing neighborhoods.
- Encourage development and redevelopment which results in the creation of towns, cities, and villages and not isolated patterns of development.
- Provide adequate housing opportunities for agricultural workers and others with special needs.

### **Economic Development**

In-migration and tourism remain key components of the Region's economy. Fueled by the tourism and retiree population, the retail trade and service sectors continue to represent the largest economic sectors of the Region's economy. Tourism is primarily seasonal in nature, therefore, much of the Region's employment is seasonal, resulting in high seasonal unemployment rates. Because of its reliance on agriculture, construction and service industries for jobs, unemployment in the Region is generally higher than the State and nation during periods of recession. An over-dependence on construction and a weak industrial base often prolongs the effects of recession, as was experienced in the 1970s and the early 1990s.

A more diversified employment base is needed to support the Region's large labor force and to stabilize the job market and the Region's economy. A diversified economy is better able to withstand recession, provide a steady increase in the number and types of jobs available and increase personal income. It also lessens the seasonality and spatial clustering of economic activity, lowers and stabilizes the unemployment rate, and provides for a stabilized tax base.

Opportunities for bringing more diversity to the Region's economy are expanding globally and competition for these opportunities is increasing. There is recognition that just as misdirected growth management policy has the potential to retard economic development and encourage inefficient patterns of development, growth management done properly has the potential to increase development efficiencies and expand economic development opportunities.

### **Fundamental Regional Economic Development Goals and Strategies**

- Redevelop and revitalize the Region's distressed economic centers and communities.
- Extend and expand the Region's agricultural and tourist season.
- Promote patterns of development which allow public services to be provided more cost effectively.
- Improve transportation and education linkages throughout the Region.
- Diversify the year-round economy and establish an economic climate that will allow the Region to complete effectively in the global economy.

## **Education**

There is a vital link between education and the economy. The students of today are the human capital of tomorrow. The Region's educational system and student performance can be improved.

The siting of school facilities has a powerful effect on patterns of development. The coordination, planning, and decision-making process between local governments and school districts affecting school siting can be improved. The bridge between the concurrent provision of schools and development needs to be gapped.

Neighborhood as well as quality schools are both key components of a successful educational system. Neighborhood schools play a key role in local governments efforts to stabilize areas and promote a sense of community. Low-density, sprawling patterns of development are reducing opportunities for establishing neighborhood schools, increasing the length and frequency of student bus trips, increasing the costs of providing schools and student transportation, and reducing the school systems ability to maintain desegregation in student assignment.

### **Fundamental Regional Education Goals and Strategies**

- Increased student performance and educational programs that respond to the needs of the Region.
- Improved planning, coordination and cooperation between local governments and school districts.
- Increased development and redevelopment of neighborhood schools.
- Encourage patterns of development that will create new towns and neighborhoods and foster redevelopment of existing urban areas.

## **Emergency Preparedness**

The Region is becoming increasingly vulnerable to the effects of hurricane and tropical storm events and man-made disasters. Coastal population is increasing. New developments are currently approved for areas most vulnerable to the effects of major storm events. Growth management policy is spreading development further into the countryside reducing the ability of the land to store stormwater.

Development is currently approved without sufficient mitigation of impacts on existing infrastructure and emergency preparedness planning. Emergency management planning is not fully integrated into the community planning process. Current patterns of development unnecessarily increase the difficulty of post disaster recovery efforts.

Adequate emergency shelter capacity for the Region's vulnerable population has not been attained. Post-disaster recovery and pre-disaster mitigation strategies have not been fully developed within the Region. Local emergency preparedness agencies are underfunded and their effectiveness is often impacted by multiple or redundant levels of organizational control.

### **Fundamental Regional Emergency Preparedness Goals and Strategies**

- Direct development away from areas most vulnerable to the effects of natural and manmade disasters.
- Better utilize land use, transportation and community planning processes to address vulnerability issues.

- Provide sufficient shelter space for residents of areas susceptible to dangerous flooding and wind affects of hurricanes and other storms.
- Improve the integration of community planning between local governments and emergency management agencies.
- Improve the ability of emergency preparedness entities to achieve rapid post disaster recovery efforts.

### **Natural Resources of Regional Significance**

The quality of life enjoyed in the Region depends on the conservation of the natural environment and the countryside. While much of the Region's countryside is still recognizable as such, as much as 80 percent of the Region's natural environment has been altered or lost. The main threat to remaining natural systems and the countryside is not growth, but sprawling suburban growth which due to its inefficient development form has required ever-increasing acreage to deliver an acceptable quality of life. Therefore, the solution to environmental problems is found in part in the form of development.

The rapid destruction of natural lands and the countryside inspired many regulations. Unfortunately, they tended to address individual parcels instead of complete systems. Efforts are suggested in the SRPP and are currently occurring throughout the State to encourage a more systemwide approach to protect complete natural systems and to address the inadequacies of existing land use planning and development strategies to protect complete natural systems.

The quality of life and the Region's environment and economy also depends on the proper and prudent management of its water resources. Sectors competing for limited water resources within the Region include: 1) natural systems; 2) agriculture; and 3) domestic, municipal, and industrial users. Future increases in needs of these users will cause competition to increase between all sectors for existing water supplies, and will create a need for more efficient use of water.

The stakes involved in water management are huge: Florida Bay, the Everglades, Lake Okeechobee, the Region's estuaries and wildlife, and the health of the Region's economy. Efforts are ongoing at the local, regional, state and national level to address water management options for the Region. The SRPP suggests several goals and strategies to support these efforts intended to overcome the Region's water management and resource problems.

### **Fundamental Regional Natural Resources Goals and Strategies**

- Preserve and manage complete natural systems as a network of greenways and wildlife corridors connecting natural preserves.
- Manage the Region's water resources to provide for all recognized needs on a sustainable basis.
- Promote patterns of development which do not sprawl and are compatible with the protection and maintenance of natural systems and nature preserves.
- Preserve and manage native ecosystems in order to maintain viable populations of remaining native plant and animal species.

### **Regional Transportation**

The Region's current transportation system is almost exclusively geared towards providing mobility via the private automobile. On several counts this is a very expensive strategy to sustain, pollutes the

environment, prematurely limits growth, is increasingly dangerous, and ignores mobility needs for a large segment of the population who are classified as transportation disadvantaged.

The Region's transportation system should be one that integrates alternate modes of travel into one balanced system that supports community goals, enhances urban life, increases mobility and provides for the safe and efficient movement of goods and people. Any approach to achieve these multiple objectives must include an analysis of the way we use our land, the manner in which we choose to travel, and the institutional and financial arrangements we have developed to meet our travel needs. In short, these objectives can only be achieved through a better integration of transportation and land use planning.

The trend of decreasing densities, rapidly expanding urban land area, and increased settlement in the undeveloped countryside away from coastal cities is likely to continue the increase in private automobile use in the future. These following trends and conditions also point to increased traffic congestion, energy use, air pollution, and automobile dependency in the future, with all the negative costs and impacts.

The Region's transportation problem in the long run cannot be solved solely by supplying more and more roadway capacity by building more and bigger roads. This approach will only aggravate the problem and is unaffordable as a solution. The SRPP suggests transportation problems must be addressed from the demand side. This will require a greater reliance on, and an understanding of, the relationship between land use and transportation planning as well as a reversal of personal behavior and travel trends and conditions that are at the root of the problem.

### **Fundamental Regional Transportation Goals and Strategies**

- Develop a balanced and integrated transportation system.
- Encourage patterns and forms of development that maximize public transportation alternatives, minimize the use of the Region's collector and arterial roadway network, and reduce the total amount of private vehicle miles traveled.
- Increased mobility for the transportation disadvantaged.
- Develop a complete and coordinated transportation/land use planning process.

### **Mapping of Natural Resources of Regional Significance**

The SRPP contains several maps in an attempt to map what are considered to be "natural resources of regional significance". The State (Rule 27E-5.001(7) FAC) defines these as follows:

A resource or facility that due to its uniqueness, function, benefit, service delivery area, or importance is identified as being of regional concern.

A resource or facility that requires the participation or involvement of two or more governmental entities to ensure proper and efficient management.

A resource or facility that meets either criteria above and is defined to be of state or regional concern or importance in state or federal laws or rules of state or regional agencies adopted pursuant to Chapter 120, Florida Statutes.

The Rule goes on to require that natural resources identified as regionally significant in the Plan must be mapped.

In response to this charge, six maps have been created for the Plan. These include maps depicting:

- Planning and Resource Management Areas
- Natural Systems
- Surface Water Resources
- Upland Natural Communities
- Endangered and Potentially Endangered Species
- Coastal and Marine Resources

These maps provide an excellent regional planning tool and identify regional opportunities for better land use planning. These maps are to be used for regional planning purposes only. These maps are to be used only in conjunction with the SRPP.

Information regarding specifics on how they will be used and implemented are addressed in the Forward, Purpose of the Plan, and Implementation of the Plan sections which precede this section. Additional detail on implementation and the process for development of the Plan is contained in Appendix A, Coordination Outline.

Lastly, the SRPP is not intended to be a stagnant document. The regional planning process and development of the Plan should continue after adoption. Over time the Council may want to amend the Plan to incorporate meaningful regional guidance found in new legislation and in the findings and recommendations of other regional planning activities and programs currently in progress (e.g., revisions to the State Comprehensive Plan, long range MPO plans, the Sustainable South Florida effort, the Florida Greenways program, etc.). The initiative to amend the Plan may come from the Council itself or from citizens who come before Council with their aspirations and ideas to improve the Plan. Regional planning councils are not limited to a twice-a-year window for plan amendments and can revise the Plan at any time.

### **IMPLEMENTATION OF THE STRATEGIC REGIONAL POLICY PLAN**

Unlike local government comprehensive plans, the Strategic Regional Policy Plan (SRPP) is not implemented through a set of land development regulations and accompanied by a capital improvements program in order to meet the objectives established in the Plan. Instead the Regional Plan must be implemented as a result of Council's program activities and through the consensus of local governments in the Region.

Although regional planning councils are primarily advisory in nature, the successful implementation of the Regional Plan can occur in a number of ways. Perhaps most importantly, the SRPP will be implemented as a result of successful implementation of local government comprehensive plans, which by Statute (Chapter 163) must be consistent with the Regional Plan. The Regional Plan is also implemented as a result of Council's program activities, some of which are listed below. A more detailed summary is provided in Appendix A, the Coordination Outline.

- Development of Regional Impact (DRI) review process
- intergovernmental coordination and review process (ICR)
- dispute resolution process

- economic development planning
- preparation of special planning and development studies
- serving on task forces and committees involved in regional planning issues
- emergency preparedness planning
- regional transportation planning

Finally, the Plan is implemented through the activities of other organizations and agencies, both public and private, if they consider the Regional Plan to present good solutions to identified problems.

The most significant element of the SRPP is the Future of the Region or “vision” section. The key to how successful the Region is in implementing the goals, policies, and strategies, and addressing regional issues contained in the six other elements of the Plan, is directly related to the extent local governments are willing and able to implement the concepts suggested by the “vision”.

Briefly stated, the “vision” for the future of the Region is as follows:

*Future growth should follow a preferred development form or pattern. Preferred development should address the following regional issues:*

1. Preservation of the natural environment and countryside
2. Revitalization of existing urban areas.
3. The creation of new towns.

The “vision” as stated reflects the particular challenges and opportunities the Region must respond to and exploit in order to accommodate high levels of growth while maintaining a high quality of life. The “vision” suggests that the principal focus of planning efforts should be on the form and location of future growth. The “vision” reflects the notion that: 1) as the Region matures planning efforts should start to differentiate between acceptable and excellent; and 2) the Region is ready to set standards that reach beyond the mere provision of basic services and propose the creation of complete and sustainable communities. Finally, the “vision” fulfills a mandated purpose for regional plans--“to provide long range policy guidance for the physical, economic, and social development of a region” (Rule 27E-5003(2) F.A.C.).

The Future of the Region element contains several illustrations depicting examples of preferred forms and patterns of development. The inclusion of graphic examples are both necessary and beneficial to articulate the “vision” and to provide examples of what is meant by certain terms and policies expressed in the Plan. The examples are intended to be illustrative and informative. They are not intended to be site specific. The illustrations are meant to show instructive examples of concepts which may be the most effective means to address current problems and fulfill the “vision”. They are not meant to be inclusive of all examples which represent good planning.

The Future of the Region element criticizes recent forms and patterns of development for being too homogeneous and disconnected to support the organization of larger, more efficient and sustainable patterns of development (i.e., towns, cities, and villages). At the same time the Plan recognizes that these larger development patterns are not homogeneous and will continue to evolve in response to market forces prevailing in the Treasure Coast Region. To increase the chances for acceptance and implementation, the Plan is designed to recognize this need for diversity and, at the same time, respond to current market forces.

For example, a mixture of densities, architectural styles, building types, and lifestyle choices can and are allowed to occur under the Plan, no different from those mixes and choices which can be found in long-standing, established towns in the Region and across the country. More specifically, an enclave or district within a town certainly could include more specialized or less diverse areas (e.g. workplaces, “high-rent” resort and country club districts, etc.) that may not fit well within the fabric or boundary of a traditional neighborhood. The Plan as written anticipates such “districts” will develop. At the same time the Plan recognizes an overabundance of such districts, isolated and poorly connected to each other and to existing neighborhoods, creates a negative pattern of development which is defined by State law and the Plan as “sprawl”.

The Plan proposes the “vision” to address the nature of sprawl and its side effects by advancing ways to: 1) increase the diversity and self-containment of neighborhoods; 2) strengthen the connections and ties between districts and neighborhoods, and then; 3) link them together to establish more efficient larger patterns of development (i.e., towns, cities, and villages). The end result is intended to achieve a more sustainable future for the Treasure Coast Region.

The Plan recognizes that the “vision” can never be implemented or built overnight. It will take patient piecemeal growth, designed in such a way that every planning decision sanctioned by local government is always helping to create or generate preferred patterns and forms of development on a small and large scale. This should, slowly and surely over the years, result in a Region that contains preferred patterns of development.

The SRPP goes on to chart general strategies that, if deemed desirable by individual counties and municipalities, will be implemented at the local level. Implementation may require changes in local development regulations and some amendments to comprehensive plans, depending on the specific conditions and needs of each local government. Most often, such changes will be minor, as many of the ideas included in the Plan are found in local planning documents.

Perhaps the two most powerful changes in policy direction that local and State government can make to help implement the “vision” are: 1) amend development regulations to allow and encourage preferred forms of development occur; and 2) direct and focus public infrastructure projects and dollars to encourage, assist, and support efforts to plan and construct preferred forms of development. Unless positive changes are made in these areas the “vision” will not be implemented. Some possible changes along these lines could be:

1. Consolidation and simplification of land development regulations. Current regulations tend to be extremely lengthy and their combined effect is difficult to predict. Such characteristics make development a cumbersome and expensive process. Certain land development regulations prohibit building in ways necessary to accomplish preferred development forms. The key regulations address street hierarchy and width, setbacks, mixing of different land uses, ancillary uses, parking quantity and locational requirements, and maximum building lot sizes. Currently the regulations invariably favor and encourage sprawling patterns of development and discourage the creation of new towns, cities, and villages. In some instances, current subdivision regulations can even interfere with getting conventional forms of financing for building compact, mixed-use projects. Future regulations should be positive and constructive. Instead of detailing each prohibited activity, they should explain in simple terms what types and form of development are preferable and encouraged.
2. Revision of future land use maps to better reflect each municipal “vision” of the future, within the context of the regional goals. The future land use map should become the principal planning

tool, because it provides the most direct and understandable method to portray the future form of a municipality.

3. Encouraging a constructive and proactive site plan and building review process. The review of projects has the most direct impact on the form of development. Municipalities should make planning and design suggestions that can help implement the preferred form of development at the scale of each parcel.
4. Preparation of infrastructure plans that support preferred forms of development. Unless infrastructure is focused towards appropriate locations and is designed to support and facilitate preferred development forms, it will be difficult to carry out many of the concepts included in the SRPP. Therefore, local governments should make plans to locate roads, water and sewer lines, public buildings and the like in places that encourage the formation of cities, towns and villages composed of neighborhoods and districts.
5. Local governments should prepare and adopt their own visions. Local governments should determine particular areas of emphasis and prepare their own “visions” of the future but should always address planning problems in a comprehensive way. For example, if the rapid growth is a principal issue, a new approach toward the preservation of the countryside based on natural systems must be complemented by clear policies about the preferred form of development. If urban form and infrastructure are given inadequate emphasis in the development process, little advantage would be gained from the application of desirable countryside policies. When plans shift from a regulatory mode to a proactive approach, their successful implementation depends on a complete application of the “vision.”
6. Local governments should identify areas and opportunities for the implementation of preferred forms of development. This should be done as part of the articulation of a vision for the local government. At a minimum, these areas and/or opportunities should include: (1) areas in need of redevelopment such as the historic downtown or central business districts or communities; (2) property or areas which because of their location, character or magnitude are of sufficient size and/or proximity to existing development that the preferred form of development would avoid the continuation of a sprawl pattern of development; and (3) areas in suburban locations that would benefit by inserting or retrofitting with preferred development forms or concepts. The SRPP includes goals, policies and strategies encouraging local governments to identify areas or opportunities appropriate for the implementation of the preferred form of development.

If these changes in planning and growth management ideals are to be implemented at the local level the regional planning council recognizes it must help. It will provide technical assistance. If municipalities do not have appropriate staff or budget resources to prepare the planning tools needed to implement the “vision,” they may choose to request assistance from Council staff. Every effort will be made to accommodate such requests.

The SRPP and the Council recognize the Region is large and diverse and that local differences need to be thoughtfully considered when making policy decisions. It is also recognized that there may be other approaches for implementing and furthering regional goals and policies other than those specifically suggested in the Plan because of local differences and preferences. Successful implementation of the SRPP will require the Council to use good judgment in applying the Plan and to maintain a receptiveness to new or different ideas, that while not specifically suggested in the Plan, will keep the Region on course towards the “vision” and a healthy and sustainable future.

R2005 2113 OCT 18 2005

AMENDED AND RESTATED  
INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT  
CREATING THE  
TREASURE COAST REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL

Prepared by:

Roger G. Saberson  
Roger G. Saberson, P.A.  
70 SE 4<sup>th</sup> Avenue  
Delray Beach, FL 33483

Return to:

Liz Gulick  
Treasure Coast Regional Planning Council  
301 East Ocean Blvd, Suite 300  
Stuart, FL 34994

**ATRUE COPY  
CERTIFICATION ON LAST PAGE  
J.K. BARTON, CLERK**

AMENDED AND RESTATED

INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT

CREATING THE TREASURE COAST REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL

THIS AMENDED AND RESTATED AGREEMENT, made and entered into this \_\_\_\_ day of November 2004, pursuant to authority of Section 163.01, Florida Statutes, by and between:

INDIAN RIVER COUNTY

MARTIN COUNTY

PALM BEACH COUNTY

and

ST. LUCIE COUNTY

WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, The Florida Interlocal Cooperation Act of 1969, Section 163.01, Florida Statutes, permits local government units to make the most efficient use of their powers by enabling them to cooperate with other localities on the basis of mutual advantage; and

WHEREAS, The Treasure Coast Regional Planning Council was created by Interlocal Agreement entered into on August 19, 1976 ("1976 Interlocal Agreement") by and between Palm Beach County, Martin County, St. Lucie County, and Indian River County pursuant to the Florida Interlocal Cooperation Act of 1969; and

WHEREAS, in 1980 the Florida legislature amended the provisions of Chapter 160 of the Florida Statutes pertaining to regional planning councils; and

WHEREAS, the 1980 amendments to Chapter 160 of the Florida Statutes provided that existing regional planning councils organized under the Florida Interlocal Cooperation Act of 1969 would be the designated regional planning council for their area provided the council agreed to meet the membership criteria established therein; and

WHEREAS, Palm Beach County, Martin County, St. Lucie County, and Indian River County entered into an amendment to the 1976 Interlocal Agreement, on November 25, 1980 which complied with the requirements of Chapter 160 of the Florida Statutes, and

WHEREAS, from August 19, 1976 the Treasure Coast Regional Planning Council which was created by the 1976 Interlocal Agreement has continuously been the regional planning council/regional planning agency for Comprehensive Planning District X comprising Palm Beach County, Martin County, St. Lucie County and Indian River County, Florida, and

WHEREAS, the Florida Administrative Procedure Act Section 120.54(4) requires that an agency must have a written description of its organization and general course of its operation which is to be made available to any person who requests it, and in part, this Amended and Restated Interlocal Agreement is to fulfill the foregoing requirement, and

A TRUE COPY  
CERTIFICATION ON LAST PAGE  
J.K. BARTON, CLERK

WHEREAS, this Agreement amends, ratifies, confirms and restates, in its entirety, the Interlocal Agreement entered on August 19, 1976, as amended on November 25, 1980, by and among the parties to this agreement pursuant to which the Treasure Coast Regional Planning Council was originally created; and

WHEREAS, the Florida Regional Planning Council Act, mandates the creation of a Regional Planning Council in each of the several comprehensive planning districts of the state; and,

WHEREAS, the Executive Office of the Governor has designated that Comprehensive Planning District X shall be comprised of the counties of Indian River, Martin, Palm Beach and St. Lucie; and

WHEREAS, a declared purpose of the Florida Regional Planning Council Act is to establish a common system of regional planning councils for areawide coordination and related cooperative activities of federal, state and local governments and ensure a broad-based regional organization that can provide a truly regional perspective enhancing the ability and opportunity of local governments to resolve issues and problems transcending their individual boundaries; and

WHEREAS, it is the desire of the parties hereto to continue as a regional planning council and regional planning agency; and

WHEREAS, the Local Government Comprehensive Planning and Land Development Regulation Act, contained in Chapter 163, Part II Florida Statutes, assigns to regional planning councils the responsibility to review local government comprehensive plans and comprehensive plan amendments; and

WHEREAS, the Environmental Land and Water Management Act, Chapter 380, Florida Statutes, assigns to regional planning agencies, among other duties, the duty to study, review and make recommendations concerning areas of Critical State Concern, Florida Quality Developments, and Developments of Regional Impact; and

WHEREAS, Governor's Executive Orders 83-150 and 95-359 and Presidential Executive Order 82-12372, designates the comprehensive regional planning agencies as areawide clearinghouses responsible for review and coordination regarding certain Federal programs; and

WHEREAS, Regional Planning Councils are statutorily assigned various responsibilities or functions in Chapter 163, 164, 186, 252, 260, 288, 339, 378, 380, 403, 419, 420, and 985, Florida Statutes and other applicable federal, state and local laws.

WHEREAS, the parties hereto desire to make the most efficient use of their powers to cooperate for mutual advantage in being a member of the Treasure Coast Regional Planning Council and to confer upon the Treasure Coast Regional Planning Council in addition to any statutory powers it currently possesses the additional powers herein set forth.

NOW, THEREFORE, for and in consideration of mutual promises, covenants, benefits to accrue from conduct of a regional planning process, and agreements herein contained and set forth, the member governments do hereby ratify and confirm the establishment and continuation, pursuant to the authority of Section 163.01 and Section 186.501, Florida Statutes, of the Treasure Coast Regional Planning Council located in Comprehensive Planning District X consisting of the counties Indian River, Martin, Palm Beach County, and St. Lucie, hereinafter referred to as the COUNCIL, a separate legal entity, and do further delegate such powers as are specified herein to it and agree as follows:

A TRUE COPY  
CERTIFICATION ON LAST PAGE  
J.K. BARTON, CLERK

1. Purposes.

The purposes of this agreement and the Council are:

- (a) To provide local governments with a means of exercising the rights, duties and powers of a regional planning council and regional planning agency as defined in Chapter 186, Florida Statutes and other applicable federal, state and local laws as now existing and/or as from time to time amended.
- (b) To provide a means for conducting and implementing the regional planning process.
- (c) To provide regional coordination for local governments in the Treasure Coast region.
- (d) To have an organization to act in an advisory capacity to exchange, interchange, and review the various programs referred to it which are of regional concern.
- (e) To promote communication among local governments in the region and the identification and resolution of common regional-scale problems.
- (f) To facilitate with Federal, State, local, and non-governmental agencies and citizens to promote the orderly and harmonious coordination of Federal, State, regional and local planning and development programs in order to encourage the orderly, and balanced growth and development of this region consistent with the protection of natural resources and the environment and to enhance the quality of life of the residents of the region and to promote their health, safety, and welfare.
- (g) To encourage and promote communications between neighboring regional planning districts to attempt to assure compatibility in development and long-range planning goals and to coordinate with neighboring regional planning districts whenever possible and to enter into agreements with neighboring regional planning districts pertaining to activities or projects that are of mutual interest.
- (h) To have an organization that will promote areawide coordination and related cooperative activities of federal, state and local governments insuring a broad based-regional organization that can provide a truly regional perspective and enhance that ability and opportunity of local governments to resolve issues and problems transcending their individual boundaries.
- (i) To have an organization to review Developments of Regional Impact, Florida Quality Developments and other developments as defined or set forth in Chapter 380, Florida Statutes, which will collect review fees and make recommendations to the local government(s) of jurisdiction for applications to be approved, denied or approved subject to conditions, restrictions or limitations so that development within the region is consistent with adopted Strategic Regional Policy Plan and the applicable Local Government Comprehensive Plans.
- (k) To have an organization to carry out the duties, functions and activities that are to the mutual advantage of the local government units within Comprehensive Planning District X.
- (l) To have an organization to carry out other duties, functions and activities for other public purposes consistent with the powers conferred herein.

2. Definitions.

- (a) Alternate-a policy board member of the Council when serving in the absence of an Appointed Representative.

A TRUE COPY  
CERTIFICATION ON LAST PAGE  
J.K. BARTON, CLERK

- (b) Appointed Representative – policy board member of the Council.
- (c) Comprehensive Planning Districts – the geographic areas within the state specified by the Executive Office of the Governor.
- (d) Contribution – any monies received by the Council from a member government.
- (e) Council – the TREASURE COAST REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL.
- (f) Elected Official – a member of the Governing Body of a municipality or a county, or a county elected official chosen by a Governing Body.
- (g) Federal or Federal Government – the government of the United States or any department, commission, agency, or other instrumentalities thereof.
- (h) Governing Body – the Board of County Commissioners or City/ Town/Village Council/Commission of any member government.
- (i) Local General Purpose Government – any municipality or county created pursuant to the authority granted under ss. 1 and 2, Article VIII of the State Constitution.
- (j) Participating Member Unit – any incorporated municipality located within a Principal Member Unit.
- (k) Principal Member Unit – any county within the Treasure Coast Comprehensive Planning District X which is a signatory to this interlocal agreement.

3. Effective Date, Duration, Amendment and Termination.

- (a) The effective creation date of the COUNCIL is August 19, 1976.
- (b) This agreement shall continue in effect until terminated as provided in Section 3.d.
- (c) Any amendments to this agreement shall be in writing and set forth an effective date. To put into effect any amendment, each Principal Member Unit shall adopt a resolution authorizing its chairman or chief elected official to execute the amendment.
- (d) This agreement may be terminated by resolution duly adopted by the Governing Body of each Principal Member Unit. The effective termination date shall be after the date said resolutions have been adopted by all Principal Member Units unless contractual obligations of the Council would require a later termination date.
- (e) In the event there is a complete termination of this agreement, as provided for in Section 3.d., which would involve the disposition of the property of the COUNCIL, such property shall be liquidated and each Principal Member Unit shall be entitled to a share of the proceeds bearing the same ratio as the Contribution of that Principal Member Unit to the Council in the most recent fiscal year. Said proceeds from the liquidation of the property of the Council shall be applied to satisfy debts, liabilities and financial obligations of the Council prior to any distribution to the members.
- (f) Further, in the case of a complete termination of this agreement, the non-Federal matching contribution required to match any approved Federal or State grant shall be submitted to the appropriate agency. However, the preceding notwithstanding, if the grant program may be canceled or terminated early (thereby eliminating or reducing the amount of required non-Federal matching contribution), then it shall be terminated and any excess matching funds shall instead be distributed to each Principal

A TRUE COPY  
CERTIFICATION ON LAST PAGE  
J.K. BARTON, CLERK

Member Unit with each Principal Member Unit being entitled to a share of such proceeds bearing the same ratio as the Contribution of that Principal Member Unit to the Council in the most recent fiscal year.

4. Membership, Voting and Term of Office.

- (a) Indian River County and Martin County, and St. Lucie County, as Principal Member Units, shall each be represented by two (2) members, and Palm Beach County as a Principal Member Unit shall be represented by three (3) members. All members shall be appointed from the respective elected county Governing Body by such Governing Body.
- (b) In addition to the Principal Member Unit membership referred to in 4.(a) above, Martin County shall be entitled to one (1) municipal member to serve on the Council, St. Lucie County and Indian River County shall be entitled to two (2) municipal members each to serve on the Council, and Palm Beach County shall be entitled to five (5) municipal members to serve on the Council. Such municipal members shall be municipal Elected Officials from a Participating Member Unit who serve on a municipal Governing Body in the respective counties. The municipal members and their alternates shall be appointed by a participating member or members from the respective counties subject to the approval of the Board of County Commissioners of the applicable Principal Member Unit.
- (c) The Governor of the State of Florida shall appoint a maximum of nine (9) voting members as Appointed Representatives. Unless otherwise required by law, the counties in the Region shall be represented by the Governor's voting members in the following manner: Indian River County one (1) representative; Martin County two (2) representatives; St. Lucie County two (2) representatives; and Palm Beach County four (4) representatives.
- (d) Each Principal Member Unit shall appoint an alternate for each Appointed Representative. These alternates shall be Elected Officials and shall be approved by the Governing Body of the Principal Member Unit. In the event that neither the Appointed Representative nor his/her regular alternate is present at a Council meeting and there is another alternate from the same county present (who is not needed to attend for the Appointed Representative for whom he/she is the regular alternate) then this alternate may substitute for the absent Appointed Representative. A person that has been appointed as an alternate for an Appointed Representative from a Participating Member Unit may also serve as an alternate for an Appointed Representative from the same county under the preceding sentence.
- (e) There shall be appointed for each Appointed Representative from a Participating Member Unit one alternate. These alternates shall be municipal Elected Officials from a Participating Member Unit who serve on a municipal Governing Body. In the event that neither the Appointed Representative nor his Alternate is present at a Council meeting and there is another Alternate from the same county present (who is not needed to attend for the Appointed Representative for whom he is the regular Alternate), then this Alternate may substitute for the absent Appointed Representative. A person that has been appointed as an Alternate for an Appointed Representative from a Principal Member Unit may also

A TRUE COPY  
CERTIFICATION ON LAST PAGE  
J.K. BARTON, CLERK

serve as an Alternate for an Appointed Representative from the same county under the preceding sentence.

- (f) The names of all of the Appointed Representatives and their Alternates shall be recorded in the Council minutes.
- (g) For the conducting of all business, each Appointed Representative or Alternate serving for an Appointed Representative shall have an equal vote, which shall be one (1) vote each.
- (h) The basic term of office for Appointed Representatives and Alternates appointed by either participating or principal members shall be for one (1) year commencing with the regular December meeting in each year. The representatives appointed by the Governor shall serve a term pursuant to law and as designated by the Governor. All Appointed Representatives shall be eligible for reappointment. All Appointed Representatives and Alternates shall serve until a replacement is appointed by the appropriate appointing authority.
- (i) The Governor of the State of Florida shall designate such ex-officio non-voting members as provided by law. Presently the Governor of the State of Florida shall designate as ex-officio non-voting members the following: a representative of the Florida Department of Transportation, a representative of the Florida Department of Environmental Protection, a representative nominated by Enterprise Florida, Inc. and the office of Trade, Tourism and Economic Development and a representative of the applicable water management district or districts. At the present time, the Governor may also appoint ex officio non voting members representing the appropriate metropolitan planning organizations and regional water supply authorities.
- (j) The South Florida Regional Transportation Authority may appoint an ex officio non-voting member to the Council and such ex officio member shall be a full time employee staff person of the South Florida Regional Transportation Authority.

5. Vacancies.

Any vacancy in membership shall be filled for the unexpired term in the same manner as the initial appointment.

6. Removal From Office.

Should a representative have three (3) consecutive absences from regular meetings with or without a justifiable reason, the Secretary-Treasurer shall so advise the appropriate member government, or the Governor, and request another appointment. Should a representative have five (5) absences during any one (1) year period, the Secretary-Treasurer shall so advise the appropriate member government. Members may be removed from the Council by the authority which made the appointment only after written notice of such removal has been given to the Council.

7. Officers, Term of Office and Duties.

- (a) The regular December meeting shall be known as the Annual Meeting of the Council, and shall be for the purpose of electing the new officers and conducting such other business as may come before the members. The Council shall elect from its membership the following officers: Chairman, Vice

A TRUE COPY  
CERTIFICATION ON LAST PAGE  
J.K. BARTON, CLERK

Chairman, and Secretary-Treasurer. Each member so elected shall serve for one (1) year or until he is reelected or his successor is elected.

- (b) The newly elected officers shall be declared installed following their election, and shall assume the duties of office upon adjournment of the Annual Meeting.
- (c) The Chairman, who shall be chief policy officer, shall be responsible for overseeing the working organization of the Council, for seeing that all policies of the Council are carried out, for signing with the counter signature of the Executive Director as authorized by the Council any contract or other instruments which the Council deems in its interest, and for presiding over all Council meetings. The Chairman or his designated representative shall be an ex officio member of all committees.
- (d) The Vice Chairman shall act in the Chairman's absence or inability to act. The Vice Chairman shall perform such other functions as the Council may from time to time assign to him.
- (e) The Secretary-Treasurer shall be responsible for minutes of the meetings, keeping the roll of members, the financial affairs of the Council and such other duties as may be assigned to him.

8. Meetings.

- (a) The annual election of officers shall be held during the December meeting in each year.
- (b) Regular meetings shall be held on the days and times established by the Council.
- (c) Special meetings shall be called by the Chairman either at his discretion or when he is requested by at least five (5) Appointed Representatives provided adequate notice shall be given to all Appointed Representatives and Alternates stating the date, hour and place of the meeting and the purpose for which such meeting is called, and no other business shall be transacted at that meeting. However, if a determination to hold a special meeting is reflected upon the record of any Council meeting, no additional notice is necessary except as required by law.
- (d) The place and time of each regular meeting shall be determined by the Council prior to the adjournment of the previous meeting. In the absence of such determination, the time and place of the meeting(s) shall be determined by the Chairman. The Council may establish a regular meeting time and place, in which case no special action by either the Council or the Chairman is required, provided the meeting is to be held at the regular time and place.
- (e) Written notice of all Council meetings shall be mailed to each Appointed Representative and Alternate at the address, as it appears on the records of the Council, at least (7) days prior to that meeting, or earlier, if required by law. The notice shall include the date, time, place, subject of the meeting and the agenda. Business transacted at all special meetings shall be confined to the subject stated in the notice.
- (f) All official meetings of the Council shall be open to the public as required by the Florida Sunshine Law, Chapter 286, Florida Statutes.
- (g) A quorum at any meeting shall consist of a majority of the Appointed Representatives (including Alternates for Appointed Representatives when an Alternate or Alternates are serving) on the Council. A quorum shall be required for the conduct of all official business. When a quorum has been determined to be present, a majority of those present and voting may take action in all matters presented at the meeting.

A TRUE COPY  
CERTIFICATION ON LAST PAGE  
J.K. BARTON, CLERK

Proxy voting is prohibited; however, it is understood that the vote of an Alternate is not to be considered a proxy.

- (h) The Secretary-Treasurer or his nominee, in accord with Florida's Public Records Law, Chapter 119, Florida Statutes, shall keep minutes of each meeting and distribute a copy thereof to each member government.

9. Finances.

- (a) The work year and fiscal year of the Council shall be the twelve (12) months beginning the first day of October and ending on the thirtieth day of September.
- (b) On or before the regular July Council meeting of each year, the Council shall adopt an annual budget and certify a copy thereof to the Clerk or authorized recipient of the Governing Body of each Principal Member Unit. Each Principal Member Unit shall contribute such cash amount per capita on the total population of its county as is required together with other fees, revenues and charges received by the Council, in such fiscal year, to make the annual budget. The population base for calculating such pro rata Contribution shall be the most current reported for each county in accordance with the annual estimate of population prepared by the Bureau of Economic and Business Research at the University of Florida or any successor bureau or agency thereto designated by the State of Florida.
- (c) Contributions shall be paid in full no later than November 1.
- (d) Each Principal Member Unit who does not remit the assessed amount by November 1 shall lose all voting privileges; both for representatives from the Principal Member Unit and other appointees from the same county (except the governor's appointees), until payment is made.
- (e) The following persons are designated to sign all checks issued by the Council: (1) the Chairman; (2) the Vice-Chairman; (3) the Secretary-Treasurer; (4) the Executive Director of the Council; and (5) a Council member designated by Council from the county in which the Council offices are located. All checks shall be co-signed by two (2) of the above designated persons.
- (f) The budget and such other changes, amendments or supplements as may be necessary to conduct the fiscal affairs of the Council may be amended from time to time by action of the Council; provided, however, that the budget may not be amended to increase the annual per capita Contribution by the Principal Member Units without the consent of the governing bodies of each of the Principal Member Units.
- (g) The purchase of any single item of either equipment or goods which will required the expenditure of more than five thousand dollars (\$5,000) must be approved by the Council.

10. Powers.

The Council shall have all powers granted herein including:

- (a) The powers granted to regional planning councils and regional planning agencies by Chapter 186, Florida Statutes, and other applicable federal, state and local laws as now existing and/or as from time to time amended, and pursuant to Section 163.01, Florida Statutes, the additional powers set forth herein.

A TRUE COPY  
CERTIFICATION ON LAST PAGE  
J.K. BARTON, CLERK

- (b) To adopt rules of procedure for the regulation of its affairs and the conduct of its business, and to appoint from among its members a chairman to serve annually, provided that such chairman may be subject to reelection.
- (c) To adopt an official seal utilizing the name of the Council.
- (d) To maintain an office at such place or places within the comprehensive planning district as it may designate.
- (e) To employ and to compensate such personnel, consultants, and technical and professional assistants, as it shall deem necessary to exercise the powers and perform the duties set forth in this agreement.
- (f) To make and enter all contracts and agreements necessary or incidental to the performance of its duties and the execution of its powers.
- (g) To hold public hearings and sponsor public forums in any part of the regional area whenever it deems necessary or useful in the execution of its other functions.
- (h) To sue and be sued in its own name.
- (i) To accept and receive, for public purposes, funds, grants and services from the Federal Government or its agencies; from departments, agencies, and instrumentalities of state, municipal or local government; from other public or quasi public entities, and from private or civic sources.
- (j) To receive and expend such sums of money as shall be from time to time appropriated for its use by any county or municipality where approved by the Council and to act as an agency to receive and to expend federal funds for planning and other public purposes.
- (k) To act in advisory capacity to the constituent local governments in regional, metropolitan, county and municipal planning matters.
- (l) To cooperate, in the exercise of its planning functions, with federal and state agencies in planning disaster preparedness and emergency management.
- (m) To fix and collect membership fees, and service charges and fees for planning review and other services provided by Council.
- (n) To acquire, own, hold in custody, operate, maintain, lease or sell real or personal property.
- (o) To incur debts, liabilities or obligations which do not constitute the debts, liabilities or obligations of any parties to this agreement.
- (p) To accept gifts, grants, assistance, funds, or bequests.
- (q) To enter into agreements with respect to the receipt and expenditures of grants or other funds, including but not limited agreements as to revolving and other loan funds, and to exercise such powers as shall be necessary or appropriate to implement such grants or other funding, which shall include (but not be limited to) the power to hold and manage such funds, to enter into loan agreements or other agreements relating thereto and to enforce and collect loan payments thereunder, and to lend and re-lend such funds for the public purposes to be accomplished with such grant or other funding.
- (r) To conduct studies of the region's resources.

A TRUE COPY  
CERTIFICATION ON LAST PAGE  
J.K. BARTON, CLERK

- (s) To participate with other governmental agencies, educational institutions, and private organizations in the coordination or conduct of its activities.
- (t) To conduct planning charettes within and outside the region.
- (u) To select and appoint such advisory bodies as the Council may find appropriate for the conduct of its activities.

11 Staff.

- (a) The Council shall employ and set the compensation of an Executive Director, who shall serve at the pleasure of the Council. The Council shall engage or employ and set the compensation of the general counsel, who shall serve at the pleasure of the Council.
- (b) The Executive Director shall employ and discharge other professional, technical, or clerical staff as may be necessary to carry out the purposes of the Council. The Executive Director, with the consent of the Council or pursuant to procedures established by the Council, may acquire the services of consultants.
- (c) The Executive Director shall be responsible to the officers and the Council for supervising and administering the work program of the Council, including preparation of a proposed annual budget, and supervising the professional, clerical and technical employees of the Council.
- (d) The Executive Director shall act as Assistant to the Secretary-Treasurer of the Council and shall, at the direction of the Secretary-Treasurer prepare minutes of each meeting and be responsible for distributing copies to members of the Council, and shall perform such other duties and responsibilities as directed by the Council.

12. Committees.

- a. The Chairman shall establish and maintain such Committees as deemed necessary to carry out the purposes and objectives of the Council. Committees shall be created or discontinued by the Chairman subject to approval of the Council.

There shall be a minimum of two standing committees, and the membership of each shall have at least one representative from each county in the region. The two standing committees shall be:

- (1) Budget/Finance Committee; and
- (2) Personnel Committee.

All Committees and Chairmen thereof shall be appointed by the Council Chairman with the approval of a majority of the Council, except that, between regular meetings of the Council when the need arises the Chairman alone (without any additional authorization other than this provision) may fill vacancies, and/or appoint temporary committee members or chairmen thereof. Any person so appointed by the Chairman between regular meetings of Council, shall have full and complete authority to vote and carry out the duties of regular committee members until the next regular meeting of the Council or such shorter period of time, as the Chairman shall determine. The authority of the person appointed by the Chairman between regular meetings of the Council may not extend past the next regular meeting unless confirmed by a majority of the Council. If majority of Council does not confirm the person appointed for future service on the committee,

A TRUE COPY  
CERTIFICATION ON LAST PAGE  
J.K. BARTON, CLERK

this shall in no way affect the validity of the actions taken by such person during the period between regular meetings of the Council.

13. Immunity.

All of the privileges and immunities from liability and exemptions from laws, ordinances and rules which apply to the activities of Local General Purpose Governments or the officials, officers, agents or employees of Local General Purpose Governments shall apply to the Council and officials, officers, agents or employees of the Council when performing their respective functions and duties under the provisions of this Agreement.

14. Limited Liability.

No member shall in any manner be obligated to pay any debts, obligations or liabilities arising as a result of any actions of the Council (except the Principal Member Unit's share of the annual budget), or arising as a result of any actions of any representatives of the Council, its agents, employees, officers or officials. Neither the Council or its agents, employees, officers or officials shall have any authority or power to otherwise obligate the members in any manner.

15. Severability.

If any provision of this agreement or the application of such provisions to any person or circumstance shall be invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions or applications of this agreement which can be given effect without the invalid provisions or applications, and to this end the provisions of this agreement are declared severable.

16. Signatories.

It is expressly understood and agreed that the terms and conditions of this agreement shall be not become effective until approved by the Board's of County Commission of Palm Beach County, Martin County, St. Lucie County and Indian River County.

A TRUE COPY  
CERTIFICATION ON LAST PAGE  
J.K. BARTON, CLERK

IN THE WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have officially adopted and caused this amended and restated agreement to be executed and their signature to be affixed by their respective Chairman as of the day and year first above written.

ATTEST:

Marsha Ewing by [Signature]  
Marsha Ewing, Clerk

Sharon R. Bock, Clerk & Comptroller  
Palm Beach County  
By Judith [Signature]  
Deputy Clerk, FLORIDA

PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA  
BY ITS BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

Tony [Signature]  
Chairman Tony Masiotti

R2005 2118 OCT 18 2005

ST. LUCIE COUNTY, FLORIDA  
BY ITS BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

Carla A. Lewis  
Chairman 10/28/04

INDIAN RIVER COUNTY, FLORIDA  
BY ITS BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

[Signature]  
Chairman

MARTIN COUNTY, FLORIDA  
BY ITS BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

[Signature]  
Lee Weberman, Chairman

APPROVED AS TO FORM & CORRECTNESS:

[Signature]  
Stephen Fry, County Attorney

APPROVED AS TO FORM  
AND LEGAL SUFFICIENCY

[Signature]  
COUNTY ATTORNEY



[Signature]

APPROVED AS TO FORM  
AND LEGAL SUFFICIENCY

[Signature]  
COUNTY ATTORNEY

APPROVED AS TO FORM  
AND LEGAL SUFFICIENCY

BY [Signature]  
WILLIAM O. COLLINS II  
COUNTY ATTORNEY

STATE OF FLORIDA  
INDIAN RIVER COUNTY  
THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT THIS IS A  
TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF THE  
ORIGINAL ON FILE IN THIS OFFICE.  
J.K. BARTON, CLERK



BY [Signature]  
DEPUTY CLERK  
DATE 3-29-2006

## The 2015 Florida Statutes

### Title XIII, Planning and Development Chapter 186, State and Regional Planning

- 186.001 Short title.
- 186.002 Findings and intent.
- 186.003 Definitions; ss. 186.001-186.031, 186.801-186.901.
- 186.004 Governor; chief planning officer of the state.
- 186.005 Designation of departmental planning officer.
- 186.006 Powers and responsibilities of Executive Office of the Governor.
- 186.007 State comprehensive plan; preparation; revision.
- 186.008 State comprehensive plan; revision; implementation.
- 186.009 Growth management portion of the state comprehensive plan.
- 186.021 Long-range program plans.
- 186.031 Annual report.
- 186.501 Short title.
- 186.502 Legislative findings; public purpose.
- 186.503 Definitions relating to Florida Regional Planning Council Act.
- 186.504 Regional planning councils; creation; membership.
- 186.505 Regional planning councils; powers and duties.
- 186.506 Executive Office of the Governor; powers and duties.
- 186.507 Strategic regional policy plans.
- 186.508 Strategic regional policy plan adoption; consistency with state comprehensive plan.
- 186.509 Dispute resolution process.
- 186.511 Evaluation of strategic regional policy plan; changes in plan.
- 186.512 Designation of regional planning councils.
- 186.513 Reports.
- 186.515 Creation of regional planning councils under chapter 163.
- 186.801 Ten-year site plans.
- 186.803 Use of geographic information by governmental entities.
- 186.901 Population census determination.

186.001 Short title.—Sections 186.001-186.031 and 186.801-186.901 shall be known and may be cited as the “Florida State Comprehensive Planning Act of 1972.”

History.—s. 1, ch. 72-295; s. 68, ch. 99-2.

Note.—Former s. 23.0111.

186.002 Findings and intent.—

(1) The Legislature finds and declares that:

(a) The issues of public safety, education, health care, community and economic development and redevelopment, protection and conservation of natural and historic resources, transportation, and public facilities transcend the boundaries and responsibilities of individual units of government, and often no single unit of government can plan or implement policies to deal with these issues without affecting other units of government.

(b) Coordination among all levels of government is necessary to ensure effective and efficient delivery of governmental services to all the citizens of the state. It is therefore necessary to establish an integrated planning system and to ensure coordinated administration

of government policies that address the multitude of issues posed by the state's continued growth and development.

(c) To promote intergovernmental coordination and the effective allocation of resources, the state should set goals to provide direction and guidance for state, regional, and local governments and agencies in the development and implementation of their respective plans, programs, and services. The preservation and enhancement of the quality of life of the people of this state require that a state comprehensive plan be adopted by the Legislature to provide policy direction for all state and regional agencies and local governments.

(d) Regular evaluation of the state comprehensive plan is necessary to inform the public whether state goals are being attained. To accomplish this purpose, the state comprehensive plan should be evaluated biennially with any necessary revisions prepared through coordinated action by state and regional agencies and local governments.

(2) It is the intent of the Legislature that:

(a) The state planning process provide direction for the delivery of governmental services, a means for defining and achieving the specific goals and objectives of the state, and a method for evaluating the accomplishment of those goals and objectives.

(b) The state comprehensive plan shall provide basic policy direction to all levels of government regarding the orderly social, economic, and physical growth of the state.

(c) Long-range program plans shall be effectively coordinated to ensure the establishment of appropriate agency priorities and facilitate the orderly, positive management of agency activities consistent with the public interest. It is also intended that the implementation of state and regional plans enhance the quality of life of the citizens of the state.

(d) The state planning process shall be informed and guided by the experience of public officials at all levels of government.

(e) All agencies and levels of government involved in the integrated planning process shall provide sufficient opportunities for meaningful public participation in the preparation, implementation, evaluation, and revision of all plans and programs.

History.—s. 2, ch. 84-257; s. 87, ch. 92-142; s. 19, ch. 93-206; s. 40, ch. 2000-371; s. 11, ch. 2012-99.

186.003 Definitions; ss. 186.001-186.031, 186.801-186.901.—As used in ss. 186.001-186.031 and 186.801-186.901, the term:

(1) “Executive Office of the Governor” means the Office of Planning and Budgeting of the Executive Office of the Governor.

(2) “Goal” means the long-term end toward which programs and activities are ultimately directed.

(3) “Objective” means a specific, measurable, intermediate end that is achievable and marks progress toward a goal.

(4) “Policy” means the way in which programs and activities are conducted to achieve an identified goal.

(5) “Regional planning agency” means the regional planning council created pursuant to ss. 186.501-186.515 to exercise responsibilities under ss. 186.001-186.031 and 186.801-186.901 in a particular region of the state.

(6) “State agency” or “agency” means any official, officer, commission, board, authority, council, committee, or department of the executive branch of state government. For purposes of this chapter, “state agency” or “agency” includes state attorneys, public defenders, the capital collateral regional counsel, the Justice Administrative Commission, and the Public Service Commission.

(7) “State comprehensive plan” means the state planning document required in s. 19, Art.

III of the State Constitution and published as ss. 187.101 and 187.201.

History.—s. 3, ch. 72-295; s. 1, ch. 78-287; s. 66, ch. 79-190; s. 3, ch. 84-257; s. 31, ch. 88-122; s. 97, ch. 91-282; s. 88, ch. 92-142; s. 20, ch. 93-206; s. 24, ch. 95-280; s. 12, ch. 97-79; s. 17, ch. 98-176; s. 69, ch. 99-2; s. 67, ch. 99-245; s. 41, ch. 2000-371.

Note.—Former s. 23.0112.

186.004 Governor; chief planning officer of the state.—The Governor is the chief planning officer of the state and shall conduct a biennial review and revision of the state comprehensive plan.

History.—s. 1, ch. 67-157; s. 7, ch. 71-377; s. 4, ch. 72-295; s. 21, ch. 93-206.

Note.—Former s. 23.011.

186.005 Designation of departmental planning officer.—

(1) The head of each executive department and the Public Service Commission, the Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission, the Florida Commission on Offender Review, and the Department of Military Affairs shall select from within such agency a person to be designated as the planning officer for such agency. The planning officer shall be responsible for coordinating with the Executive Office of the Governor and with the planning officers of other agencies all activities and responsibilities of such agency relating to planning.

(2) The head of each agency shall notify the Executive Office of the Governor in writing of the person initially designated as the planning officer for such agency and of any changes in persons so designated thereafter.

History.—s. 5, ch. 72-295; s. 67, ch. 79-190; s. 1, ch. 81-169; s. 32, ch. 88-122; s. 68, ch. 99-245; s. 7, ch. 2014-191.

Note.—Former s. 23.0113.

186.006 Powers and responsibilities of Executive Office of the Governor.—For the purpose of establishing consistency and uniformity in the state and regional planning process and in order to ensure that the intent of ss. 186.001-186.031 and 186.801-186.901 is accomplished, the Executive Office of the Governor shall:

(1) Identify and monitor on a continuing basis statewide conditions and trends which impact the state.

(2) Prepare, and update or revise regularly, the state comprehensive plan.

(3) Designate the geographic boundaries of comprehensive planning districts.

(4) Designate, and prepare or direct to be prepared, specific data, assumptions, forecasts, and projections for use by each state or regional agency in the preparation of plans.

(5) Coordinate planning among federal, state, regional, and local levels of government and between this state and other states.

(6) Prepare or direct appropriate state or regional agencies to prepare such studies, reports, data collections, or analyses as are necessary or useful in the preparation or revision of the state comprehensive plan, state agency functional plans, or strategic regional policy plans.

(7) Act as the state clearinghouse and designate the regional planning councils as the regional data clearinghouses.

(8) Direct state agencies and regional agencies to prepare and implement, consistent with their authority and responsibilities under law, such plans as are necessary to further the purposes and intent of the state comprehensive plan.

(9) Provide such data and information to public and private agencies and to the public as it may have available.

(10) Using federal, state, local, or private funds, contract with public agencies or private firms or consultants for specialized services or research facilities, whenever such services or facilities are not otherwise available to it.

(11) Perform such other functions as are necessary to carry out the intent of ss. 186.001-186.031 and 186.801-186.901.

History.—s. 4, ch. 84-257; s. 7, ch. 85-57; s. 1, ch. 95-149; s. 70, ch. 99-2.

186.007 State comprehensive plan; preparation; revision.—

(1) The Executive Office of the Governor shall prepare a proposed state comprehensive plan which provides long-range guidance for the orderly social, economic, and physical growth of the state. The plan shall be composed of goals, objectives, and policies that are briefly stated in plain, easily understandable words and that give specific policy direction to state and regional agencies. The goals, objectives, and policies shall be statewide in scope and shall be consistent and compatible with each other. The state comprehensive plan shall not include a land use map.

(2) In preparing the goals, objectives, and policies of the state comprehensive plan, the Executive Office of the Governor shall analyze the problems, opportunities, and needs associated with growth and development in this state, particularly those problems, opportunities, and needs related to land use, water resources, and transportation system development. The Executive Office of the Governor shall document present conditions and trends, forecast future conditions and trends based on expected growth patterns, and identify needs. Such conditions, trends, and needs shall be used to prepare goals, objectives, and policies designed to preserve and enhance the quality of life of the citizens of this state.

(3) In the state comprehensive plan, the Executive Office of the Governor may include goals, objectives, and policies related to the following program areas: economic opportunities; agriculture; employment; public safety; education; health concerns; social welfare concerns; housing and community development; natural resources and environmental management; energy; global climate change; recreational and cultural opportunities; historic preservation; transportation; and governmental direction and support services.

(4)(a) The Executive Office of the Governor shall prepare statewide goals, objectives, and policies related to the opportunities, problems, and needs associated with growth and development in this state, which goals, objectives, and policies shall constitute the growth management portion of the state comprehensive plan. In preparing the growth management goals, objectives, and policies, the Executive Office of the Governor initially shall emphasize the management of land use, water resources, and transportation system development.

(b) The purpose of the growth management portion of the state comprehensive plan is to establish clear, concise, and direct goals, objectives, and policies related to land development, water resources, transportation, and related topics. In doing so, the plan should, where possible, draw upon the work that agencies have invested in the Florida Transportation Plan, the Florida water plan, and similar planning documents.

(5)(a) The Executive Office of the Governor shall prepare a separate portion of the state comprehensive plan related to the long-term infrastructure and capital outlay needs of the state. This portion shall be prepared based upon a comprehensive assessment of needs conducted by the Executive Office of the Governor, and it shall be updated annually as part of the budgeting process prescribed by chapter 216. The assessment shall provide estimates by area of the future infrastructure needs of the state that result from expected growth patterns and shall include recommendations for directing state expenditures to particular areas of the state in order to implement the growth management goals, objectives, and policies of the state comprehensive plan.

(b) All capital outlay recommendations submitted to the Legislature in the Governor's budget request must be consistent with the goals, objectives, and policies of the state comprehensive plan and the long-term infrastructure and capital outlay portion when

adopted.

(6) The adopted state comprehensive plan shall provide, in addition to other criteria established by law, standards and criteria for the review and approval of state agency strategic plans and strategic regional policy plans.

(7) In preparing and revising the state comprehensive plan, the Executive Office of the Governor shall, to the extent feasible, consider studies, reports, and plans of each department, agency, and institution of state and local government, each regional planning agency, and the Federal Government and shall take into account the existing and prospective resources, capabilities, and needs of state and local levels of government.

(8) The revision of the state comprehensive plan is a continuing process. Each section of the plan shall be reviewed and analyzed biennially by the Executive Office of the Governor in conjunction with the planning officers of other state agencies significantly affected by the provisions of the particular section under review. In conducting this review and analysis, the Executive Office of the Governor shall review and consider, with the assistance of the state land planning agency and regional planning councils, the evaluation and appraisal reports prepared pursuant to s. 186.511. Any necessary revisions of the state comprehensive plan shall be proposed by the Governor in a written report and be accompanied by an explanation of the need for such changes. If the Governor determines that changes are unnecessary, the written report must explain why changes are unnecessary. The proposed revisions and accompanying explanations may be submitted in the report required by s. 186.031. Any proposed revisions to the plan shall be submitted to the Legislature as provided in s. 186.008(2) at least 30 days prior to the regular legislative session occurring in each even-numbered year.

History.—s. 7, ch. 72-295; ss. 3, 5, ch. 77-306; s. 2, ch. 78-287; s. 68, ch. 79-190; s. 5, ch. 84-257; s. 22, ch. 93-206; s. 18, ch. 97-160; s. 18, ch. 98-176; s. 3, ch. 99-5; s. 4, ch. 2008-227; s. 46, ch. 2010-102; s. 12, ch. 2012-99.

Note.—Former s. 23.0114.

186.008 State comprehensive plan; revision; implementation.—

(1) On or before October 1 of every odd-numbered year, the Executive Office of the Governor shall prepare, and the Governor shall recommend to the Administration Commission, any proposed revisions to the state comprehensive plan deemed necessary. The Governor shall transmit his or her recommendations and explanation as required by s. 186.007(8). Copies shall also be provided to each state agency, to each regional planning agency, to any other unit of government that requests a copy, and to any member of the public who requests a copy.

(2) On or before December 15 of every odd-numbered year, the Administration Commission shall review the proposed revisions to the state comprehensive plan prepared by the Governor. The commission shall adopt a resolution, after public notice and a reasonable opportunity for public comment, and transmit the proposed revisions to the state comprehensive plan to the Legislature, together with any amendments approved by the commission and any dissenting reports. The commission shall identify those portions of the plan that are not based on existing law.

(3) All amendments, revisions, or updates to the plan shall be adopted by the Legislature as a general law.

(4) The state comprehensive plan shall be implemented and enforced by all state agencies consistent with their lawful responsibilities whether it is put in force by law or by administrative rule. The Governor, as chief planning officer of the state, shall oversee the implementation process.

- (5) All state agency budgets and programs shall be consistent with the adopted state comprehensive plan and shall support and further its goals and policies.
- (6) The Florida Public Service Commission, in approving the plans of utilities subject to its regulation, shall take into consideration the compatibility of the plan of each utility and all related utility plans taken together with the adopted state comprehensive plan.
- History.—s. 3, ch. 67-157; ss. 31, 35, ch. 69-106; s. 8, ch. 72-295; s. 1, ch. 77-306; s. 3, ch. 78-287; s. 6, ch. 84-257; ss. 5, 7, ch. 85-57; s. 23, ch. 93-206; s. 958, ch. 95-147; s. 19, ch. 98-176.

Note.—Former s. 23.013.

186.009 Growth management portion of the state comprehensive plan.—

- (1) The Executive Office of the Governor shall prepare the proposed growth management portion of the state comprehensive plan in coordination with the Legislature, appropriate state agencies, regional entities, local governments, and citizens. The proposed growth management portion of the state comprehensive plan shall not be based upon the comprehensive format of the state comprehensive plan but shall be strategic in nature.
- (2) The growth management portion of the state comprehensive plan shall:
- (a) Provide strategic guidance for state, regional, and local actions necessary to implement the state comprehensive plan with regard to the physical growth and development of the state.
  - (b) Identify metropolitan and urban growth centers.
  - (c) Identify areas of state and regional environmental significance and establish strategies to protect them.
  - (d) Set forth and integrate state policy for Florida's future growth as it relates to land development, air quality, transportation, and water resources.
  - (e) Provide guidelines for determining where urban growth is appropriate and should be encouraged.
  - (f) Provide guidelines for state transportation corridors, public transportation corridors, new interchanges on limited access facilities, and new airports of regional or state significance.
  - (g) Promote land acquisition programs to provide for natural resource protection, open space needs, urban recreational opportunities, and water access.
  - (h) Set forth policies to establish state and regional solutions to the need for affordable housing.
  - (i) Provide coordinated state planning of road, rail, and waterborne transportation facilities designed to take the needs of agriculture into consideration and to provide for the transportation of agricultural products and supplies.
  - (j) Establish priorities regarding coastal planning and resource management.
  - (k) Provide a statewide policy to enhance the multiuse waterfront development of existing deepwater ports, ensuring that priority is given to water-dependent land uses.
  - (l) Set forth other goals, objectives, and policies related to the state's natural and built environment that are necessary to effectuate those portions of the state comprehensive plan which are related to physical growth and development.
  - (m) Set forth recommendations on when and to what degree local government comprehensive plans must be consistent with the proposed growth management portion of the state comprehensive plan.
  - (n) Set forth recommendations on how to integrate the Florida water plan required by s. 373.036 and transportation plans required by chapter 339.
  - (o) Set forth recommendations concerning what degree of consistency is appropriate for the strategic regional policy plans.

The growth management portion of the state comprehensive plan shall not include a land use map.

(3) The growth management portion of the state comprehensive plan, and all amendments, revisions, or updates to the plan, shall have legal effect only upon adoption by the Legislature as general law. The Legislature shall indicate, in adopting the growth management portion of the state comprehensive plan, which plans, activities, and permits must be consistent with the growth management portion of the state comprehensive plan.

History.—s. 24, ch. 93-206; s. 19, ch. 97-160; s. 20, ch. 98-176.

186.021 Long-range program plans.—Pursuant to s. 216.013, each state agency shall develop a long-range program plan on an annual basis. The plan shall provide the framework and context for designing and interpreting the agency budget request. The plan will be developed through careful examination and justification of agency functions and their associated costs. It shall be used by the agency to implement the state’s goals and objectives. Indicators shall be developed to measure service and activity performance.

History.—s. 7, ch. 84-257; ss. 6, 7, ch. 85-57; s. 1, ch. 87-137; s. 33, ch. 87-224; s. 7, ch. 91-429; s. 89, ch. 92-142; s. 25, ch. 93-206; s. 8, ch. 94-226; s. 47, ch. 94-249; s. 5, ch. 94-340; s. 39, ch. 94-356; s. 4, ch. 95-257; s. 3, ch. 97-286; ss. 16, 17, ch. 98-73; s. 42, ch. 2000-371.

186.031 Annual report.—The Governor as the chief planning and budget officer of the state shall annually report to the Legislature and the public on the economic conditions of the state, the infrastructure and capital outlay needs of the state, and the impacts of growth and development and shall assess state, regional, and local government efforts in addressing such conditions, needs, and impacts. The report shall appraise current growth trends, shall evaluate the extent to which existing growth management policies effectively address such trends, and shall review such other factors and indicators as are appropriate. The report shall contain timely and authoritative data and information about economic and demographic growth patterns and an analysis of such information as it affects the goals and policies of the state for growth and development. The report shall contain specific recommendations for any legislative and administrative changes needed to continue to manage growth effectively and to build upon the opportunities available. The report shall be related to, and developed in conjunction with, the regular updates of the state comprehensive plan.

History.—s. 5, ch. 67-157; ss. 31, 35, ch. 69-106; s. 72, ch. 79-190; s. 15, ch. 81-259; s. 9, ch. 84-257.

Note.—Former s. 23.015.

186.501 Short title.—Sections 186.501-186.513 shall be known and may be cited as the “Florida Regional Planning Council Act.”

History.—ss. 1, 5, ch. 80-315; s. 4, ch. 82-46; s. 1, ch. 92-182; s. 38, ch. 93-206.

Note.—Former s. 160.001.

186.502 Legislative findings; public purpose.—

(1) The Legislature finds and declares that:

(a) The problems of growth and development often transcend the boundaries of individual units of local general-purpose government, and often no single unit can formulate plans or implement policies for their solution without affecting other units in their geographic area.

(b) There is a need for regional planning agencies to assist local governments to resolve their common problems, engage in areawide comprehensive and functional planning, administer certain federal and state grants-in-aid, and provide a regional focus in regard to multiple programs undertaken on an areawide basis.

(c) Federal and state programs should have coordinated purposes and consistent policy

direction in order to avoid the proliferation of overlapping, duplicating, and competing regional agencies. To further this end, these efforts should result in <sup>1</sup>entities agencies which effectively carry out a wide variety of federal and state program designations.

(d) The financial and technical assistance of the state should be provided to regional planning agencies to maximize the effective use of regional programs undertaken with the authorization of local, state, or federal governments serving the citizens of this state.

(e) There is a need for the establishment at the regional level of clear policy plans that will guide broad-based representative regional planning agencies as they undertake regional review functions.

(2) It is the declared purpose of this act to establish a common system of regional planning councils for areawide coordination and related cooperative activities of federal, state, and local governments; ensure a broad-based regional organization that can provide a truly regional perspective; and enhance the ability and opportunity of local governments to resolve issues and problems transcending their individual boundaries.

(3) The regional planning council is designated as the primary organization to address problems and plan solutions that are of greater-than-local concern or scope, and the regional planning council shall be recognized by local governments as one of the means to provide input into state policy development.

(4) The regional planning council is recognized as Florida's only multipurpose regional entity that is in a position to plan for and coordinate intergovernmental solutions to growth-related problems on greater-than-local issues, provide technical assistance to local governments, and meet other needs of the communities in each region. A council shall not act as a permitting or regulatory entity.

(5) The regional planning council shall have a duty to assist local governments with activities designed to promote and facilitate economic development in the geographic area covered by the council.

History.—ss. 2, 5, ch. 80-315; s. 4, ch. 82-46; s. 10, ch. 84-257; s. 1, ch. 92-182; ss. 27, 38, ch. 93-206; s. 91, ch. 99-251.

<sup>1</sup>Note.—The word “entities” appears to be an error; it was substituted for the word “regional” in the preparation of C.S. for H.B. 1452 (1980).

Note.—Former s. 160.002.

186.503 Definitions relating to Florida Regional Planning Council Act.—As used in this act, the term:

(1) “Comprehensive planning districts” means the geographic areas within the state specified by rule by the Executive Office of the Governor pursuant to s. 186.006.

(2) “Cross-acceptance” means a process by which a regional planning council compares plans to identify inconsistencies. Consistency between plans may be achieved through a process of negotiation involving the local governments or regional planning council which prepared the respective plans.

(3) “Elected official” means a member of the governing body of a municipality or county or an elected county official chosen by the governing body.

(4) “Existing regional planning council” means a regional planning council created by local general-purpose governments prior to October 1, 1980, pursuant to chapters <sup>1</sup>160 and 163.

(5) “Federal” or “Federal Government” means the United States Government or any department, commission, agency, or other instrumentality thereof.

(6) “Local general-purpose government” means any municipality or county created pursuant to the authority granted under ss. 1 and 2, Art. VIII of the State Constitution.

(7) “Local health council” means a regional agency established pursuant to s. 408.033.

(8) “State” or “state government” means the government of the State of Florida or any department, commission, agency, or other instrumentality thereof.

(9) “Strategic regional policy plan” means a long-range guide for physical, economic, and social development of a comprehensive planning district which identifies regional goals and policies.

History.—ss. 3, 5, ch. 80-315; s. 7, ch. 81-167; s. 4, ch. 82-46; s. 7, ch. 83-55; s. 18, ch. 84-257; s. 22, ch. 85-80; s. 99, ch. 91-282; s. 1, ch. 92-182; ss. 28, 38, ch. 93-206; s. 25, ch. 95-280; s. 12, ch. 97-79.

<sup>1</sup>Note.—Transferred to ch. 186 by the reviser incident to compiling the 1984 Supplement to the Florida Statutes 1983.

Note.—Former s. 160.003.

186.504 Regional planning councils; creation; membership.—

(1) A regional planning council shall be created in each of the several comprehensive planning districts of the state. Only one agency shall exercise the responsibilities granted herein within the geographic boundaries of any one comprehensive planning district.

(2) Membership on the regional planning council shall be as follows:

(a) Representatives appointed by each of the member counties in the geographic area covered by the regional planning council.

(b) Representatives from other member local general-purpose governments in the geographic area covered by the regional planning council.

(c) Representatives appointed by the Governor from the geographic area covered by the regional planning council, including an elected school board member from the geographic area covered by the regional planning council, to be nominated by the Florida School Board Association.

(3) Not less than two-thirds of the representatives serving as voting members on the governing bodies of such regional planning councils shall be elected officials of local general-purpose governments chosen by the cities and counties of the region, provided each county shall have at least one vote. The remaining one-third of the voting members on the governing board shall be appointed by the Governor, to include one elected school board member, subject to confirmation by the Senate, and shall reside in the region. No two appointees of the Governor shall have their places of residence in the same county until each county within the region is represented by a Governor’s appointee to the governing board. Nothing contained in this section shall deny to local governing bodies or the Governor the option of appointing either locally elected officials or lay citizens provided at least two-thirds of the governing body of the regional planning council is composed of locally elected officials.

(4) In addition to voting members appointed pursuant to paragraph (2)(c), the Governor shall appoint the following ex officio nonvoting members to each regional planning council:

(a) A representative of the Department of Transportation.

(b) A representative of the Department of Environmental Protection.

(c) A representative nominated by the Department of Economic Opportunity.

(d) A representative of the appropriate water management district or districts.

The Governor may also appoint ex officio nonvoting members representing appropriate metropolitan planning organizations and regional water supply authorities.

(5) Nothing contained in this act shall be construed to mandate municipal government membership or participation in a regional planning council. However, each county shall be a member of the regional planning council created within the comprehensive planning district

encompassing the county.

(6) The existing regional planning council in each of the several comprehensive planning districts shall be designated as the regional planning council specified under subsections (1)-(5), provided the council agrees to meet the membership criteria specified therein and is a regional planning council organized under either s. 163.01 or s. 163.02 or ss. 186.501-186.515.

History.—s. 1, ch. 59-369; s. 19, ch. 63-400; s. 1, ch. 69-63; ss. 3, 5, ch. 80-315; s. 4, ch. 82-46; s. 11, ch. 84-257; s. 1, ch. 92-182; ss. 29, 38, ch. 93-206; s. 40, ch. 94-356; s. 92, ch. 99-251; s. 30, ch. 2001-60; s. 12, ch. 2002-296; s. 62, ch. 2011-142.

Note.—Former s. 160.01.

186.505 Regional planning councils; powers and duties.—Any regional planning council created hereunder shall have the following powers:

- (1) To adopt rules of procedure for the regulation of its affairs and the conduct of its business and to appoint from among its members a chair to serve annually; however, such chair may be subject to reelection.
- (2) To adopt an official name and seal.
- (3) To maintain an office at such place or places within the comprehensive planning district as it may designate.
- (4) To employ and to compensate such personnel, consultants, and technical and professional assistants as it deems necessary to exercise the powers and perform the duties set forth in this act.
- (5) To make and enter into all contracts and agreements necessary or incidental to the performance of its duties and the execution of its powers under this act.
- (6) To hold public hearings and sponsor public forums in any part of the regional area whenever the council deems it necessary or useful in the execution of its other functions.
- (7) To sue and be sued in its own name.
- (8) To accept and receive, in furtherance of its functions, funds, grants, and services from the Federal Government or its agencies; from departments, agencies, and instrumentalities of state, municipal, or local government; or from private or civic sources. Each regional planning council shall render an accounting of the receipt and disbursement of all funds received by it, pursuant to the federal Older Americans Act, to the Legislature no later than March 1 of each year.
- (9) To receive and expend such sums of money as shall be from time to time appropriated for its use by any county or municipality when approved by the council and to act as an agency to receive and expend federal funds for planning.
- (10) To act in an advisory capacity to the constituent local governments in regional, metropolitan, county, and municipal planning matters.
- (11) To cooperate, in the exercise of its planning functions, with federal and state agencies in planning for emergency management as defined in s. 252.34.
- (12) To fix and collect membership dues, rents, or fees when appropriate.
- (13) To acquire, own, hold in custody, operate, maintain, lease, or sell real or personal property.
- (14) To dispose of any property acquired through the execution of an interlocal agreement under s. 163.01.
- (15) To accept gifts, grants, assistance, funds, or bequests.
- (16) To conduct studies of the resources of the region.
- (17) To participate with other governmental agencies, educational institutions, and private organizations in the coordination or conduct of its activities.

- (18) To select and appoint such advisory bodies as the council may find appropriate for the conduct of its activities.
- (19) To enter into contracts to provide, at cost, such services related to its responsibilities as may be requested by local governments within the region and which the council finds feasible to perform.
- (20) To provide technical assistance to local governments on growth management matters.
- (21) To perform a coordinating function among other regional entities relating to preparation and assurance of regular review of the strategic regional policy plan, with the entities to be coordinated determined by the topics addressed in the strategic regional policy plan.
- (22) To coordinate land development and transportation policies in a manner that fosters regionwide transportation systems.
- (23) To review plans of independent transportation authorities and metropolitan planning organizations to identify inconsistencies between those agencies' plans and applicable local government plans.
- (24) To use personnel, consultants, or technical or professional assistants of the council to help local governments within the geographic area covered by the council conduct economic development activities.
- (25) To provide consulting services to a private developer or landowner for a project, if not serving in a review capacity in the future, except that statutorily mandated services may be provided by the regional planning council regardless of its review role.

History.—s. 2, ch. 59-369; ss. 17, 35, ch. 69-106; s. 1, ch. 73-283; ss. 3, 5, ch. 80-315; s. 8, ch. 81-167; s. 4, ch. 82-46; s. 8, ch. 83-55; s. 4, ch. 83-334; s. 12, ch. 84-257; s. 1, ch. 92-182; ss. 30, 38, ch. 93-206; s. 959, ch. 95-147; s. 15, ch. 95-196; s. 71, ch. 99-2; s. 93, ch. 99-251; s. 63, ch. 2011-142; s. 13, ch. 2012-99; s. 10, ch. 2015-30.

Note.—Former s. 160.02.

186.506 Executive Office of the Governor; powers and duties.—The Executive Office of the Governor, or its designee, shall:

- (1) Arbitrate and settle disputes between regional planning councils.
- (2) Provide assistance to local general-purpose governments concerning organization of, or reorganization into, a regional planning council.
- (3) Review, modify, reject, or approve those rules of the regional planning councils which pertain to the functions designated to the regional planning councils by the state. These rules shall be submitted to the Governor or his or her designee and, if not acted upon within 30 days of receipt, they will be assumed to be in force.
- (4) Conduct an in-depth analysis of the current boundaries of comprehensive planning districts to ensure that the regional planning councils working within them together form a workable system for effective regional planning, and that each council can adequately perform the tasks assigned to it by law. The Executive Office of the Governor shall include in its study the preferences of local general-purpose governments; the effects of population migration, transportation networks, population increases and decreases, economic development centers, trade areas, natural resource systems, federal program requirements, designated air quality nonattainment areas, economic relationships among cities and counties, and media markets; and other data, projections, or studies that it determines to be of significance in establishing district boundaries. The Executive Office of the Governor may make such changes in the district boundaries as are found to be feasible and desirable, shall complete a review of existing boundaries by January 1, 1994, and may revise and update the boundaries from time to time thereafter.

History.—ss. 3, 5, ch. 80-315; s. 4, ch. 82-46; s. 1, ch. 92-182; ss. 31, 38, ch. 93-206; s. 960, ch. 95-147.

Note.—Former s. 160.05.

186.507 Strategic regional policy plans.—

- (1) A strategic regional policy plan shall contain regional goals and policies that shall address affordable housing, economic development, emergency preparedness, natural resources of regional significance, and regional transportation, and that may address any other subject which relates to the particular needs and circumstances of the comprehensive planning district as determined by the regional planning council. Regional plans shall identify and address significant regional resources and facilities. Regional plans shall be consistent with the state comprehensive plan.
- (2) The Executive Office of the Governor may adopt by rule minimum criteria to be addressed in each strategic regional policy plan and a uniform format for each plan. Such criteria must emphasize the requirement that each regional planning council, when preparing and adopting a strategic regional policy plan, must focus on regional rather than local resources and facilities.
- (3) In preparing the strategic regional policy plan, the regional planning council shall seek the full cooperation and assistance of local governments to identify key regional resources and facilities and shall document present conditions and trends with respect to the policy areas addressed; forecast future conditions and trends based on expected growth patterns of the region; and analyze the problems, needs, and opportunities associated with growth and development in the region, especially as those problems, needs, and opportunities relate to the subject areas addressed in the strategic regional policy plan.
- (4) The regional goals and policies shall be used to develop a coordinated program of regional actions directed at resolving the identified problems and needs.
- (5) The council shall give consideration to existing state, regional, and local plans in accomplishing the purposes of this section.
- (6) The draft regional plan shall be circulated to all local governments in the region, and the local governments shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity to comment on the regional plan.
- (7) The council shall provide for adequate input by citizens into the regional planning process.
- (8) Upon adoption, a strategic regional policy plan shall provide, in addition to other criteria established by law, the basis for regional review of developments of regional impact, regional review of federally assisted projects, and other regional comment functions.
- (9) Regional planning councils shall consider, and make accessible to the public, appropriate data and studies, including development-of-regional-impact applications and agency reports, in order to assist participants in the development-of-regional-impact review process. A major objective of the regional planning process shall be to coordinate with the state land planning agency in order to achieve uniformity and consistency in land use information and data collection efforts in this state and provide a usable and accessible database to local governments and the private sector.
- (10) Each regional planning council shall enter into a memorandum of agreement with each local health council in its comprehensive planning district to ensure the coordination of health planning, if the regional planning council elects to address health issues in its strategic regional policy plan. The memorandum of agreement shall specify the manner in which each regional planning council and local health council will coordinate their activities.
- (11) All natural resources of regional significance identified in the strategic regional policy

plan shall be identified by a specific geographic location and not solely by generic type.

(12) In addressing regional transportation, the council may recommend minimum density guidelines for development along designated public transportation corridors and identify investment strategies for providing transportation infrastructure where growth is desired, rather than focusing primarily on relieving congestion in areas where growth is discouraged.

(13) Standards included in strategic regional policy plans may be used for planning purposes only and not for permitting or regulatory purposes. However, a regional planning council may not adopt a planning standard that differs materially from a planning standard adopted by rule by a state or regional agency, when such rule expressly states the planning standard is intended to preempt action by the regional planning council. The absence of a planning standard for a particular issue on the part of a state or other regional agency shall not be deemed to create a material difference from a planning standard adopted by a regional planning council. Planning standards may be used as a basis for comments on federal consistency and clearinghouse reviews. However, any inconsistency between a local plan or plan amendment and a strategic regional policy plan must not be the sole basis for a notice of intent to find a local plan or plan amendment not in compliance with this act.

(14) A regional planning council may not, in its strategic regional policy plan or by any other means, establish binding level-of-service standards for public facilities and services provided or regulated by local governments. This limitation shall not be construed to limit the authority of regional planning councils to propose objections, recommendations, or comments on local plans or plan amendments.

(15) A strategic regional policy plan or any amendment thereto shall be adopted by rule by a two-thirds vote of the membership of the governing body of a regional planning council present at a duly noticed meeting constituting a quorum; however, no strategic regional policy plan or amendment thereto shall be adopted by less than the majority of the members of the governing body.

(16) In formulating regional policies, the regional planning council shall consider existing requirements in other planning and regulatory programs.

(17) Each regional planning council, in its strategic regional policy plan, may recommend specific locations or activities in which a project, due to character or location, should be a development of regional impact within that comprehensive planning district.

History.—ss. 3, 5, ch. 80-315; s. 4, ch. 82-46; s. 13, ch. 84-257; s. 100, ch. 91-282; s. 1, ch. 92-182; ss. 32, 38, ch. 93-206; s. 8, ch. 95-322; s. 21, ch. 98-176.

Note.—Former s. 160.07.

186.508 Strategic regional policy plan adoption; consistency with state comprehensive plan.—

(1) Each regional planning council shall submit to the Executive Office of the Governor its proposed strategic regional policy plan on a schedule established by the Executive Office of the Governor to coordinate implementation of the strategic regional policy plans with the evaluation and appraisal process required by s. 163.3191. The Executive Office of the Governor, or its designee, shall review the proposed strategic regional policy plan to ensure consistency with the adopted state comprehensive plan and shall, within 60 days, provide any recommended revisions. The Governor's recommended revisions shall be included in the plans in a comment section. However, nothing in this section precludes a regional planning council from adopting or rejecting any or all of the revisions as a part of its plan before the effective date of the plan. The rules adopting the strategic regional policy plan are not subject to rule challenge under s. 120.56(2) or to drawout proceedings under s. 120.54(3)(c)2., but, once adopted, are subject to an invalidity challenge under s. 120.56(3) by substantially

affected persons, including the Executive Office of the Governor. The rules shall be adopted by the regional planning councils, and become effective upon filing with the Department of State, notwithstanding the provisions of s. 120.54(3)(e)6.

(2) If a local government within the jurisdiction of a regional planning council challenges a portion of the council's regional policy plan pursuant to s. 120.56, the applicable portion of that local government's comprehensive plan shall not be required to be consistent with the challenged portion of the regional policy plan until 12 months after the challenge has been resolved by an administrative law judge.

(3) All amendments to the adopted regional policy plan shall be subject to all challenges pursuant to chapter 120.

History.—s. 14, ch. 84-257; s. 23, ch. 85-55; s. 13, ch. 86-191; s. 101, ch. 91-282; s. 1, ch. 92-182; ss. 34, 38, ch. 93-206; s. 31, ch. 96-410; s. 14, ch. 97-79; s. 22, ch. 98-176; s. 14, ch. 2012-99.

186.509 Dispute resolution process.—Each regional planning council shall establish by rule a dispute resolution process to reconcile differences on planning and growth management issues between local governments, regional agencies, and private interests. The dispute resolution process shall, within a reasonable set of timeframes, provide for: voluntary meetings among the disputing parties; if those meetings fail to resolve the dispute, initiation of mandatory mediation or a similar process; if that process fails, initiation of arbitration or administrative or judicial action, where appropriate. The council shall not utilize the dispute resolution process to address disputes involving environmental permits or other regulatory matters unless requested to do so by the parties. The resolution of any issue through the dispute resolution process shall not alter any person's right to a judicial determination of any issue if that person is entitled to such a determination under statutory or common law.

History.—s. 15, ch. 84-257; s. 1, ch. 92-182; ss. 35, 38, ch. 93-206; s. 11, ch. 2009-96; s. 10, ch. 2011-14.

186.511 Evaluation of strategic regional policy plan; changes in plan.—The regional planning process shall be a continuous and ongoing process. Each regional planning council shall prepare an evaluation and appraisal report on its strategic regional policy plan at least once every 5 years; assess the successes or failures of the plan; address changes to the state comprehensive plan; and prepare and adopt by rule amendments, revisions, or updates to the plan as needed. Each regional planning council shall involve the appropriate local health councils in its region if the regional planning council elects to address regional health issues. The evaluation and appraisal report shall be prepared and submitted for review on a schedule established by the Executive Office of the Governor. The schedule shall facilitate and be coordinated with, to the maximum extent feasible, the evaluation and revision of local comprehensive plans pursuant to s. 163.3191 for the local governments within each comprehensive planning district.

History.—s. 16, ch. 84-257; s. 14, ch. 86-191; s. 102, ch. 91-282; s. 1, ch. 92-182; ss. 37, 38, ch. 93-206; s. 23, ch. 98-176.

186.512 Designation of regional planning councils.—

(1) The territorial area of the state is subdivided into the following districts for the purpose of regional comprehensive planning. The name and geographic area of each respective district must accord with the following:

(a) West Florida Regional Planning Council: Bay, Escambia, Holmes, Okaloosa, Santa Rosa, Walton, and Washington Counties.

(b) Apalachee Regional Planning Council: Calhoun, Franklin, Gadsden, Gulf, Jackson, Jefferson, Leon, Liberty, and Wakulla Counties.

(c) North Central Florida Regional Planning Council: Alachua, Bradford, Columbia, Dixie, Gilchrist, Hamilton, Lafayette, Levy, Madison, Marion, Suwannee, Taylor, and Union Counties.

(d) Northeast Florida Regional Planning Council: Baker, Clay, Duval, Flagler, Nassau, Putnam, and St. Johns Counties.

(e) East Central Florida Regional Planning Council: Brevard, Lake, Orange, Osceola, Seminole, Sumter, and Volusia Counties.

(f) Central Florida Regional Planning Council: DeSoto, Hardee, Highlands, Okeechobee, and Polk Counties.

(g) Tampa Bay Regional Planning Council: Citrus, Hernando, Hillsborough, Manatee, Pasco, and Pinellas Counties.

(h) Southwest Florida Regional Planning Council: Charlotte, Collier, Glades, Hendry, Lee, and Sarasota Counties.

(i) Treasure Coast Regional Planning Council: Indian River, Martin, Palm Beach, and St. Lucie Counties.

(j) South Florida Regional Planning Council: Broward, Miami-Dade, and Monroe Counties.

(2) Beginning January 1, 2016, and thereafter, the Governor may review and update the district boundaries of the regional planning councils pursuant to his authority under s. 186.506(4).

(3) For the purposes of transition from one regional planning council to another, the successor regional planning council shall apply the prior strategic regional policy plan to a local government until such time as the successor regional planning council amends its plan pursuant to this chapter to include the affected local government within the new region.

History.—s. 11, ch. 2015-30.

186.513 Reports.—Each regional planning council shall prepare and furnish an annual report on its activities to the state land planning agency as defined in s. 163.3164 and the local general-purpose governments within its boundaries and, upon payment as may be established by the council, to any interested person.

History.—ss. 3, 5, ch. 80-315; s. 4, ch. 82-46; s. 1, ch. 92-182; s. 38, ch. 93-206; s. 4, ch. 2006-268; s. 36, ch. 2011-139; s. 12, ch. 2015-30.

Note.—Former s. 160.08.

186.515 Creation of regional planning councils under chapter 163.—Nothing in ss. 186.501-186.507, 186.513, and 186.515 is intended to repeal or limit the provisions of chapter 163; however, the local general-purpose governments serving as voting members of the governing body of a regional planning council created pursuant to ss. 186.501-186.507, 186.513, and 186.515 are not authorized to create a regional planning council pursuant to chapter 163 unless an agency, other than a regional planning council created pursuant to ss. 186.501-186.507, 186.513, and 186.515, is designated to exercise the powers and duties in any one or more of ss. 163.3164 and 380.031(15); in which case, such a regional planning council is also without authority to exercise the powers and duties in s. 163.3164 or s. 380.031(15).

History.—ss. 4, 5, ch. 80-315; s. 4, ch. 82-46; s. 44, ch. 91-45; s. 1, ch. 92-182; ss. 3, 38, ch. 93-206; s. 37, ch. 2011-139.

Note.—Former s. 160.09.

186.801 Ten-year site plans.—

(1) Beginning January 1, 1974, each electric utility shall submit to the Public Service Commission a 10-year site plan which shall estimate its power-generating needs and the

general location of its proposed power plant sites. The 10-year plan shall be reviewed and submitted not less frequently than every 2 years.

(2) Within 9 months after the receipt of the proposed plan, the commission shall make a preliminary study of such plan and classify it as “suitable” or “unsuitable.” The commission may suggest alternatives to the plan. All findings of the commission shall be made available to the Department of Environmental Protection for its consideration at any subsequent electrical power plant site certification proceedings. It is recognized that 10-year site plans submitted by an electric utility are tentative information for planning purposes only and may be amended at any time at the discretion of the utility upon written notification to the commission. A complete application for certification of an electrical power plant site under chapter 403, when such site is not designated in the current 10-year site plan of the applicant, shall constitute an amendment to the 10-year site plan. In its preliminary study of each 10-year site plan, the commission shall consider such plan as a planning document and shall review:

- (a) The need, including the need as determined by the commission, for electrical power in the area to be served.
- (b) The effect on fuel diversity within the state.
- (c) The anticipated environmental impact of each proposed electrical power plant site.
- (d) Possible alternatives to the proposed plan.
- (e) The views of appropriate local, state, and federal agencies, including the views of the appropriate water management district as to the availability of water and its recommendation as to the use by the proposed plant of salt water or fresh water for cooling purposes.
- (f) The extent to which the plan is consistent with the state comprehensive plan.
- (g) The plan with respect to the information of the state on energy availability and consumption.
- (h) The amount of renewable energy resources the utility produces or purchases.
- (i) The amount of renewable energy resources the utility plans to produce or purchase over the 10-year planning horizon and the means by which the production or purchases will be achieved.
- (j) A statement describing how the production and purchase of renewable energy resources impact the utility’s present and future capacity and energy needs.

(3) In order to enable it to carry out its duties under this section, the commission may, after hearing, establish a study fee which shall not exceed \$1,000 for each proposed plan studied.

(4) The commission may adopt rules governing the method of submitting, processing, and studying the 10-year plans as required by this section.

History.—s. 1, ch 73-33; s. 2, ch. 76-76; s. 77, ch. 79-190; s. 2, ch. 81-167; s. 3, ch. 83-55; s. 41, ch. 94-356; s. 2, ch. 95-328; s. 15, ch. 2006-230; s. 2, ch. 2012-117.

Note.—Former ss. 403.505, 23.0191.

186.803 Use of geographic information by governmental entities.—When state agencies, water management districts, regional planning councils, local governments, and other governmental entities use maps, including geographic information maps and other graphic information materials, as the source of data for planning or any other purposes, they must take into account that the accuracy and reliability of such maps and data may be limited by various factors, including the scale of the maps, the timeliness and accuracy of the underlying information, the availability of more accurate site-specific information, and the presence or absence of ground truthing or peer review of the underlying information contained in such maps and other graphic information. This section does not apply to maps adopted pursuant to part II of chapter 163.

History.—s. 9, ch. 96-416.

186.901 Population census determination.—

(1) The Office of Economic and Demographic Research shall annually provide to the Executive Office of the Governor population estimates of local governmental units as of April 1 of each year, utilizing accepted statistical practices. The population of local governments provided by the Office of Economic and Demographic Research shall apply to any revenue-sharing formula with local governments under the provisions of ss. 218.20-218.26, part II of chapter 218. The Office of Economic and Demographic Research shall additionally provide the Executive Office of the Governor population estimates for municipal annexations or consolidations occurring during the period April 1 through February 28, and the Executive Office of the Governor shall include these estimates in its certification to the Department of Revenue for the annual revenue-sharing calculation.

(2)(a) Population shall be computed as the number of residents, employing the same general guidelines used by the United States Bureau of the Census.

(b) For the purpose of revenue-sharing distribution formulas and distribution proportions for the local government half-cent sales tax, inmates and patients residing in institutions operated by the Federal Government, the Department of Corrections, the Department of Health, or the Department of Children and Families shall not be considered to be residents of the governmental unit in which the institutions are located.

(c) Nothing herein shall be construed to prohibit the separate determination of any categories of persons, whether resident or nonresident.

(3) In cases of annexation or consolidation, local governments shall be required to submit to the Executive Office of the Governor, within 30 days following annexation or consolidation, a statement as to the population census effect of the action.

(4) Estimates of inmates and patients pursuant to paragraph (2)(b) shall be separately stated in population reports issued pursuant to this section.

History.—s. 3, ch. 72-360; s. 1, ch. 75-93; s. 1, ch. 77-174; s. 1, ch. 78-209; s. 76, ch. 79-190; s. 11, ch. 82-154; s. 2, ch. 83-299; s. 16, ch. 99-8; s. 44, ch. 2000-371; s. 40, ch. 2014-19. Note.—Former s. 23.019.

## COUNCIL OFFICES



Treasure Coast Regional Planning Council  
421 SW Camden Avenue  
Stuart, Florida 34994  
(772) 221-4060

### **THE HISTORIC KNAPP INN**

In 2006, Council bought an historic building constructed in 1925 in the historic Frazier Addition of downtown Stuart. Its intentions were to restore the building for its offices. The property was originally developed as the Knapp Inn under regulations applicable in the 1920s. Later, it was used as an apartment house until hurricanes Frances, Jeanne, and Wilma caused significant damage to the building. When Council purchased the building, the interior was completely stripped down to the exterior brick and roof rafters. The only internal structures were support beams. The roof was partially gone.

The building is listed on the 1991 Survey of Historic Properties within the City of Stuart. The neighborhood is a mix of professional offices and single and multifamily uses. Restoration of the building began in December of 2007 and was completed in May 2008.

Restoring the building was carefully approached by the Council. The mission was to modernize the historic building for its new offices while keeping and enriching the building's historic character and charm. Council staff with experience in architecture and historic preservation and the Executive Director worked meticulously with the architecture firm that rendered the final plans and technical drawings for the renovations and addition. The contractor's work was closely supervised.

In order to accommodate Council's office space needs and other requirements, an addition to the rear of the historic structure was built. The addition was designed to preserve the historic character of the building. The exterior of the new structure was blended to match. However, the new and the historic interior portions of the building are obvious by the purposeful differentiation in flooring and ceiling materials. Because of the new construction, several variances to keep the historic characteristics of the building had to be approved by the City of Stuart.

The historic structure is 4,200 square feet. Historic building configuration was preserved. Originally, access to the living quarters was from the central stairwell. The current offices are configured similarly; common areas and offices are accessed from the central stairway, and circulation is efficient. The up/down direction of the stairway had to be reversed to accommodate a downstairs reception area and to meet today's building codes.

The 1,800 square-foot addition is two stories and to the rear of the building. The new restrooms, shower, and kitchen are housed in the new portion of the building to keep the integrity of the historic structure and historic circulation patterns. Access for the physically challenged is also provided in the new portion of the building. The upstairs addition provides Council's Urban Design Studio and additional offices that creates an open circulation pattern off the central stairwell.

In addition to preserving the historic character of the building, streetscape improvements were made to enhance the building's presence on the street, keeping in character with the surrounding area. Four masonry piers and caps mark the entrances to the front of the building and its side parking lot. Two custom-built masonry benches offer respite from the street under shade trees. Xeriscape landscaping of palm trees create a naturalistic urban enclave or "palm court" reminiscent of classic Mission style design and welcoming to all. A bronze plaque communicates the name of the building with a relief of the 1989 Downtown Stuart Charrette Master Plan, which was the impetus of the revitalization of downtown Stuart. Council was instrumental in the charrette and the continuing implementation of the master plan.

The adaptive reuse of the Knapp Inn building for a nonprofit governmental agency is symbolic of Council's commitment to neighborhood revitalization over the past 38 years. Council promotes quality urban design as being vital to improving the standard of living in cities and towns. During the planning of the addition and building renovation, care was taken to preserve the historic streetscape the building provided while improving it and making it a better neighbor to the surrounding community. In this way, Council serves as an example to other governments and agencies that quality design and detail of a project adds enormous value and improves quality of place.