MINUTES OF THE TREASURE COAST REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL SEPTEMBER 17, 2010

Vice Chairman Trias called the meeting to order at 9:40 a.m. and welcomed everyone to the meeting. He led the pledge of allegiance and requested roll call.

The following members and alternates were present:

Indian River County: Commissioner Wheeler

Commissioner Solari

St. Lucie County: Commissioner Grande

Commissioner Craft Mayor Christensen

Martin County: Commissioner Smith

Commissioner Hayes

Palm Beach County: Commissioner Vana

Commissioner Taylor Commissioner Brown

Mayor Golonka

Mayor Pro Tem Dr. Priore

President Pro Tem Norris (Alternate) Vice Mayor Webster (Alternate) Councilman Lowe (Alternate)

Vice Mayor Pro Tem Andel (Alternate)

Gubernatorial Appointees: Eduardo Balbis

Reece Parrish Richard Oujevolk Ramon Trias Michael Davis Bill Hall Kevin Foley

Sue Hershey

Ex-Officios: Jim Carnes, SFWMD

Ann Benedetti, SJRWMD

Mary Murphy, FDEP (Alternate) Ron Bunch, Enterprise Florida

Council Staff: Kate Boer

Michael Busha Kim DeLaney Anthea Gianniotes Sandy Gippert Liz Gulick Stephanie Heidt Terry Hess Peter Merritt

Council Attorney: Roger Saberson

The Executive Director announced a quorum was present.

PUBLIC COMMENT ON CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS

Rosa Durando stated she objected to several items being proposed to the Palm Beach County Comprehensive Plan. She stated the inland port was a big hoax and there would be no significant amount of jobs created and that this is only a containerized shipment trucking route. She stated this will cause land speculation that will further impact the ability of the State to see the flowway as Governor Crist, the South Florida Water Management District and so many others have envisioned. She stated that currently there is a large shipment of Mexican sugar sitting in the port and she believes it is outrageous that we are buying sugar from Mexico and shipping it across the Everglades Agricultural Area. She said this type of importing will further the introduction of invasive species of insects and disease. She also expressed she is against any more Constrained Roadways at Lower Level of Service such as that being proposed for the Wellington Medical Arts District, which she said is not locally supported nor needed. She stated this is a major truck route and there is already adequate medical services just approved on Boynton Beach Boulevard.

Sharon Waite stated the Wellington Medical Arts District proposal should be shot down. She stated there are already adequate services. She stated the comments in the amendments to conserve and protect the natural and man-made resources is just propaganda. She stated urban sprawl was baloney and creating livability is not doable as no one walks. She concurred with Ms. Durando on her comments to the inland logistics center. She stated she would not buy anything that is not grown or produced in this country.

Drew Martin, representing the Sierra Club, stated his disappointment that these items were on the Consent Agenda. With respect to the inland port, he stated the jobs would not be there and that in reality the warehouse would be bar-coded and automated. He stated this was just an opportunity for Florida Crystals to boost land prices so when land is needed for restoration, the state will have to pay a lot more money. He stated that the County staff was against these amendments but the County Commission completely ignored staff recommendations and voted to approve them. He stated this is an example of why people will be voting for Amendment 4. He concurred there is no need for the additional medical being proposed in Wellington and that this is just an opportunity for somebody to build a building and will most likely not build there, but flip the land when the prices rise. He stated there needs to be some real planning, rather than just approving all these things and giving these developers an automatic go without really doing serious analysis.

Alexandria Larson stated at the County Commission meeting during the approval of the Wellington amendment Commissioner Koons had stated the amendments should be approved and sent to the Department of Community Affairs so they could find the faults. With respect to the inland port, she stated there was a history with one of the tenants, Port Commissioner Ed Oppel, she would share off the record with anyone who wanted to know. She stated in the Palm Beach Post there was an article that one in seven Americans is in poverty. She expressed her displeasure with all the items being on

the consent agenda. She stated Florida Atlantic University was given approval to build a stadium and she stated this would one day be housing for the poor people, like in New Orleans. With respect to jobs at the inland port, she stated in order to work at the port you have to pass the National Security Test and if you are a convicted felon you cannot work at the port, so this is a lie to the people in the Glades, as these jobs are non-existent.

Marty Hodgkins, from the Village of Wellington stated he was available to comment if Council had questions on the item.

AGENDA AND CONSENT AGENDA

Commissioner Smith moved approval of the Agenda and Consent Agenda with the removal of 5F, Boca Raton Comprehensive Plan Amendments DCA Ref# 10-1ER; 5K, Palm Beach County Comprehensive Plan Amendments DCA Ref# 10-2; and 5O, West Palm Beach Comprehensive Plan Amendments DCA Ref# 10-1. Councilmember Balbis recused himself from 5L, Palm Beach Gardens Comprehensive Plan Amendments DCA Ref# 10-1, as he is a member of a church that is subject to one of the proposed amendments. Councilmember Hershey seconded the motion.

Motion

Items remaining on the Consent Agenda were: 5A, Financial Report – June 30, 2010; 5B, Financial Report – July 31, 2010; 5C, Minutes – July 16, 2010; 5D, 2010-2011 Hazardous Materials Emergency Preparedness Grant Agreement; 5E, Belle Glade Comprehensive Plan Amendments DCA Ref# 10-1; 5G, Fellsmere Comprehensive Plan Amendments DCA Ref# 10-1; 5H, Fort Pierce Comprehensive Plan Amendments DCA Ref# 10-2ER; 5I, Greenacres Comprehensive Plan Amendments DCA Ref# 10-1; 5J, Highland Beach Comprehensive Plan Amendments DCA Ref# 10-1; 5M, Royal Palm Beach Comprehensive Plan Amendments DCA Ref# 10-1; 5N, Vero Beach Comprehensive Plan Amendments DCA Ref# 10-2; 5P, Intergovernmental Coordination and Review Log.

BOCA RATON COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDENTS DCA REF# 10-1ER

Staff stated the proposed amendments are based on an Evaluation and Appraisal Report and include changes to the Future Land Use Map and text amendments to all elements of the City Comprehensive Plan. Staff stated the City focused their Evaluation and Appraisal Report on linking transportation networks, creating more transportation options, improving mobility, and establishing a better mix of land uses to promote energy efficiency. The amendments include a new Future Land Use Map designation, Planned Mobility, and the assignment of that to lands along the I-95 interchange areas at Glades and Yamato Roads. Staff noted some of the revisions are being done to be consistent with Senate Bill 360 regarding traffic concurrency. Since the amendments were proposed, the Second Judicial Court has found that Senate Bill 360 is unconstitutional as an unfunded mandate. Currently there are challenges to that ruling. Staff provided in a handout for Council proposed changes to the report to include correspondence from Palm Beach County and an additional comment with respect to potential conflicts of the proposed amendments to the Transportation Element with the County Traffic Performance Standards Ordinance.

Drew Martin, representing the Sierra Club, supported staff's recommendation to do more analysis. He stated the County really has no plan and is ignoring the traffic problems. He stated Boca Raton is one of the problems. He stated they are actually trying to give developers the opportunity to build on golf courses and he has received calls from several residents that the County and the City are not

listening to their concerns. He stated he hopes Council will do more to improve traffic planning and reduce building, and protect some of this open space where natural species live.

Commissioner Smith moved approval of the staff report with the recommended changes presented Motion by staff. Councilmember Foley seconded the motion, which carried unanimously.

PALM BEACH COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE PLAN **AMENDENTS DCA REF# 10-2**

Mayor Golonka indicated that much of her previous interest in the item regarding the logistics center, or the inland port, was related to the opportunity that the Port of Palm Beach had to use an inland port to get some of the freight rail traffic off of the eastern railway which is of regional significance and importance. She stated she would not be supporting the item.

Under Public Comment, Mr. Cliff Hertz, with Broad and Cassel, representing Florida Crystals; and Marty Hodgkins, with the Village of Wellington, declined the opportunity to speak but offered to be available for Council questions or comments.

Commissioner Hayes asked staff if he was correct in recalling that if the inland port did not materialize, then this land would revert to the previous designation. Staff indicated it was not included as a condition in this amendment, but was for the Okeelanta Site that was previously proposed. Staff noted that Palm Beach County may not only be looking at this as a potential site for an inland logistics center, but also for an industrial site in an area with a shortage of land designated for industrial use.

Cliff Hertz, representing Florida Crystals, stated the condition was not included at the request of both the State and the County as the existing land use on this parcel would allow for the construction of 2,500 units, having a Low Density Residential land use designation, and it was preferable to have an employment center for industrial.

Commissioner Hayes asked if there might be a way in the future to ensure that if development does not occur once a land use designation is changed, that it does not retain the new land use designation for ever and ever until someone comes forward and wants to trade it up for something different

Commissioner Smith moved approval of the staff recommendation. Mayor Pro Tem Dr. Priore Motion seconded the motion, which carried unanimously.

WEST PALM BEACH COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDENTS DCA REF# 10-1

Vice Mayor Webster asked if the changes to the expansion of the Conservation Areas to the west and south will conflict with the County and State long-range road plan for the State Road 7 Extension and Roebuck Road. Staff's understanding is that changing the designation of those four properties, which are in public ownership, to the appropriate conservation designation would not impact other plans. Staff also stated there had been no comments received relative to concerns about these changes impacting the Future Roadway Network plans. Vice Mayor Webster indicated she did not disagree with the extension of those conservation areas, and felt that it would relieve some of the concern in the western communities that the extension of these roads would have allowed more residential, which would now not be allowed.

Commissioner Smith moved approval of the staff recommendation. Councilmember Hall seconded **Motion** the motion, which carried unanimously.

MARTIN GRADE SCENIC HIGHWAY RESOLUTION AND PRESENTATION BY MARY DAWSON, CHAIR, SUSTAINING COMMUNITY LANDS, INC.

Ms. Dawson introduced Mr. Rob Dawson who gave a presentation to Council on the application being prepared for the Florida Scenic Highway Program to designate a portion of County Road 714 in western Martin County as a Florida Scenic Highway. The designation would apply to a 12-mile segment of a 2-lane road known as the Martin Grade, which extends from County Road 609 to State Road 710. The project is to protect the unique canopy of mature native trees that covers a significant portion of the corridor and to help sustain the rural character of the area. Designation as a scenic highway will result in the development of a Corridor Management Plan, a Corridor Management Entity, and a Community Participation Program. These programs will create opportunities to improve and maintain the natural features of the corridor and remove invasive exotic species.

Commissioner Smith commended Ms. Dawson and her supporters for their work on this project. He encouraged Councilmembers to visit the roadway.

Commissioner Hayes thanked Ms. Dawson and noted this was an extraordinary opportunity. He moved for approval of the resolution of support for this project. Mayor Pro Tem Dr. Priore stated he would second the motion, noting this was reminiscent of Old Cutler Road in Dade County. A vote on the motion carried unanimously.

Motion

FLORIDA PASSENGER RAIL OVERVIEW

Staff made a presentation to Council which included the 2006 Florida Passenger Rail Vision Plan, and examples of existing and emerging rail projects in the State. Staff also presented the Florida High Speed Rail Vision Plan which includes Phase 1 - Tampa to Orlando, and Phase 2 - Orlando to Miami.

Under Public Comment, Ms. Durando stated she wanted to go on record as saying this is a pretty low point in her expectation for the future of Florida. She asked why the presentation compared Florida to Japan, France, Italy and New York, noting none of these areas are better off economically or job wise than Florida. She stated none of these areas has the unique qualities of Florida such as the wood stork, ibis, bald eagle, or crocodile. She said the rail line crossing the State is on a par with what could have happened if we built that "stupid" cross Florida Barge Canal. She said this will open up western movement of the population which was fine for the western part of the country as there was only a desert, but in Florida it will go through the Everglades National Park, Loxahatchee National Wildlife Refuge, and migratory bird paths like no where else in the world, and jeopardize a flowway.

Staff noted that, while not advocating for or against high speed rail, there needed to be clarification that the only alignment the Florida Department of Transportation is proposing from Orlando to Miami involves either I-95 or Turnpike right-of-way for the high speed rail line.

Ms. Larson stated that high speed rail from Orlando to Tampa is nuts, stating she would bet her life that the people who own the land on that corridor are elected officials in our Senate and Congress. She stated that Florida should not be compared to Japan. She asked where the money would come from. She noted that high speed rail was previously voted down in Florida.

Mr. Martin, representing the Sierra Club, stated Europe is a totally different situation and rail does work over there given the extensive local rail network. He stated rail did work in this country until in the 1950s before the National Highway System. He stated there is already a rail system, Tri-Rail, but the State has not been willing to create a funding source for it. He stated there needs to be better planning as there is a western movement of development in Palm Beach County, and this population will not be using Tri-Rail. He advised throwing out all of the comprehensive plan changes and going back to the drawing board to recreate South Florida.

Councilmember Parrish asked the average speed of that bullet train from Miami to West Palm Beach. Staff indicated this was not yet known. Staff stated the Florida Department of Transportation is very clear that the Orlando to Miami segment is highly conceptual and far less is understood about this segment when compared to the Orlando to Tampa segment. The Department has already completed the environmental work and is getting to the point of construction drawings for Orlando to Tampa, but it is still in the preliminary stages of the Orlando to Miami route. Staff also indicated that the Department will be making a presentation at the October meeting and asked that Councilmembers express their questions and concerns so they could be incorporated into the Department's presentation at the October meeting.

Councilmember Davis asked at what point in the process would Council be engaged. Staff noted that the process is not yet defined. Staff did note that in 1996 Council was asked to review the proposals for high speed rail. Staff indicated the comments from that time are available upon request.

Commissioner Smith stated the reality is Florida is in a global market, and the Panama Canal will open in 2014. He stated the Airbus 380 is going to be the primary carrier of people from Europe and Asia, and the only international airport in Florida that can accommodate this plane is Miami International Airport. He stated the Tampa to Orlando link for the High Speed Rail has advanced and been able to attract dollars because there has been a collaboration for the long-range vision of the I-4 Corridor and extensive planning done for the entire corridor from the east to the west coast.

Commissioner Wheeler expressed his concern that there is a plan for the traffic between the larger cities, but he asked how that will tie into other modes of public transportation once the people reach their destination. In order to be effective, he believes this all needs to be connected.

Vice Chairman Trias noted that in European cities, such as Barcelona, there are actually several stops within a city so the entire city is served, and other modes of transportation to the various destinations are not as necessary.

Commissioner Craft noted it is important to not confuse the local systems with the regional or mega-regional systems. He stated for the local system, there needs to be density along the rail corridor to support the system. He stated he hears comments that there needs to be less density, but there also needs to be a rail system. He said this will not work, and that there needs to be better land use planning done on this issue.

Councilmember Oujevolk stated he would like to see the Department do a more in depth look at the process of what we have to do as a region on the local levels as far as approvals, because it is probably going to be a large Environmental Impact Statement document that is based on the interaction of the locals as far as accommodations for I-95 and the Turnpike.

Councilmember Hall stated that when the Department does their analysis they need to look at the sustainability of ridership and the population.

With respect to density, Commissioner Smith noted that 8 million people visit the State each year, coming here by air travel. He stated this is a primary industry for the State, and these travelers will expect the convenience of easy travel throughout the State.

Staff noted there will be a list of questions for the Department's presentation at the October meeting. The Florida Department of Transportation staff will also be presenting the Florida 2060 Transportation Plan which is a policy level document that the State is in the process of developing now. Staff also noted that the Passenger Rail Commission met earlier this month. Staff stated this will be an opportunity for Council staff to bring information to Council on these projects as well as provide information to the Commission.

Staff gave an update to Council on the progress of the Amtrak/FEC Corridor project, which included project details and updates, as well as an overview of the planning efforts for the eight planned stations.

Councilmember Foley asked what the break even number for ridership would be for this project. Staff indicated that the Department did not have that figure yet as terms are still being negotiated. Councilmember Foley stated he does not believe this project would address the transportation problems in the State.

Commissioner Solari asked that the Department include in their October presentation actual financial information and what impacts this project will have on the subsidy funding for current road and bus projects. He stated his fear that current projects, such as the successful bus program in Indian River County, will not receive needed funding because of this project.

Councilmember Hall noted the differences between land use and transportation conditions in the United States versus other countries with varied tourist-oriented destinations, noting the desire for many international tourists to experience the unique settings and regions of the United States. He discussed international trends towards rail transportation and expressed concern those trends may not be appropriate in the United States.

Councilmember Davis stated that with the projected doubling of the population by 2050 and the limit in the number of lanes that can be built on I-95 and other major roadway systems, there needs to be alternative transportation planning.

Commissioner Vana asked if Council would be able to block the high speed rail project. Staff indicated Council is not the final authority, but will be asked to comment.

Commissioner Brown asked if staff is looking for direction from Council to continue with the Amtrak/FEC project. Vice Chairman Trias indicated there is no action requested for this item, it was

being presented for information only. With respect to the Florida Transportation Plan 2060, Commissioner Brown commented that with the projected population increases, rail will be needed in order to move individuals around the state, otherwise the entire state is going to be blanketed with asphalt and concrete and roadways. He stated he supported moving forward with the study from the perspective of saving any of the green space left in the State of Florida.

Councilmember Hershey asked if staff were seeking guidance from Council on where staff efforts should be focused. Vice Chairman Trias stated any recommendations would be helpful. Councilmember Hershey stated she supports Tri-Rail and the Amtrak/FEC project, but she believes the decision on high speed rail will most likely be made in the legislature. However, Council should provide comments and input on the project.

Under Public Comment, with respect to high speed rail, Ms. Waite stated she was most concerned about how this would be financed. She stated she is tired of the elected officials telling the people what they will do. She said she is tired of paying for them and thinks they should all be kicked out. She said she is tired of everyone citing what China and Europe does and is sick of international law. She stated the United States is a republic and she does not care what Europe or Asia does in their legal systems.

Ms. Larson stated that the doubling of the population is not going to happen. She asked where the funding would come from to pay for these proposed projects, stating perhaps the funding could come from legalizing marijuana and prostitution.

Ms. Durando stated that the rail line being contemplated across the state would require excavation of muck. She stated that this is a rush to salvage Tampa, which is currently under profound water shortage. She asked if any of the countries being compared to Florida have roseate spoonbills, wood stork, or flamingos. She stated tourism in Florida is for places like the Everglades National Park and most of the income from tourism is from bird watchers.

Scott Seeberger, with the Florida Department of Transportation provided an update to Council on the South Florida East Coast Corridor Study. This study, which was commissioned by Florida Department of Transportation, is designed to evaluate transit demand in Miami-Dade, Broward, and Palm Beach Counties and develop a long-term, comprehensive transit plan for the three counties, including the Tri-Rail system as well as future service on the FEC Corridor. A resolution was also presented for Council approval that will support the current findings of the study and assist in garnering regional consensus to move forward with and seek federal funding for the project.

Mayor Pro Tem Dr. Priore stated he could conceptually support the resolution as it was written, but stated there needs to be reference of how people will be moved from the western communities to the east in order to utilize this rail line. He also indicated he wanted the fourth Whereas stricken due to the references to Eastward Ho!

Under Public Comment, Mr. Martin, representing the Sierra Club, stated he supports public transportation, particularly Tri-Rail, but asked to have language added to the resolution to request a dedicated funding source. He also asked that the Department explain how this project will be operationally funded.

Ms. Larson stated that in the 1960s the railroad stations closed down, and asked why it would be expected to work now. She asked what local governments were going to give up to fund these projects.

Commissioner Smith moved approval of the resolution with the recommendation to remove the fourth Whereas. Staff noted two other issues had been raised that should be included; east-west connectivity between the western communities and the FEC and Tri-Rail; and a dedicated funding source. Commissioner Smith indicated these should be added to his motion. Commissioner Vana seconded the motion, which carried unanimously.

Motion

Commissioner Hayes stated a meaningful rail system may be appropriate in order to overcome congested roadways and the continued spreading of asphalt, but there needs to be more detailed analysis done to ensure ridership will be adequate and the cost to use the system will be affordable.

Commissioner Craft stated that the European systems are successful as the cost of fuel is much higher there than here in the United States. He stated that once the cost of fuel elevates here, people will be looking for alternative modes of transportation.

ORIENTATION SERIES ON STREETS, BLOCKS AND ALLEYWAYS: INTERCONNECTIVITY AND DETAILING THE STREET

Staff presented the fifth installment in the Orientation Series. These presentations are to provide information on planning techniques and research to give Councilmembers additional insight and knowledge on how to promote sustainable growth in the region.

Vice Chairman Trias asked that future presentations include more people in the images.

Under Public Comment, Mr. Martin stated that the Sierra Club really supports open space and commended the staff on having a presentation on this topic. However, he expressed disappointment as the pictures appeared to be of New Hampshire and did not really relate to Florida. He asked that future presentations include discussion of wildlife. He stated he was also disappointed in the fact that the pictures portrayed inefficient use of water, showing huge patches of lawn that would have to be watered. He stated natural areas need to be easy to maintain, and no one in Florida wants to sit in big, grassy areas due to the heat.

Ms. Durando stated she found the presentation to be a little incomplete. She stated there was no reference to drainage districts, or as they are now called, improvement districts. She asked who will be required to have these open spaces. She stated if it is the local governments, then there isn't a place in South Florida that isn't required to have stormwater retention areas. She also asked if golf courses were considered open space. She stated the pictures shown were very impactful to the environment needing high energy fertilization and irrigation. She stated these types of open space do not function for the benefit of the environment.

Ms. Larson stated she always brings new information to Council and this month she advised Council that the South Florida Water Management District just figured out their modeling and their data was wrong and all these berms should have been like drainage canals. She stated the pictures in the presentation were all from up north and asked pictures of Florida be included in the future. She stated this is not functioning open space in Florida. She expressed her displeasure of the reading materials offered for Council in the agenda item, stating that new urbanism was created for more

density for builders. She stated she was told at a new urbanism symposium by one of the presenters that he does not walk but uses his car. Vice Chairman Trias asked this presenter's name. Ms. Larson did not know, but said it was in April 2006.

ANNOUNCEMENTS

Staff provided Council with the upcoming meeting schedule for the Fiscal Year 2010-11 as well as a copy of the memorandum from Chairman Ferreri to the local governments in the region asking them, to the best of their ability, to try to conform the transmittal of their proposed comprehensive plan amendments so Council could review them on a timely basis at future Council meetings.

Staff noted Mayor Pro Tem Dr. Priore recently received the E. Harris Drew Municipal Official Lifetime Achievement Award for a lifetime of public service from the Florida League of Cities.

Councilmember Hall noted for Councilmembers information he provided on sustainable development. He referenced "Agenda 21", and encouraged members to make themselves familiar with this and to really understand from start to finish where it came from, where the ideas came from, where they are going, what the end results are. He stated Ms. Larson's comment that new urbanism was a developer initiated concept to get more square footage was not correct. He stated it came out of the United Nations, and the sustainable development and globalization of development practices. He stated it was important for everyone to understand all of these things, and access them and read some of this because the definitions we use, the planning principles that we use, the tools that we use all have implications and it is the responsibility of the Council to see to it that it is used properly, or discarded properly as the case may be.

Mayor Pro Tem Dr. Priore noted it was the 223rd anniversary of the Constitution of the United States.

With respect to new urbanism, Vice Chairman Trias stated he was at the first congress and signed the charter and has never seen any evidence of the conspiracy that was suggested under Public Comment. He stated the new urbanism is a movement that is design focused to create better places to live and also to preserve the natural environment. He stated if anyone believes otherwise they should really pay more attention and suggested staff could work with some of those folks that have different ideas about it, because it really is good, and has made an impact in America, particularly in the last 20 years.

To that point, Commissioner Craft noted that when trying to pass the rules for new urbanism in the Towns, Villages and Countryside Element in St. Lucie County, they were actually sued by the developers mainly because there is a 40 to 60 percent requirement for open space within the area.

Vice Chairman Trias stated it is a very sophisticated system of thought, he believes the level of discussion could be a little bit higher than what it has been in the recent past.

Commissioner Vana assured everyone, having been on two levels of government, that there was no need to worry of conspiracy as government is not that smart.

Commissioner Solari noted that the United States Constitution has only been amended 17 times since the Bill of Rights, which comes out to be about 22 pages. However, the Florida Constitution

was amended 15 times in the last three election cycles. He stated this is downgrading our foundation document and encouraged everyone to vote no on all amendments, unless they are to repeal.

COUNCIL MEMBER INFORMATION EXCHANGE

None.	
	STAFF COMMENT
None.	
	CHAIRMAN'S COMMENT
None.	
	ADJOURNMENT
signature is to attest that the Coast Regional Planning Co	ness, Vice Chairman Trias adjourned the meeting at 12:00 pm. This undersigned is the Secretary or a designated nominee of the Treasure buncil, and that the information provided herein is the true and correct 2, 2010 meeting of the Treasure Coast Regional Planning Council.
Date	Signature

ST NAME—FIRST NAME—MIDDLE NAME	HER LOCAL PUBLIC OFFICERS NAME OF BOARD, COUNCIL, COMMISSION, AUTHORITY, OR COMMITTEE
	TREASURE LOAST RECIONAL PRANTING COURCE
BALBIS EDUARITO ENLA IQUE	THE BOARD, COUNCIL, COMMISSION, AUTHORITY OR COMMITTEE ON
11855 KBWICK WAY	WHICH I SERVE IS A UNIT OF: CITY COUNTY OTHER LOCAL AGENCY
COUNTY O	NAME OF POLITICAL SUBDIVISION:
West Para Borsey Para Borsey	NAME OF FOLITICAL SUBDIVISION.
TE ON WHICH VOTE OCCURRED	MY POSITION IS: APPOINTIVE
9-17-10	A AFFORNIA
ommission, authority, or committee. It applies equally to members onflict of interest under Section 112.3143, Florida Statutes.	er local level of government on an appointed or elected board, council, of advisory and non-advisory bodies who are presented with a voting asure in which you have a conflict of interest will vary greatly depending ason, please pay close attention to the instructions on this form before
a person holding elective or appointive county, municipal, or other nures to his or her special private gain or loss. Each elected or apure which inures to the special gain or loss of a principal (other the arent organization or subsidiary of a corporate principal by which to the special private gain or loss of a business associate. Commit 63.357, F.S., and officers of independent special tax districts elections.	H SECTION 112.3143, FLORIDA STATUTES r local public office MUST ABSTAIN from voting on a measure which pointed local officer also is prohibited from knowingly voting on a mea- an a government agency) by whom he or she is retained (including the ne or she is retained); to the special private gain or loss of a relative; or ssioners of community redevelopment agencies under Sec. 163.356 or ted on a one-acre, one-vote basis are not prohibited from voting in that
apacity.	
for purposes of this law, a "relative" includes only the officer's fath	ter, mother, son, daughter, husband, wife, brother, sister, fairlet-in-law ate" means any person or entity engaged in or carrying on a business property, or corporate shareholder (where the shares of the corporation
for purposes of this law, a "relative" includes only the officer's fath nother-in-law, son-in-law, and daughter-in-law. A "business associant of the officer as a partner, joint venturer, coowner of partners of partners.	ate" means any person or entity engaged in or carrying on a business
for purposes of this law, a "relative" includes only the officer's fath nother-in-law, son-in-law, and daughter-in-law. A "business associant of the officer as a partner, joint venturer, coowner of partners of partners.	ate" means any person or entity engaged in or carrying on a business
for purposes of this law, a "relative" includes only the officer's fath nother-in-law, son-in-law, and daughter-in-law. A "business associnterprise with the officer as a partner, joint venturer, coowner of pure not listed on any national or regional stock exchange).	ate" means any person or entity engaged in or carrying on a business property, or corporate shareholder (where the shares of the corporation
for purposes of this law, a "relative" includes only the officer's fath nother-in-law, son-in-law, and daughter-in-law. A "business associnterprise with the officer as a partner, joint venturer, coowner of pre not listed on any national or regional stock exchange). * * * * * * ** ** ** ** ** **	ate" means any person or entity engaged in or carrying on a busines property, or corporate shareholder (where the shares of the corporation

APPOINTED OFFICERS:

Although you must abstain from voting in the situations described above, you otherwise may participate in these matters. However, you must disclose the nature of the conflict before making any attempt to influence the decision, whether orally or in writing and whether made by you or at your direction.

IF YOU INTEND TO MAKE ANY ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION PRIOR TO THE MEETING AT WHICH THE VOTE WILL BE TAKEN:

You must complete and file this form (before making any attempt to influence the decision) with the person responsible for recording the
minutes of the meeting, who will incorporate the form in the minutes. (Continued on other side)

PAGE 1

CE FORM 8B - EFF. 1/2000

APPOINTED OFFICERS (continued)

- A copy of the form must be provided immediately to the other members of the agency.
- · The form must be read publicly at the next meeting after the form is filed.

IF YOU MAKE NO ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION EXCEPT BY DISCUSSION AT THE MEETING:

- · You must disclose orally the nature of your conflict in the measure before participating.
- You must complete the form and file it within 15 days after the vote occurs with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the
 meeting, who must incorporate the form in the minutes. A copy of the form must be provided immediately to the other members of the
 agency, and the form must be read publicly at the next meeting after the form is filed.

DISCLOSURE OF LOCAL OFFICER'S INTEREST				
1. EDUANDO	BALBIS	, hereby disclose that on	9-17	, 20 <u>///</u> :
(a) A measure came of	will come before my ager	ncy which (check one)		
_ N inured to my sp	ecial private gain or loss;			
inured to the sp	ecial gain or loss of my bu	siness associate,		3
inured to the sp	ecial gain or loss of my rel	ative,		;
inured to the sp	ecial gain or loss of			, by
whom I am reta	ined; or			
inured to the sp	ecial gain or loss of			, which
is the parent org	ganization or subsidiary of	a principal which has retained m	ne.	
(b) The measure before Compare HEWS INCLUPES A CHWLCH DE	e my agency and the nature of the proposed chief	re of my conflicting interest in the NOTE PROPOSED BY NANUE TO THE D AM A MEM	e measure is as follows: PALM BEACH CANDU LAND USE OF TE	us (5L)
9-/7	0		nature	

NOTICE: UNDER PROVISIONS OF FLORIDA STATUTES §112.317, A FAILURE TO MAKE ANY REQUIRED DISCLOSURE CONSTITUTES GROUNDS FOR AND MAY BE PUNISHED BY ONE OR MORE OF THE FOLLOWING: IMPEACHMENT, REMOVAL OR SUSPENSION FROM OFFICE OR EMPLOYMENT, DEMOTION, REDUCTION IN SALARY, REPRIMAND, OR A CIVIL PENALTY NOT TO EXCEED \$10,000.

CE FORM 8B - EFF. 1/2000 PAGE 2